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Dear Elizabeth Verdecchia,

My name is John Moen and I am president of a wildlife conservation group that has for 22 years worked to educate sportsmen about ethical hunting. We have been informed that the river between Radium Springs and Shalem Colony might be closed to hunting. We think this area should be considered as just another part of the river system. No special status should be given to this area. The New Mexico Game & Fish Department has guidelines in place for hunting in the county for proper distances from homes and business's. Our organization has over 200 members.

Thank you

John T. Moen, President
NM Quail, Inc.
From: “Nancy Stotz” <nstotz1@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 12:01 PM
Subject: Hunting EIS comments

Elizabeth Verdecchia
Natural Resources Specialist, IBWC
4071 N. Mesa C100
El Paso, TX  79902

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

I am writing to submit comments on the draft Environmental Assessment about allowing hunting in designated areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project. I don’t live along the river, but I am a frequent visitor, going to the river for bike rides, walks, and birdwatching outings. While I don’t object to hunting in and of itself, I do have some concerns about this proposal. My concerns fall into 2 general areas: waterfowl hunting during drought years, and enforcement.

Waterfowl in Drought Years

Though the draft EA notes that USFWS has primary responsibility for setting waterfowl hunting limits based on population-level productivity and abundance measures, the EA makes no accommodations for conditions at a more local scale. In most years, the Rio Grande represents virtually the only aquatic habitat available for waterfowl in our area, and in drought years, waterfowl are limited to extremely short reaches of the river. For instance, last winter, the Rio Grande was completely dry along much of its length in Doña Ana County, and alternate aquatic habitats such as Burn Lake and playas on the East and West Mesas were dry. There were only a few locations where there was enough water in the river to support waterfowl. One was the reach extending for a few miles downstream from Leasburg Dam State Park, where springs delivered groundwater into the river channel, and another was the reach extending a short distance below the Hatch Siphon, just downstream of the Crow Canyon restoration site. Both of these reaches are within the areas proposed for legal hunting under the EA.

It seems to me that allowing waterfowl hunting when drought conditions concentrate waterfowl and other bird species dependent upon aquatic habitats (including shorebirds and herons) to limited sections of the river is going to make waterfowl hunting much more disruptive to all of these species than it would be in years with higher flows. Hunting activity will be concentrated, and the birds will have few alternate locations where they can go to rest and feed. I would encourage the IBWC to incorporate some sort of annual review of habitat availability into their management of waterfowl hunting. Perhaps a threshold could be established to help in annual decision-making, based either on the percent of the Canalization Project length where aquatic habitats are expected to be available in a given year, or flow rates during the irrigation season. It is my understanding that an annual release of 400,000 acre-feet from Caballo Reservoir is the minimum amount that could support fairly continuous flows along the project length through the non-irrigation season, which would minimize the concentration of (and hunting-related
stress to) species dependent on aquatic habitats.

Enforcement

I am also concerned that enforcement provisions, as outlined in the draft EA, are inadequate to protect natural resources and public safety in and around the areas proposed for hunting. The Doña Ana County Sheriff's Department currently has enforcement authority for IBWC lands within the county, and it is evident that their reactive response to complaints, even under current conditions, is not sufficient. As recent media coverage has documented, illegal shooting is a serious problem, and I have also witnessed numerous incidents of trespass when four-wheel-drive and all-terrain vehicles have raced up and down the river channel, disturbing wildlife when they drive through the shallow water of those limited wet reaches during low-water years, and damaging the river's engineered banks when they drive in and out of the channel. The addition of enforcement of hunting regulations to the sheriff department's responsibilities is only going to stretch their limited resources further. If hunting is going to be allowed in some areas, additional resources for the sheriff's department and/or the active involvement of NMDGF personnel should be required, to allow for proactive patrols to ensure that the folks shooting guns are actually licensed hunters, and that other hunting and trespass regulations are being followed.

Because of its unique biological, scenic, and recreational values, the river corridor attracts a lot of wildlife, and a lot of people. The issues that are being brought up by the release of this draft EA make it clear that better enforcement of existing regulations throughout the year needs to be pursued, regardless of what decision is made about hunting at the end of this EA process.

Sincerely,

Nancy Stotz

2101 Sagecrest Ave.

Las Cruces, NM

88011

(submitted via email)
I would like to offer the following comments regarding the Draft Environmental Assessment: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

I strongly endorse the Allowed Hunting Alternative. For many years, local residents have utilized IBWC lands near the river for countless types of outdoor recreation, including hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, (notably birding), hiking, pet recreation and dog training, photography, rafting and canoeing, etc. The list goes on and on. Pursuit of these activities is part of a healthy lifestyle, and is also of economic value to our community. The IBWC is to be commended for their responsible approach to authorizing these pursuits. I also commend the IBWC for working with the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF) in a cooperative manner to establish the preferred alternative, which I support.

Rather than identify each particular species and season for which hunting is authorized, I would suggest leaving the determination of seasons and species entirely to NMDGF regulations. This will avoid confusion of possible conflicts between long term authorization to hunt within IBWC boundaries and current and/or evolving NMDGF statewide regulations. For example, Sandhill Cranes are hunted annually along the Rio Grande, but they are not identified in your draft EIS. In addition, proper management of all game species requires the NMDGF to set seasons and bag limits. If NMDGF regulations change in the future, I can imagine great confusion and enforcement complications if the IBWC regulations are different from those of the NMDGF. I would recommend a statement along the lines of “Determination of seasons, regulations, and species to hunt will conform entirely to state and federal wildlife management agencies.”

Your draft EIS states: “Big game and turkey are not expected to be present in the river corridor under existing habitat conditions and limited range”, then later states “Typical wildlife that could inhabit the project area include black-tailed jackrabbit, desert cottontail, cotton rat, ground squirrels, mourning dove, meadowlark, kestrel, red-tail hawk, mule deer, skunks, burrowing owls, several species of waterfowl, and other non-game animals (USIBWC 2007).” Those statements appear to be in conflict. The fact is that big game (specifically mule deer) inhabit the river corridor to a large extent today. As with all hunting, big game hunting needs to be conducted in a responsible manner, but as an example, I can readily imaging allowing bow-hunting for mule deer within the river corridor, and I would encourage that option to be considered. There are in fact times when farmers along the river corridor complain about mule deer depredation on their crops, and hunting can be an effective management technique to address those concerns.

I would also like to point out that several years ago a wild turkey transplant effort was conducted in the river corridor addressed by your draft EIS. While it is believed that wild turkey do not presently occupy this corridor in sufficient numbers to hunt, it is not inconceivable that with ongoing habitat restoration efforts or other unforeseen circumstances wild turkeys could be reestablished in this corridor of the river.
I would therefore recommend that hunting turkeys not be explicitly precluded in the final EIS. This could be addressed by simply stating that: “Hunting for avian species as allowed under NMDGF and USFWS regulations is authorized.”

The current preferred alternative calls for the authorization to hunt to end at the Berino bridge. I recommend the authorized hunting corridor be extended further south, to the Washington Street bridge in Anthony. There is little difference in the habitat and development between this area and that immediately north of the Berino bridge. It is very common for small game hunters, particularly bird hunters, to hold a license to hunt in only one state, in this case in either New Mexico or Texas, but not both. It therefore makes sense to make the end of the authorized hunting corridor close to the state line. (Few New Mexico hunters will be interested in hunting further south along the river than this, as they probably will not purchase a Texas small game license.)

Again, I strongly endorse the preferred alternative, and I thank you for your efforts to address hunting along the Rio Grande corridor.

David Soules
Las Cruces, NM
Proposed Modification

Draft Environmental Assessment:
Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project,
Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Tim Severns
8170 N Valley Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88007
575-642-8981
Intent

• To demonstrate the life safety impact hunting and sport shooting would have in Section 2 of the IBWC DEA 7/23/2013. This is done by identifying the proximity of homes, businesses, livestock, and State Highway 185 in the area.

• Request that Section 2 be granted “No Action Alternative” or modified to decrease the life safety risk. Considerations are provided at the end of this document.
Method

• Red circles have a radius of 150 yards. This is the legal distance in which a hunter must be away from a building. Page 32 of DEA

• The location of State Highway 185 in Section 2 needs to be considered as it is illegal to shoot across a State Highway or public road.
  – If residences have IBWC driving permits or rights to drive on the levee, could it be considered as a public thoroughfare making it illegal to fire across? If so, its proximity to Section 2 of the DEA needs to be taken into consideration.
Section 2 As Outlined in the DEA. Page 28
Starting at the Shalem Colony Bridge proceeding north
Proposed Changes

• Provide a “No Action Alternative” for Section 2 in the DEA Proposal. There are too many homes, businesses, roadways, and livestock in the area that will be negatively impacted by allowing hunters to harvest game in this area, and the implied consent that sport shooters will assume.

• If an “Allowed Hunting Alternative” is approved, the following slides contain a diagram and considerations as requested.
Considerations to Section 2
Considerations as requested

• Red Arrows: On Page 28, Section 2 of the DEA, it is not reasonably possible to fully make hunters aware of where they can and can not hunt. This is due to the sporadic location of homes, businesses, highways, and livestock in the area.

• Green Arrows: Modify Section 2 of the DEA to this area, west of the Rio Grande. This area contains less homes, businesses, and livestock, with State Highway 185 further away from the IBWC land. Arguably this would reduce the life safety risk as stated and provide said stakeholders with an area to responsibly harvest game per New Mexico Law.

• Do not allow any type of sport shooting in Section 2 of the DEA. Current verbiage of “will also allow” clearly authorizes this activity. Page 18 of the DEA
Hi Elizabeth,

Please accept the attached document which details the area in Section 2 and concerns regarding the DEA for hunting along the Rio Grande dated 7/23/2013.

I am available to meet in person or via phone if more information is needed. I can be reached at 575-642-8981.

Thank you for considering these concerns.

On Tue, Aug 6, 2013 at 3:18 PM, Tim S <severns@gmail.com> wrote:

> To the USIBWC:
> 
> I have read the attached Draft Environmental Assessment and respectfully ask that you amend your proposal. I am NOT in favor of allowing hunting between the Leasburg Dam and the Shalom Colony Bridge which hunting is currently prohibited. Your draft does not take into consideration the residences, livestock, and pecan crops in the proposed area. To allow hunters to discharge their firearms in populated areas is a danger to those of us who live here and the public at large. There are several places in the proposed area where homes, not to mention roadways, and livestock are well within 150 yards of each other. These homes are especially hard to see on the ground because of the dense vegetation beyond the line of sight in the proposed area. Your draft does not identify these homes or businesses that operate in this area, and this is an oversight that needs to be taken into full consideration. The Draft, if I understand it correctly, does not restrict a person from target practice. This increases the chance of someone being struck by a projectile that misses its target or ricochets. Personally I have two bullet holes in my house due to someone discharging their firearm from the place you propose to open to hunting and potentially target practice.
> 
> It is with these facts that I object to the changes submitted in the Draft prepared on July 23, 2013.
> 
> Thank you for your consideration on this matter
> 
> http://ibwc.state.gov/Files/DEA_Hunting_07232013.pdf
>
>

Tim Severns
8170 N Valley Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88007
> 575-642-8981
>
> cc:
> New Mexico Governor Susana Martinez
> New Mexico State Senator Lee Cotter
> New Mexico State Senator Philip Archuleta
> US Representative Steve Pearce
> US Senator Martin Heinrich
>
> Local, State, and United States Representation
>
From: Tim S <severns@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
CC: <lee.cotter@nmlegis.gov>, <philip.archuleta@nmlegis.gov>, <susana.martinez@nmlegis.gov>
Date: 8/6/2013 3:24 PM
Subject: Proposed Hunting along the Rio Grande in Residential Areas

To the USIBWC:

I have read the attached Draft Environmental Assessment and respectfully ask that you amend your proposal. I am NOT in favor of allowing hunting between the Leasburg Dam and the Shalom Colony Bridge which hunting is currently prohibited. Your draft does not take into consideration the residences, livestock, and pecan crops in the proposed area. To allow hunters to discharge their firearms in populated areas is a danger to those of us who live here and the public at large. There are several places in the proposed area where homes, not to mention roadways, and livestock are well within 150 yards of each other. These homes are especially hard to see on the ground because of the dense vegetation beyond the line of sight in the proposed area. Your draft does not identify these homes or businesses that operate in this area, and this is an oversight that needs to be taken into full consideration. The Draft, if I understand it correctly, does not restrict a person from target practice. This increases the chance of someone being struck by a projectile that misses its target or ricochets. Personally I have two bullet holes in my house due to someone discharging their firearm from the place you propose to open to hunting and potentially target practice.

It is with these facts that I object to the changes submitted in the Draft prepared on July 23, 2013.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter

http://ibwc.state.gov/Files/DEA_Hunting_07232013.pdf


Tim Severns
8170 N Valley Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88007
575-642-8981

cc:
New Mexico Governor Susana Martinez
New Mexico State Senator Lee Cotter
New Mexico State Senator Philip Archuleta
US Representative Steve Pearce
US Senator Martin Heinrich

Local, State, and United States Representation
Hi Sally,

In the media you have been quoted saying that the DEA Hunting document does not permit target shooting along the proposed area. However in the excerpt below from the DEA document, Page 18, it proposes to "allow sport shooters to fire weapons in the area." Sport shooting involves various weapons, at varying distances and targets. As a resident in the area, this is one of the main components of the draft that creates the concern. As stated, it invites those without a hunting license to discharge their weapons in our neighborhood. Taking that into consideration, can you clarify the IBWC's definition of "sport shooters" in the draft? This will assist in better understanding the proposal.

Tim

USIBWC Draft Environmental Assessment: Page 18

"Allowing hunting will also allow sport shooters to fire weapons in the area. Sport shooters will likely leave more shells than hunters. Sport shooters will not be concerned about scaring the wildlife and may drive throughout the floodplain. However, sport shooters appear to currently use the floodplain, as is evident with shell casings in certain areas of the project, and it is not expected that the Allowed Hunting Alternative will increase the presence of sport shooters significantly more than those who are currently illegally using USIBWC lands"
It seems that the DRAFT NMDA /PdNWC report to the NMED about their recommended Watershed Base Plan for the reduction of E.Coli in the same reach should be mentioned and that it could be possible that the E.Coli measurements at the Rio Granda Bridge near Anthony might be reduced over time due to the allowed Hunting Alternative. (CGKeyesJr - Chair, PdNWC and Co-Chair, USIBWC Citizens Forum)
I don't remember that this was distributed to the total Council membership by Secretary Sue Watts as of 7.31.2013.
July 31, 2013

Elizabeth (Liz) Verdecchia, US Section, IBWC

Re: Draft EA, Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, New Mexico

I've provided two "sticky" within the attached file (DEA_Hunting_07232013 - CGKeyesJr input-7.31.13). These pertain to the Draft WBP of the NMDA/PdNWC concerning Water Quality that was prepared this summer 2013 for the NMED and the USEPA (which was mentioned in the USIBWC Draft EA), and the so-called distribution of this Draft EA to the membership of the PdNWC (which I don't remember seeing such by email from PdNWC Secretary Sue Watts).

However, I did receive the July 24, 2013 stakeholder letter from Gilbert Anaya, Division Chief, EMD, about this Draft EA by regular mail at my home office address.  

It is entirely possible that there could be a reduction of E. coli in the measurements taken at the Rio Grande Bridge near Anthony over time, due to the potential reduction of Avian in the designated area below Mesilla Dam during the next 5-10 years. However, such a reduction of Avian in one area could cause an increase in another area in the Canalization Project during the same period of time; causing little effect on environmental consequences throughout the project. I just know from my own Avian hunting experience that game birds will move throughout the region while hunting is occurring.

--
Conrad Keyes, Jr., P.S., P.E., ScD
Chair, Paso del Norte Watershed Council
801 Raleigh Road, Las Cruces, NM 88005
575-523-7233, alt email-ckeyes@nmsu.edu
http://www.pdnwc.org

CC: Amy Louise, USACE, ABQ District
Re: Draft Environmental Assessment for Hunting along the Rio Grande

To: International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC)

Attn: Elizabeth Verdecchia
      Natural Resources Specialist
      Elizabeth.Verdecchia@ibwc.gov

We (the undersigned) are opposed to the proposed action to allow hunting on IBWC property along the Rio Grande between the Shalem Colony Trail Bridge and Leasburg as described in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA). The most significant shortcomings of the Draft EA are that it does not adequately address the potential harm and impact to residents living adjacent to Rio Grande, to the potential harm and impact to recreational users of the Rio Grande, to the increased legal liability that will be incurred by IBWC, and to problems associated with selective enforcement of hunting restrictions along the Rio Grande. The focus of the EA seems to be on everything but people. It is just not clear that anything proposed in the EA will adequately ensure the safety and rights of the non-hunter. What is clear is that the taxpayers are going to pay for more law enforcement, more cleanup, more regulations, and more government; and, IBWC will have less money to get water to the farmers. Even the preparation and staffing of the EA is costing IBWC and the taxpayer.

We are not opposed to “hunting” in areas where access is controlled and the safety of hunters and non-hunters can be ensured. The proposed action does not do that for anyone. The proposed action does not provide adequate notification to adjacent residents or recreational users. IBWC should recognize that when an area is “hot” (i.e., occupied by hunters engaged in hunting), IBWC has a responsibility to keep residents and non-hunters at a safe distance; a much greater distance than the 150 yards in the EA – which incidentally just applies to dwellings and buildings; not to people that one would hope would have greater value than dwellings and buildings.

There is little accountability in the EA’s provisions for hunting. For the most part, hunters are unidentifiable, nameless and blameless. If they break the rules, it is unlikely that they will be caught or even identified. People generally do not approach other people with guns so that identification is generally impossible. The EA does not require or provide for hunters to sign-in.

We did not find the EA to include provisions for continuing review, analysis and rewrite (if necessary). Environmental Assessments are intended to be living documents that are periodically reviewed for relevance and include provisions for measurement and analysis to assess cumulative impact. This is another continuing cost to IBWC and the taxpayer.

We assume that IBWC has the legal authority to either allow or disallow hunting on IBWC Federal Land. This legal authority should be referenced in the EA. Also, other Federal or State approvals should be referenced along with any requirements that must be met.

We disagree with reference to the to the Shalem Bridge to Leasburg area as rural and unpopulated. The EA should include a quantitative analysis (perhaps drawing on google maps and census data). We are vested in and consider that Paradise Lane, Trails End, and Rocky Acres Trail area have substantial populations including children, pets, domestic animals and livestock. We have personal experience with bullets passing close enough (estimated within 15 feet) to hear loudly the swish of air and the ping off of our horse corrals.

The EA does not address or restrict the types of guns that will be allowed or include any analysis of lethal (or injury) distances.

The following specific comments are provided:

- Page 6 (2.1) "Because IBWC does not have the authority or staff to conduct law enforcement of USIBWC lands, the agency currently has granted jurisdiction of enforcement to the El Paso & Dona Ana County Sheriffs." It is not clear what laws are to be enforced. If the law to be enforced is “no trespassing” then there can be no hunting.
- Pg. 7 “There can be no hunting within 150 yards from a dwelling or building”. There is no mention of people. There are numerous residents and recreational users of these areas.

- Pg. 7 “Keep a safe shooting distance from other hunters and government personnel”. There is no mention of the general public (it is an insult that government personnel are more highly valued than the general public).

- Pg. 8 “Vehicular access to the floodplain and gated levee roads will remain restricted, and vehicles are not allowed to drive up and down the levee slopes”. We witness that this restriction is being violated virtually every day. To think that hunters will be more observant of this restriction is not realistic. There is fire threat from catalytic converters especially if no mowing restrictions are implemented in the hunting areas.

- Pg. 18 (3.4.2) “Currently, camping and all-terrain vehicles use are prohibited throughout the project”. We witness ATV’s and other vehicles being used daily in the vicinity of the Shalem Colony Bridge. When the river is dry, ATV’s and other vehicles run the riverbed often until 11:00 at night or later. Noise from these vehicles is annoying and significant.

- Page 18 "Allowing hunting will also allow sport shooters to fire weapons in the area. Sport shooters will likely leave more shells than hunters. Sport shooters will not be concerned about scaring the wildlife and may drive through the flood plane." Please define “sport shooters” and clarify why allowing hunting will also allow sport shooters.

Signed by:

_________________________  _________________________
David Morris                  Rene Blizzard
5945 Shalem Colony Trail      5945 Shalem Colony Trail
Las Cruces, NM 88007          Las Cruces, NM 88007
From: Rene' Blizzard <rene1234@q.com>
To: <Elizabeth.Verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 9:42 AM
Subject: Re: Draft Environmental Assessment: Allowing Avian Hunting ...
Attachments: Response_IBWC_EA_Hunting.pdf

The attached pdf file is provided in response to the subject Environmental Assessment.

Respectfully,
David Morris
Rene Blizzard
August 28, 2013

Sir or Madam:

As a third generation resident of the rural area between the Mesquite and Vado river bridges, I would like to enhance your knowledge of the ongoing problems we and our neighbors have had, and continue to have, with bird hunters. Our farm’s eastern border is the Rio Grande, therefore, every September we have to endure the seasonal lunacy of the dove hunters. I have found, over the years, that a sizable portion of these people have little or no respect for the people that live and work here, nor do they respect private property. I, along with two of my employees, have been hit with bird shot, as well as farm equipment being damaged. This occurred shortly after two hunters, whose parental lineage is questionable, were told not to shoot into the farm or across farm property. These guys have no concept as to what hunting really is. They park their jacked-up 4x4 pickup on the levee, directly across from my house, radio blaring, as they sit in their lawn chairs with easy access to their amply stocked Budweiser cooler waiting for dove to fly close enough so they can unload their 12-gauges, overkill for such a small bird, on them. I have heard four or five shots fired in rapid succession from the same gun. This means that at least one of them removed the plug from their shotgun to
increase the shell capacity. I do believe that is against the law. It has been my experience as a bird hunter in my younger days that if you miss hitting a bird on the first shot, chances are pretty good you are not going to get it on the second, third, fourth or fifth tries.

We have had people ignore No Trespassing signs, drive through the farm into fallow fields or recently harvested fields and set up camp anticipating a wonderful day of shooting. I have also run people out of cotton and chile fields as they trampled down these crops searching for a downed bird. A few of these honest and upright citizens came back later to help themselves to chile or onions or whatever else is growing. I have lost thousands of dollars in thefts of small pecan and pine trees. The part of the levee these hunters stake out as their own is usually littered with their garbage, food containers and wrappers, beer cans, toilet paper and that for which it was used, and box after box of spent brightly colored shotgun shells which will be there for many years to come. Do these idiots realize that the meager amount of meat these birds have is costing them more than Chateaubriand?

Many of us are involved in agriculture and have lived and worked on these rural properties for generations and do not appreciate the noise, litter, arrogance, disrespect and aggressive behavior of an increasing number of these so-called hunters, especially the younger ones.

I am also tired of finding on our farm dead and injured animals at the hands
of the dove hunters. We found a Great Blue Heron with its leg maimed, dead Red Tail hawks, dead and injured Cattle Egrets, many dead and dying Red Wing blackbirds, and this does not even include the mammals or reptiles. None of this carnage occurs any other time of the year, only September. I do not believe it is coincidental. It is also annoying to have to listen to shotgun blasts well after the sun goes down, or very early Sunday morning, the only day when many of us can get an extra hour of sleep.

Another point is to be made about the levee barricades. What a joke they are. These things do nothing but funnel people through EBID property and the private farms. No matter how many barriers the Boundary Commission puts up, these clever and wily hunters will always find a way around them, usually at the detriment of private property. Why put up No Trespassing signs and barricades if the government ignores their enforcement?

Sincerely,

Phil Archer
Archer Farms
1520 Archer Farms Road
La Mesa, NM 88044
From: RICHARD MORLEY <rmorley44@msn.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 6:37 PM
Subject: Need NEPA documents that authorized Rio Grande hunting closure

It looks like the original closure of existing hunting areas on the Rio Grande was a Significant Federal Action Impacting Use of the Environment that actually should have required a NEPA analysis before the areas could legally be changed to posting as "No Hunting". I've worked on many EIS projects for DOE and I think the cart is before the horse here. The NEPA process should have been engaged much earlier, and the No Action Alternative should therefore properly have been to "allow hunting as has been traditional usage". The other (non-preferred to many of us) Alternative Action would have been to "post the land against hunting because of the major environmental impacts" (which would need to be documented but don't exist).

Also, regulating hunting near towns is not the purvue of the Federal Gov't, NM law allows such hunting if the landowners within 150 yds provide written permission, and also prohibits any entity other than the State from regulating instances of firearm usage in NM, including hunting. This arbitrary Federal restriction of hunting areas seems like an end run around our rights as New Mexicans and US Citizens.

Anyway, please provide me with the NEPA documents that provided the legal basis for the Significant Federal Action that resulted in the original hunting closure, so I can compare them to this new NEPA effort to possibly restore traditional land usage. Thank you.

Disclaimer: since I have spent about 30 yrs on environmental work for various Federal Agencies, I should probably state that these are my own personal opinions and not those of any Agency nor my employer.
Lindsey:

I spoke with our Natural Resources Specialist, Elizabeth Verdecchia, who wrote the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) to make sure we all fully understood the language in the draft EA.

It is our intent to only allow bird hunting in the areas proposed for hunting. The comment in the draft EA you referenced is part of our evaluation of possible impacts in areas proposed for bird hunting. That comment acknowledges that allowing bird hunting may also increase the opportunity for other types of hunters to use the floodplain for unauthorized purposes. Anyone firing high caliber rifles, for example, would be subject to appropriate action by the Sheriff or NM Game and Fish wardens, but it may happen that they come to the area since others are shooting. It is our plan to reduce these types of occurrences by signage and close coordination with the appropriate law enforcement officials.

Thank you for bringing my attention to the possible misinterpretation that others could have of the draft EA. We will closely review and likely revise that specific language to avoid the impression that we are authorizing shooting of anything other than game birds.

Sheryl

Great, thank you, Sheryl!

Lindsey Anderson
Reporter
Las Cruces Sun-News
256 W Las Cruces Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88005

Phone: (575) 541-5462
Web: http://www.lcsun-news.com

Sheryl

Let me check into that more closely and get back with you Tuesday.

Sheryl
We are only proposing to allow bird hunting - nothing else.

Sheryl

>>> "Anderson, Lindsey" <landerson@lcsun-news.com> 8/12/2013 7:48:50 PM >>>
Thank you, Sheryl. Many residents have said that because the proposal allows recreational shooting, shooters would be allowed to use any weapon of any caliber. Bird hunters would use bird shot, but someone simply target shooting in the area could use large caliber bullets, correct?

Best,
Lindsey

Lindsey Anderson
Reporter
Las Cruces Sun-News
256 W Las Cruces Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88005
Phone: (575) 541-5462
Web: http://www.lcsun-news.com

From: Sheryl Franklin [mailto:Sheryl.Franklin@ibwc.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 12, 2013 4:26 PM
To: Anderson, Lindsey
Cc: Sally Spener
Subject: Sun-News re: hunting

Lindsey:

Here is a summary of the outreach USIBWC performed to citizens in the area of proposed hunting for birds (only). There has apparently been some confusion and some people think we are proposing to allow hunting for all game (deer, etc.) We are ONLY considering birds in accordance with all state and local laws. Therefore, there should be no large caliber shot used.

USIBWC has reached out the public in various ways. We put out a news release on the issue on July 11 in advance of our July 17 public meeting of the Rio Grande Citizens Forum at which the issue was discussed. We
also sent a meeting invitation to our contact list of interested persons in the area. I personally met with residents in the Shalem Colony Trail area. The Draft Environmental Assessment was published in the Federal Register and on our website. In August, we also sent out a news release and a notice to interested members of the public advising that the agency is accepting public comments.

If you need anything else, just let me know.

Sheryl

>>> "Anderson, Lindsey" <landerson@lcsun-news.com> 8/12/2013 2:47 PM >>>

Hi Sheryl,

I am working on that follow-up story on the hunting proposal (It will run Wednesday.), and was wondering if the IBWC had reached out to residents to let them know about the proposal.

Thank you!
Lindsey

Lindsey Anderson
Reporter
Las Cruces Sun-News
256 W Las Cruces Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88005

Phone: (575) 541-5462
Web: http://www.lcsun-news.com
August 21, 2013

WHAT DO YOU EXPECT THE NM TREDTAN USFWS SERVICE TO "DETERMINE" THEY LOVE HUNTING AND WANT TO SELL LICENSES?

Bob Young
Post Office Box 2153
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004

Re: Hunting Restrictions on IBWC Properties

Dear Mr. Young:

I appreciate your interest in the environmental and recreational value of the Rio Grande corridor in New Mexico. It is clear you have a deep understanding of the role the river plays as a life-giving flyway for numerous bird species. I hope you will be pleased to hear that as a result of the interest expressed by you and others, the U.S. Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) has been coordinating with the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and others to determine whether hunting would be appropriate and if it would conflict with other public uses of the floodplain.

Consideration of a policy change such as this requires the opportunity for all concerned organizations and individuals to comment on our proposal as a part of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). We expect to publish our Environmental Assessment (EA) of what impacts such a change would have on a number of aspects of our stewardship of the floodplain, including wildlife, cultural and water resources, land use and cumulative effects. The EA will be located on our website, the Federal Register and there will be wide-spread media notice about its availability along with the 30 day period in which the public can provide us comments.

Any comments regarding the EA should be addressed to Mrs. Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist at (915) 832-4701. Mrs. Sheryl Franklin, Chief of the USIBWC Operations and Maintenance Division is also available at (915) 832-4741 to answer any other questions you may have.

The Rio Grande is a natural jewel in our desert environment. I assure you the Commission takes its stewardship responsibilities seriously. I appreciate your interest in the recreational opportunities that the river corridor provides and your input into our deliberations as we attempt to balance all uses of USIBWC property in the floodplain.

Sincerely,

Edward Drusina, P.E.
Commissioner

The Commons, Building C, Suite 100 • 4171 N. Mesa Street • El Paso, Texas 79902-1441
(915) 832-4100 • Fax: (915) 832-4190 • http://www.ibwc.gov
Elizabeth Verdecchia - Hunting EA

OFFICIAL RESPONSE OF THE NEW MEXICO STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER (SHPO)

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

On behalf of the SHPO, I am providing comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) : Allowing Avian Hunting in designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico (HPD log 97350).

Attached to this email is a copy of the SHPO comments on the draft EA. A hardcopy of the SHPO comments is being forwarded by mail.

If you have any questions or comments please feel free to call me directly at (505) 827-4225 or email me.

Sincerely,

Bob Estes
8/20/2013

Ms. Sheryl Franklin 
Operations and Maintenance Division Chief 
Int'l Boundary and Water Commission 
El Paso TX 79932

Dear Ms. Franklin:

I am very pleased to announce in this letter our very avid support of your recent proposal to allow game bird hunting on various suitable USIBWC property in New Mexico adjacent to the Rio Grande at a safe minimum of 150 yards distance from occupied buildings as required by NM Dept. of Game and Fish regulations.

Briefly I would like to describe the organization I represent. SCS (Southwest Consolidated Sportsmen) includes 15 various fish and wildlife clubs and organizations with approximately 1500 members primarily residing in Dona Ana County, New Mexico. We have met regularly each month (last Wednesday, 7:00 p.m.) since 1986, over 27 years. Our main goals and purposes are to protect, maintain and enhance fish and wildlife habitats and encourage and enhance scientific research and management by present and future ethical sportsmen and women. We support various progressive activities and legislation laws of federal, state, community, public and private environmental organizations, etc. For example, we thank USIBWC for utilizing our suggestion to delay the annual mowing of excessive and/or undesirable shrubs and other vegetation until July 1 to avoid disastrous destruction of birds, nests, and eggs, and, juvenile mortality. Other very worthwhile IBWC endeavors we endorse include elimination of livestock grazing leases, and allowing a continuation of a native river border plant such as willow and suppression of an undesirable exotic, salt cedar (Tamarix), and the resulting enhancement of nesting habitats for endangered southwestern willow flycatcher and yellow-billed cuckoos.

We hope that you will continue to be aware of the contribution of hunting and fishing to the annual economy of New Mexico (304,000 hunters and fishermen spent $579 million annually, which exceeds agriculture income of $539 million annually).

Please continue your plausible and progressive plans for optimum watershed and wildlife habitat conditions.

Sincerely,

Sanford D. Schemnitz
Chairman
Ms. Franklin, Chief of USIBWC Operations and Maintenance Division
The Commons Building C, Suite 100
4171 North Mesa Street
El Pao, TX 79902 1441
We live 6 miles north of Picacho. You turn left on Trails End road, and follow it toward the river. The address is 6430 Pony Express Ct.
From: Bernadette <b.manning.kelly@gmail.com>
To: "Elizabeth.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov" <Elizabeth.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
Date: 7/28/2013 10:15 PM
Subject: Fwd: Bird Hunting North of Shalem Colony Trail, Dona Ana County, NM

My apologies, Ms. Verdecchia, I made an error on your first name regarding the e-mail below.

Bernadette Manning

Begin forwarded message:

> From: Bernadette <b.manning.kelly@gmail.com>
> Date: July 28, 2013, 10:09:19 PM MDT
> To: "Susan.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov" <Susan.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
> Cc: "Sheryl.Franklin@IBWC.gov" <Sheryl.Franklin@IBWC.gov>
> Subject: Fwd: Bird Hunting North of Shalem Colony Trail, Dona Ana County, NM
> >
> > Dear Ms. Verdecchia:
> >
> > Our neighborhood association recommended we forward to you a copy of our e-mail sent to your colleague Ms. Franklin.
> >
> > Thank you.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Bernadette Manning and James Kelly
> >
> > Begin forwarded message:
> >
> >> From: Bernadette Manning <b.manning.kelly@gmail.com>
> >> Date: July 28, 2013, 5:17:35 PM MDT
> >> To: "sheryl.franklin@ibwc.gov" <sheryl.franklin@ibwc.gov>
> >> Cc: James Kelly <celticearl@gmail.com>
> >> Subject: Bird Hunting North of Shalem Colony Trail, Dona Ana County, NM
> >>
> >> Dear Ms Franklin:
> >>
> >> Thank you for taking the time to talk with us by telephone on Thursday, July 25, 2013 regarding bird hunting along the Rio Grande River north of Shalem Colony Trail, Dona Ana County, NM. My husband and I own a home at 2328 Alta Mira Court, Las Cruces, NM. 88007 and our property runs parallel to the levee road north of Shalem Colony Trail in the Trails End Subdivision which contains approximately sixty homes.
> >>
> >> During migratory bird season in New Mexico, September 1st to October 9th, hunters arrive daily on the IBWC land behind our home and fail to comply with New Mexico law requiring hunters to remain at least 150 yards from a dwelling and not to shoot across a road, in this instance the levee road. Our home and yard are hit regularly with bird shot and we find shotgun wads on our property in the immediate area around our
As a consequence, we are often confined to our home during bird hunting season out of safety concerns. New Mexico Fish and Game is aware that dove hunters on the east bank of the Rio Grande north of Shalem Colony Trail often violate hunting regulations by shooting too close to occupied dwellings but it lacks the staff to enforce the law.

In summary, it is much too dangerous to allow hunting in this populated area since the homes are so close to the river's edge. We are strong supporters of the Second Amendment, but firearm safety is essential and it is not safe to allow hunting in the immediate vicinity of occupied homes.

We appreciate that you and your colleague, Mr. Solo, plan to visit our neighborhood on August 1, 2013. If you would provide us with a time and a location we would be happy to meet with you personally.

Thank you for your courtesy.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Manning and James Kelly
2328 Alta Mira Court
Las Cruces, NM. 88007
(505) 553-6039
b.manning.kelly@gmail.com
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing this letter of protest as a very concerned property owner on the West side of the river North of the Shalem Colony Bridge. Our property address is 8406 Rocky Acres Trail, Las Cruces, NM 88007. Having lived on this property since marriage to Tom Duval in 1969, I have had many incidents of dealing with hunters who erroneously believed they had every right to hunt on both our deeded property and our leased land in the above mentioned area. County Road D-13 ends at the point where River Heights Dr. intersects the pavement on Rocky Acres Trail. There is a gate that was installed in the early 1970's that was a joint effort between the IBWC, the DAC Sheriff's Dept. and my husband. From that point on North to our home, it is a very rough, narrow, 3 mile dirt/rocky road which crosses both IBWC land and private properties, following along the river at the foot of the Robledo Mountains. We have put up many NO TRESPASSING, NO HUNTING, PRIVATE PROPERTY, DO NOT ENTER, signs over the years to no avail. They are shot full of holes to the point you can't even read them or simply torn down.

There is no school bus service for residents with children in this neighborhood. This road is the only access to our properties and we all have a legal Right of Way in place to access our properties. Due to the dense brush (Mesquite, Salt Cedar, Tornillas, Sagebrush, and Cactus, not to mention huge tumbleweeds, it is almost impossible to see vehicles traveling in and out on that road. Most hunters are not even aware the road exists unless they come across the river and stumble upon it. Now that the river is dry for most of the year, that is a more common occurrence. During hunting season, it is common for us to hear multiple gunshots that are often coming from the brush just East of our homes. This is within 100 feet from the front of our daughter's house, and our house is just across the yard to the West. My mother-in-law was hanging clothes outside and bullets were whizzing past her head. She dropped to the ground and was unhurt but screaming when I found her under the clothesline. There have been numerous occasions when I was taking my kids and/or grandkids to school early in the morning and encountered hunters in the brush shooting across the road in front of me. Hunters have cut the locks off gates, torn down fences, and desecrated the landscape by littering. We have had cattle shot, and one of our Tom cats shot and mutilated and hung across one of the gates for my children to see on our way out to school one morning. This area has become more populated and there is more traffic on the road to and from our homes as we now have teen-age grandkids driving to and from school and work. This situation is problematic for hunters as well as those of us who live out here. IBWC no longer maintains the road. That became our responsibility in the late 1960's to this day.

We are respectfully asking that you prohibit all hunting on IBWC land that borders private property in the area from the Shalem Colony Bridge North to the Leasburg Dam.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Duval
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing this letter on behalf of myself, Tammy R. Duval, and my fiance, Gary D. Sury, and our teenage children, who reside at 8408 Rocky Acres Trail, Las Cruces, NM 88007. This letter is a protest in response to the proposal to allow hunting in the area from Shalem Colony Bridge north to Leasburg dam. Hunting should not be allowed in this area for the following reasons.

1) the safety of the residents
2) there is no distinct boundaries or maps for hunters to adhere to, keeping them off of private property

Safety for the residents in this area is of the utmost concern. The area along the river is surrounded by houses on the east and west. On the west side of the river, which is where our house sits, there is very thick brush. Our house is barely visible and the road in which we have to travel in order to get to Shalem Colony Trail is completely obscured. My house sits approximately 100 yards from the river. Every year during hunting season we have to call the Dona Ana County Sheriffs office or the Game and Fish Department because people are shooting across the river towards our house. I have had a bullet fly past my ear while riding my horse in the past because people/hunters can not see through the brush and trees. Even if hunters are not shooting at or near the house, they are inevitably shooting towards the road. Due to the low visibility alone there is a serious risk. If hunting were allowed, serious injury or death could possibly occur.

Another concern is the area between IBWC land and private property. Much of the land on the west side is deeded land. In most cases hunters would have to cross private property to access the IBWC land. Again, every hunting season, there will be hunters on my families private property and when it has been explained to them that they are on private land, many refuse to believe it. There are several "No Trespassing", "Private Property", "Keep Out" signs posted, but for the most part they are ignored.

My fiance is an avid hunter as are our boys and myself. We are aware of the rules of hunting on boundary commission land and private property. The issues with this proposal is foremost SAFETY, there are just too many residents situated very close to the Rio Grande, and secondly the area. The hunters can easily enough access the boundary commission land from the backside of the Robledos. That way they are not crossing private property.

We are respectfully asking that you consider this proposal carefully and prohibit the motion.

Sincerely,

Tammy R Duval and Gary D. Sury

P.S. I would like to add, in addition to the dangers, there are several pecan orchards that run along the Rio Grande on the east side. If you were to discuss this proposal with the pecan farmers they would be opposed. When buck shot is aimed around the trees at the overhead flying dove, those pellets fall to the ground, knocking of the maturing pecans and in some cases piercing the hulls and shells of the pecans, destroying the nuts. Allowing hunting in this area could have a negative impact on the farmers and cause financial losses.
Dear Elizabeth Verdecchia,

As an area resident, I support allowing responsible, licensed sportsmen to legally pursue the sport of bird hunting along the Rio Grande river in the three (3) locations specified in your July 23, 2013 Draft Environmental Assessment. Avian bird hunting during New Mexico Department of Game & Fish legal hunting seasons can be safely enjoyed by licensed enthusiasts—with respect for individuals, property owners and livestock. I support your idea of providing trash receptacles and encouraging participants to collect their spent shells and trash and then properly dispose of them, thus protecting the environment.

One suggestion I would make to make this endeavor safe and enjoyable for all would be to take the 150 Yard rule one step further. That is, in addition to following the rule that it is illegal to discharge firearms within 150 Yards of an occupied structure—bird hunters should be required to maintain a minimum distance of 150 Yards from other vehicles/hunters. Thank you for allowing me to submit my public comment.

Sincerely,

Paul Winters
Dear Elizabeth Verdecchia,

As an area resident, I support allowing responsible, licensed sportsmen to legally pursue the sport of bird hunting along the Rio Grande river in the three (3) locations specified in your July 23, 2013 Draft Environmental Assessment. Avian bird hunting during New Mexico Department of Game & Fish legal hunting seasons can be safely enjoyed by licensed enthusiasts—with respect for individuals, property owners and livestock. I support your idea of providing trash receptacles and encouraging participants to collect their spent shells and trash and then properly dispose of them, thus protecting the environment.

One suggestion I would make to make this endeavor safe and enjoyable for all would be to take the 150 Yard rule one step further. That is, in addition to following the rule that it is illegal to discharge firearms within 150 Yards of an occupied structure—bird hunters should be required to maintain a minimum distance of 150 Yards from other vehicles/hunters. Thank you for allowing me to submit my public comment.

Sincerely,

Paul Winters
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing this letter as an extremely concerned property owner. The Duval Family has owned property along the Rio Grande River since 1936. Five generations of my family have witnessed firsthand the disregard hunters have shown for the "NO TRESPASSING, NO HUNTING, PRIVATE PROPERTY, DO NOT ENTER" signs. These are usually shot full of holes or torn down.

Please reconsider or further explore the ramifications before sanctioning the proposal to allow hunting on IBWC land between the Shalem Colony Bridge north to the Leasburg Dam. The potential dangers to property owners who reside in this area have proven to be too great to permit for merely a group sport. Neighbors have witnessed and have concrete proof of incidents too numerous to count in the form of pictures, documented calls to law enforcement and personal accounts of occurrences.

Based on the additional information made available to you in the form of telephone calls, letters and emails from this community, not to mention the media exposure this topic has received, we are also requesting an environmental impact statement (EIS) be prepared and made available to all the property owners and residents in this area that will definitely be impacted by your decision. See last paragraph page 9, 2.7 of the draft environmental assessment.

Regards,

Jana D. Mendenall
8410 Rocky Acres Trail
Las Cruces, NM 88007
From: <halmmarshall777@yahoo.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <halmmarshall777@yahoo.com>  
Date: 8/20/2013 7:48 AM  
Subject: Please support this proposal for the lower Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

The International Boundary and Water Commission, at the request of southern New Mexico bird hunters, has proposed to allow bird hunting on its property in the Rio Grande floodplain from Percha Dam State Park nearly to Anthony. Please support continued hunting opportunity on IBWC lands along the lower Rio Grande.

Hal Marshall  
1084 San Ildefonso Rd  
Los Alamos  
New Mexico  
87544  
halmmarshall777@yahoo.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it may concern,
I am a hunter in New Mexico and I would like to continue bird hunting and other opportunities along the lower Rio Grande.

thank you

Timothy Chavez
813 Monroe St
Albuquerque
NM
87110
timc257@usfamily.net
From: <djsmfh@msn.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <djsmfh@msn.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 7:41 AM
Subject: IBWC Preferred Alternative

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the preferred alternative set forth in your draft environmental impact statement.

James Sorenson
10032 San Savino Court
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88007-8963
djsmfh@msn.com
From: <kloefkorn@lanl.gov>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <kloefkorn@lanl.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 7:29 AM
Subject: Allow bird hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Thank you for considering this option and allowing New Mexico sportsman to continue one of their passions!

LANCE KLOEFKORN
6101 CHACO CANYON CT. NE
RIO RANCHO
NM
87144
kloefkorn@lanl.gov
From: <jong4029@aol.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <jong4029@aol.com>  
Date: 8/20/2013 7:27 AM  
Subject: Hunting on IBWC lands

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it may concern.
I am, and have always been an advocate for hunting. It has been and is the reason for population of game animals thriving today. Small game and bird hunting gives opportunities for youth and other hunters to be able to have hunting opportunities otherwise out of their capabilities to perform. I feel that the proposed closing does more damage than leaving the property open as when closed only the type folks who damage and contaminate property will come in, where as with the property being open you then have respectful hunters and outdoors people there and will help prevent those who would trash and criminalise the property at bay somewhat.
Thank you  
Jon

Jon Goeke  
795 Hydra Rd. SE  
Rio Rancho  
New Mexico  
87124  
jong4029@aol.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I live near the Rio Grande in Radium Springs. I do not hunt dove or anything for that matter along the Rio Grande. Lots of people hunt dove along the river here. I do not see a problem with them. They are kind of noisy at times but if they are out there having fun with family and friends, more power to them. Let them hunt along the Rio Grande and do not listen to the few negative people that live along the Rio Grande that are very vocal.

Michael Dalton
11917 Robledo Vista
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88007
dalgracie@fastwave.biz
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Dear Sir or Ma'am,
I would like to cast my vote for the allowance of hunting along the Rio Grande River in the Dona Ana County area. If this does not infringe on any individual's private property rights, I believe it should be open to the public for recreational purposes without delay.

Thank you for your time.

E Smith
PO Box 1828
Santa Teresa
NM
88008
Ejs300mag2@vzw.blackberry.net
Comments Regarding The Draft Environmental Assessment to Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

Submitted by:

(Due to the fact that my comments may offend gun owners I DO NOT want my name made public.)

Submitted to:
The United States International Boundary and Water Commission
c/o Elizabeth Verdecchia, 4171 N. Mesa C100, El Paso, TX 70002
Elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov

August 23, 2013

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing these comments to express my objection to the proposal to allow avian hunting along the Rio Grande corridor from Percha Dam to just north of Anthony, New Mexico. I am a property owner adjacent to the levee in proposed Area 2. I have many questions that I would like answered concerning the Draft Environmental Assessment that was produced in response to the proposal. Given that I was informed of this issue about two weeks ago from a Las Cruces Sun News article, please forgive the brevity of my comments and any grammatical or spelling errors. I did not have adequate time to more thoroughly review the information provided in the Draft Environmental Assessment nor had the time to critically review my own comments.

Respectfully yours,

Comments, Concerns and Questions

Why were not ALL landowners adjacent to the levee not informed of this proposal? Why were all landowners adjacent to USIBWC property not give at least 30 days to comment on the proposal? Why were adjacent property owners not allowed to participate in the coordination process since the fall of 2012? Why were some but not all landowners who own property adjacent to USIBWC lands contacted? Was I discriminated against because of my low economic status? Why are adjacent property owners not considered stakeholders? Why have I NOT been given a chance to go to meeting concerning the issue of hunting? Why should I have to learn about this issue from the Las Cruces Sun News newspaper two weeks prior to the end of public comment? Being a property owner adjacent to the levee and not being informed shows a strong bias to hunting groups and a lack of care for property owners rights.
According to USIBWC Draft EA section 1.2 Purpose and Need “Shells on the ground and bullet holes on federal signs indicate that people are already using USIBWC lands to hunt or shoot firearms, regardless of current prohibitions, and stakeholders felt it would be better to formalize the areas where hunting could be allowed and where hunting should not be allowed.” This assumes that the only stakeholders are hunting groups and does not take into account that adjacent property holders are also stakeholders. The logic of this argument is also very flawed: since people are already performing the illegal activity shooting guns along the river it should just be legalized. If this same logic was followed why not allow hunting year around since some shooters poach game out of season? If this same logic was followed why not legalize drunken driving, rape, or murder since some people do it anyway?

In section 2.2 it states “USIBWC will also install trash receptacles at accessible locations, such as at bridges. USIBWC field staff will periodically empty the trash and dispose of it at the local landfill.” Where exactly will the trash receptacle be located? What type of trash receptacles will be provided? Will the trash receptacles be resistant to tampering by animals? How often will they be emptied? How will the USIBWC stop illegal dumping in or near the trash receptacles? Why should taxpayers pay for trash receptacles and pay for staff to empty and maintain them to benefit a very small percentage of the population? Why not have the sportsman’s groups that are pushing to allow hunting pay for the trash receptacles and also be responsible to empty and maintain them? It appears that the USIBWC is favoring sportsman's groups by providing trash receptacles and then cleaning up after them at the expense of ALL taxpayers. Why taxpayers should be required to provide assets and services that will only benefit sportsmen and illegal shooters?

In section 2.2 it states “USIBWC will also develop strong enforcement partnerships in order to enforce the hunting areas and restrictions.” How can the public be insured that the NMDFG will be able to enforce hunting regulations along the river? How will NMDFG be able to cope with more areas to patrol with their existing staff? Will NMDFG hire additional staff to patrol these new areas for hunting? If the Hunting Alternative is adopted, and accidents occur to adjacent land owners because of it, will the USIBWC be liable?

In section 2.3, Summary of Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives, Table 3 states under Biological Resources A. Wildlife, Effects of Allowed Hunting Alternative, Hunted Species AND Non-Hunted Species will be: “Potentially Adversely Affected.” How can the EA state that both target and non-target species will only be “Potentially Adversely Affected”? If a target or non-target species is killed or maimed should that not be call negatively affected? It would seem apparent to just about anyone that killing or wounding an animal is going to have a VERY negative affect. Again it seems that the Environmental Assessment has been written to benefit sportsman’s interest without the proper consideration of the effects on target and non-target species.

In section 2.3, Summary of Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives, Table 3 states under Community Resources, A. Environmental Justice: “Not Affected.” What kind of justice is it to not contact all adjacent property owners? Was I not contacted because of my low income? Again it appears that sportsman’s groups
have more say about the issue that adjacent property owners since all adjacent property owners were not
informed about allowing hunting for approximately 5 months in “their own back yards.”

In section 2.3, Summary of Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives, Table 3 states under Community
Resources, B. Law Enforcement “Not Affected, Not Significantly Affected.” How can it state that Law
Enforcement will not be significantly affected when law enforcement, especially NMDFG, have more area to
patrol? Would this not have a significant effect on law enforcements ability to cover more area with the same
amount of manpower? Will law enforcement hire additional personal to patrol all of these new areas for
hunting?

In section 3.1.1 Wildlife, it states “Because the hunting season is during the winter, no impacts are expected
for nesting migratory birds protected by the MBTA with the Allowed Hunting Alternative.” Very little of the
hunting season would take place in winter (December 21 to March 21). The hunting season would start in
summer (September 1) and continue for the three weeks until the first day of fall (September 21). The season
would continue all fall (until December 20) and then continue for about four weeks into winter (December 21
to January 27). Most of the hunting season will take place during the fall, not winter. Many resident birds
(Greater Roadrunner, Verdin, Curve-billed Thrasher, and Northern Mockingbird, all of which are protected by
the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, will breed in the fall if conditions are favorable. How can the USIBWC insure
that these birds will not be impacted if hunting is allowed? If the species are not targeted the increased noise
and traffic could affect nesting success. Why has the USIBWC not prepared an Environmental Impact
Statement that addresses this issue? Is there such a rush to allow hunting this season that an Environmental
Impact Statement will not be prepared as to appease sportsman’s groups?

In section 3.1.1 Wildlife it states “There is the potential for adverse impacts if hunters do not abide by the
USFWS regulations.” Since it is apparent to the USIBWC that illegal shooting is already taking place (holes in
signs, spent shells, etc.) why would it assume that by allowing hunting that sportsmen and shooters would
follow regulations?

In section 3.1.1 Wildlife it states “However, nonhunted species may be adversely impacted with respect to
contamination, since lead is commonly used in ammunition for hunting game birds. Wildlife may be
negatively impacted by lead in bullets. "Wild birds, such as mourning doves, bald eagles, California condors,
and loons, can die from the ingestion of one lead shot, bullet fragment, or sinker". Has the USIBWC
investigated the impacts on domestic animals that landowners adjacent to the levee own? How will domestic
farm animals such as chickens, Guinea fowl, ducks, and or geese, to name a few, be impacted from ingesting
lead shot that may fall on private property from the proposed hunting? Will the USIBWC be liable for
domestic animals that are harmed from ingesting lead shot because hunting is allowed?

In section 3.3.2 Water Quality, it states “It is unknown if spent shell casings could cause water contamination
as they may contain residual lead particles, and the bullets and lead fragments may disperse up to two feet
(Minnesota DNR 2008); however, minimal pollutants could be introduced from shell casings or stray bullets,
but the quantity would likely not be substantial enough to impact water quality in the Rio Grande, nor reduce
the river's ability to meet the designated uses.” Since it states that it is “It is unknown if spent shell casings
could cause water contamination” why is an Environmental Impact Statement not being prepared to properly
address this issue?

In section 3.4 Land use, 3.4.1 USIBWC Land Use and Surrounding Lands, it states “The designated areas are
more rural”. According to Google Earth (see attached exhibits 1-20) there are approximately seventy two
(72) buildings within one hundred and fifty (150) yards of the levee in proposed “Hunting Area 2” (see
attached images). Why would the USIBWC condone hunting in Area 2 since there is a great potential to cause
harm or death to people living adjacent to Hunting Area 2? The season for proposed hunting will be approximately 5 months. Should residents adjacent to the levee abandon their residences during the hunting season and seek a safe place to live? I have not surveyed buildings in proposed Hunting Areas 1 and 3 because I do not live adjacent to them but I request that a survey be done by USIBWC personal to determine how many structures there are within 150 yards of the levee before any decision is made to allow hunting.

In section 3.4 Land use, 3.4.1 USIBWC Land Use and Surrounding Lands, it states “It is unknown if the shell casings remaining on the ground for extended periods of time will leave behind contamination. Shells from lead bullets may contain residual lead particles (Lahner and Franson 2009; Minnesota DNR 2008).” Why is an Environmental Impact Statement not being written to determine if spent shell casings will cause contamination?

In section 3.4 Land use, 3.4.1 USIBWC Land Use and Surrounding Lands, it states “Allowing hunting will also allow sport shooters to fire weapons in the area. Sport shooters will likely leave more shells than hunters. Sport shooters will not be concerned about scaring the wildlife and may drive throughout the floodplain. However, sport shooters appear to currently use the floodplain, as is evident with shell casings in certain areas of the project, and it is not expected that the Allowed Hunting Alternative will increase the presence of sport shooters significantly more than those who are currently illegally using USIBWC lands.” Why should adjacent property owners have to be subjected to ANY more illegal shooting no matter how insignificant it might be? This is a public safety and property rights issue. Just because a few sportsmen want to hunt along the river why should adjacent property owners be subjects to their wants? Why should an illegal activity be made legal because people are doing it anyway? Again some people murder and rape so should that be made legal? Of course NOT!

In section 3.4 Land use, 3.4.1 USIBWC Land Use and Surrounding Lands, it states “However, the Allowed Hunting Alternative Plan includes signage to remind hunters to remove all trash and debris they bring into the area”. Since according to the USIBWC shooting is already taking place as evident by signs being shot, will the USIBWC monitor and replace signage that is vandalized by sport shooters? Will tax payers be required to pay for replacement signs? Why not require sportsman’s groups to pay for and maintain signage? Why should taxpayers be required to subsidize hunting by paying for signage, trash receptacles, and the personal to maintain them?

In section 3.5 Community resources, 3.5.1 Environmental Justice, it states “a proposed action must be evaluated in terms of an adverse effect that: Is predominantly borne by a minority population and/or low income population; or would be suffered by the minority population and/or low income population and is appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than the adverse effect that will be suffered by the nonminority population and/or non low income population.” It seems that the low income or potential low income population has not been considered in this assessment. Only large land owners adjacent to the river were contacted concerning this Assessment. Smaller land owners, some such as me, who are lower income, were not contacted concerning this Assessment. It would appear to me that because of my income and social status I was not contacted. Why was I not contacted and given the opportunity to participate in meetings? Why were large landowner adjacent to the levee contacted by not small landowners? Does the USIBWC favor the rights of large landowners over small land owners?

In section 3.5 Community resources, 3.5.1 Environmental Justice, it states under the allowed hunting alternative, “Areas designated as hunting areas in the Allowed Hunting Alternative were chosen because they were away from official recreation areas and away from urban areas. Allowed hunting areas are rural in nature. “Just because an area is rural does not mean that hunting should be allowed. The decision should be
based on if an area is occupied by home owners. Why should people who live in less densely populated areas be subjected to stray bullets, shot, noise, and trash because they chose to live in a rural setting?

In section 3.5.2 Law Enforcement it states “The Allowed Hunting Alternative will rely on external law enforcement to a greater extent. These resources are already spread thin within county and municipal areas. The action may have indirect impacts to the availability of law enforcement officers in other needed areas of law enforcement.” Since the USIBWC recognizes the fact that law enforcement will be challenging if the Hunting Alternative is allowed why would it approve of such an action? Why would the USIBWC condone hunting when it states that law enforcement is already “spread thin” within the proposed hunting areas? Why is the USIBWC adding MORE work for law enforcement than it can potentially handle now? Why not have sportsmen create a fund to pay for additional law enforcement in the proposed hunting areas?

In section 3.6.2 Noise Pollution it states “Noise pollution is defined as unwanted or disturbing sound that either interferes with normal activities such as sleeping, conversation, or disrupts or diminishes ones quality of life (USEPA 2013)”. Gunshot to me is unwanted and disturbing sound that would diminish my quality of life since I am a landowner adjacent to the river. Why should the USIBWC allow my quality of life to be diminished by allowing hunting and the associated noise pollution? Is it because I am of a low income status? One reason people live in rural areas is to escape noises generated in more urban areas. Why does the USIBWC think that people living in rural areas are more tolerant of noise pollution?

In section 3.6.2 Noise Pollution under the Allowed Hunting Alternative it states “This noise pollution may be adverse for nearby residents and livestock. However, because the designated hunting areas are in remote rural areas away from major urban areas and from recreational areas, the overall expected noise pollution will be minimal.” The noise pollution cause by hunting and shooting might be minimal in urban areas but it will not be for residents adjacent to the river. This assessment states that the noise produced from shooting produces noise that IS HIGHER than the threshold of pain and well over the OSHA level. Will the USIBWC be liable for pain, suffering, and or hearing loss that may be caused by allowing hunting in these areas?

In section 3.7 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts it states “Unavoidable adverse impacts include the minimal noise pollution that would be generated by the Allowed Hunting Alternative.” How can the USIBWC state that noise pollution would be minimal? Is this because adjacent property owner are deaf? Is this because adjacent property owner don’t care about their quality of life? It seems that the USIBWC is more concerned with pleasing Sportsmen and Shooters that the rights or quality of life of adjacent property owners.

In conclusion the Draft Environmental Assessments does not adequately address a host of questions and concerns regarding allowing hunting in designated areas along the Rio Grande canalization project in Dona Ana and Sierra Counties, New Mexico. Since hunting in the proposed areas has NEVER been legally allowed why is there such a rush to make a decision without an Environmental Impact Statement? Because of the gravity of the situation and how it would affect me and other adjacent land owners, I request that a full Environmental Impact Statement be prepared. An Environmental Impact Statement would better review all issues and would also allow time for the USIBWC to contact ALL property owners adjacent to the proposed hunting areas and let them be allowed to participate in the decision making process. The MOST important stakeholders who were marginalized through this whole process are the adjacent property owners, NOT sportsmen and shooters.
From: "Franco, Omar" <Omar_Franco@FMI.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 6:35 AM
Subject: hunting on the rio grande

I would like to say please do not close these hunting grounds I come all the way from Silver City, New México to hunt there. It is a beautiful place there along the river. Keep it open so all of our grand kids and many generations after can enjoy it like we do.

Omar Franco
Electrical Maint. Planner II
Phone# 912-5673
Cell# 519-2018
Email- Omar_Franco@fmi.com

[<cid:image001.jpg@01C938F4.CDC58760>]
[<cid:image001.jpg@01CA6381.8B065720>]
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Dear Sir or Madam:
I am writing to urge that you allow continued bird hunting along the Rio Grande in southern NM. Please support the IBCW proposal. Thank you.

Cullen Hallmark
2113 Botulph Rd.
Santa Fe
NM
87505
cullhallmark@earthlink.net
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

please do not lock us out of IBWC lands along the lower Rio Grand as a life long hunter we are continually locked out of tradtional hunting grounds

mark pantuso
826 broken arrow road
roswell
nm
88201
mark_pantuso@chs.net
From: Staci Moles <staci0704@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 5:25 AM
Subject: Proposed Hunting on IBWC land along the Rio Grande

Dear Elizabeth,

Please accept this letter as input "Against" the proposal to allow hunting on IBWC land from the Shalem Colony bridge North to the Leasburg Dam. As a member of the Duval family, residing at 8406 Rocky Acres Trail, during my childhood, I have first hand experience of the disrespect and dangers of hunters and others who disregard the no trespassing and no hunting signs that are posted. Opening an area that borders, roadways, residences and ranches (including livestock), for hunting, is extremely dangerous to the safety of my family and neighbors. Boundaries are already not respected or intentionally disregarded, giving authorized use of the area will only increase the danger to the residences.

I respectfully request that this proposal be rejected for the safety of my family and neighbors.

Thank you,

Staci Moles (daughter of the Duval family)
6636 Oasis Drive
Austin, TX  78749
512-919-2034
To:
IBWC
Attn: Elizabeth Verdecchia
4171 N. Mesa
C-100
El Paso, TX 79902

Re: Draft Environmental Assessment to Allow Hunting along Rio Grande

I strongly oppose the Proposed Action Alternative as it is in the Draft EA. This opposition is particularly for the proposed hunting from Shalem Colony Bridge to Leasburg. The EA makes little mention of the hundreds of residents, their children (many), pets, domestic animals, and livestock present in the affected area. Repeatedly, the EA erroneously refers to the proposed hunting areas as “rural” and “unpopulated.”

Also, I request IBWC to create an Environmental Impact Statement before any further action for the following reasons: Note-Quotes are from the Draft EA to Allow Hunting Along the Rio Grande….”

Page 9 (2.4) The following is repeated throughout the EA: “The USIBWC recognizes that the alternative with the least potential for environmental impacts is the No Action Alternative.”

Page 15 USIBWC anticipates (underlining is mine) that there will be little to no impact on any archeological, architectural or other cultural resources from the Allowed Hunting Alternative.”

Page 17 (Line 6) “It is unknown (underlining is mine) if spent shell casings could cause water contamination as they contain residual lead particles, and the bullets and lead fragments may disperse up to two feet (MinnesotaDNR2008), however, minimal pollutants could be introduced from shell casings or stray bullets...”

Page 17 (next to last paragraph) “Allowing hunting will increase the trash left behind, principally shell casings... It is unknown (my underline) if the shell casings remaining in the ground for extended periods of time will leave behind contamination.”

Page 11 “Impacts to wildlife from the Allowed Hunting Alternative are anticipated.” (my underlines) “There may be adverse impacts to non-hunted species in the form of noise from fired weapons, which may disrupt other wildlife, such as mammals, that use the river corridor for habitat or foraging.” How about pets, other domesticated animals, livestock and HUMANS????

Page 13 (last paragraph) Specific birds are listed which may be “affected” and they sound like they are already in short supply. The EA dismissively says that is not a problem since they are not “targeted.”

Page 18 “Allowing hunting will also allow sport shooters to fire weapons in the area. Sport shooters will likely leave more shells than hunters. Sport shooters will not be
concerned about scaring the wildlife and may drive through the flow lane.” (my underling)
Page 18 “Other areas throughout the RGCP (Rio Grande Canalization Project) which are not officially designated as recreational areas still have recreational users such as walkers/joggers, horseback riders and bikers.”
Page 20 (mid-page) “Shooting a firearm using ammunition with lead-containing primers or unjacketed lead bullets generate lead dust and fumes.”
Page 20 (3.6.2) Noise Pollution
Page 21 “The Allowed Hunting Alternative is expected to general (IBWC typo… should read ‘generate’) some noise pollution from the firing of weapons… the noise pollution may be adverse for nearby residents and livestock.” Note! This is the only instance I found of a reference to “nearby residents.”

Additionally, the Rio Grande River between Shalem Colony Bridge and Leasburg has MANY areas of PRIVATE PROPERTY. Opening this area up to hunting (bird and “sport”) will have incredible adverse affects on these private tracts and their owners.

I repeat, the Shalem Bridge to Leasburg stretch is FAR TOO POPULATED to legitimize and thus encourage hunting in the area.

[Signature]
Attention: Elizabeth Verdecechia
IBWC
Building C Suite 100
4171 N. mesa Street
El Paso, TX79902-1411

From: Joan R. Smith
Box 786
Dona Ana, NM 88032

Date: August 19, 2013

Re: IBWC Draft Environmental Assessment: To allow Avian Hunting along the Rio Grande

More Specifically Re: The Designated Section from Shalem Colony Trail Bridge to Leasburg

I agree with the first part of Tim Severns’ Proposed Change as follows:

“Provide a ‘No Action Alternative’ for Section 2 in the DEA Proposal. There are too many homes, businesses, roadways, and livestock in the area that will be negatively impacted by allowing hunters to harvest game in this area, and the implied consent that sport shooters will assume.”

Additionally, THERE HAS BEEN NO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT BY IBWC FOR THIS AREA. Yet, in the EA, IBWC frequently makes the statement that “environmental impact is not known,” for various activities associated with the proposed hunting. Counting Trails End, Paradise Lane and the other many neighborhood areas, plus much private property and homes and the farmers and ranchers in the area there is WAY TOO MUCH human and animal population to be allowing and ENCOURAGING hunting in the area. There are hundreds of people (many children) who will be adversely affected.

Joan R. Smith
Property and Homeowner, for over 37 years
From: "Joan" <jas4892@aol.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@IBWC.gov>  
Date: 7/26/2013 8:23 AM  
Subject: Re: IBWC proposal to Allow Hunting along Rio Grande...Shalem Bridge to Leasburg

Please count me as being in STRONG OPPOSITION to opening up hunting along the Rio Grande River from Shalem Colony Bridge to Leasburg North of Las Cruces, NM. We residents in the area were APPALLED to learn that IBSC proposes to add bird hunting to such a POPULATED AREA. There are about 60 homes and around 125 residents (many children) in the "Trails End" neighborhood ALONE. There are at least 5 additional neighborhoods in the area near the bridge. A best estimate is that there are several hundred RESIDENTS in the southern portion of the proposed area. In addition to homes, farms, ranches in the area there are large gatherings of people (particularly just north of the bridge) "recreating" year around and many of these are children. As many a 100 recreational visitors have been counted on any given weekend.

I belong to a grassroots group of residents (Friends of the Rio Grande River, FRGR) who just this past year requested IBWC to install signage to better control activities along the river in the vicinity of the Shalem Bridge. Trash and vandalism in the area has definitely improved with the signage. Adding hunting back into the mix is a move in the WRONG DIRECTION. Incidentally, we residents were apparently the last to learn of this proposal. We just learned a week ago, some of us only when it appeared in the paper. Meanwhile, it appears that those wishing the hunting had early access to inside influence and they are acting like it is a "done deal". I am a home and property owner in close proximity to the bridge for over 30 years. Joan Smith  jas4892@aol.com  575-526-4892  Box 786 Dona Ana, NM 88032

PS In a previous email I requested a hard copy of the Draft EA and I also asked to be placed on any communication lists in regard to this activity.
From: "Joan" <jas4892@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
Date: 7/23/2013 8:06 AM
Subject: Re: Hunting from Shalem Colony Bridge to Leasburg

Attn: Elizabeth for IBWC

Please count me in OPPOSITION to opening up hunting along the Rio Grande River from Shalem Colony Bridge North of Las Cruces. I am a property owner and resident for over 30 years near the river. There are HUNDREDS of other home owner residents, ranchers and farmers in the area in question. There are many others who drive into the area for recreation on the river banks. We all were APPALLED to hear that IBWC proposes to add bird hunting to such a populated area. There are 60 homes with over a hundred residents (many children) in the Trails End neighborhood alone. We have 5 additional neighborhoods in the nearby area. We were particularly upset to only learn of this within the past couple of days and yet the hunters apparently act as if it is a "done deal." How does IBWC plan to comply with NEPA? How about the Dona Ana County laws about hunting within 300 feet of any residence? Joan Smith 575-526-4892 jas4892@aol.com
Dear Elizabeth,

I have attached our recent letter to you to make sure we meet your deadline. Please listen. There are many PEOPLE in the proposed hunting area, contrary to the way it is described in the EA. Joan R. Smith

Jeffrey Smith
From: <jeffgilatu@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <jeffgilatu@aol.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 7:49 AM
Subject: Support for IWBC Preferred plan allowing hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the IWBC Preferred plan allowing hunting along the Rio Grande in southern NM. I have hunted here for over 20 years and this is an important resource for my family.

Jeffrey Arterburn
105 Pecan Drive
Las Cruces
NM
88001
jeffgilatu@aol.com
From: David Carey <daividh52@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:01 AM
Subject: Hunting on Rio Grand corridor

Elizabeth,
I am an electrician and a bird hunter. I think opening the Rio Grand corridor to bird hunting is a good idea. I am opposed to keeping the public out of public lands.

--
David Carey
From: <shooter1961@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <shooter1961@comcast.net>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:47 AM
Subject: shooter1961@comcast.net

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I, Cruz Sanchez live right next to the Rio Grande levee for over 12 years and have not experienced any damage from hunters hunting along the Rio Grande. I too am a hunter and would like to keep the bird hunting tradition along the Rio Grande. Thanks

Cruz Sanchez
4655 Riverwood Rd.
Las Cruces
New Mex.
88007
shooter1961@comcast.net
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

As a property owner on 8406 Rocky Acres Trail, Las Cruces, NM 88007, I am asking that you prohibit hunters from access to IBWC Land along the Rio Grande that borders private property. The inherent dangers to both hunters and property owners who reside in this area are many. Members of my family have lived here since my grandfather purchased this ranch in 1936. There have been too many incidents over the years involving hunters trespassing to even begin to cite in this e-mail. Needless to say, some have been serious and could have led to injury or death of a family member or the hunter involved.

This biggest danger is the road accessing our property runs along the western edge of IBWC land, crossing onto private property. Hunters coming across the Rio Grande to shoot birds along that narrow strip of land between the edge of the river and the road that we have to travel on, are therefore shooting into the brush and across the road, presenting a clear and present danger to anyone driving past. Hunters historically do not heed the NO TRESPASSING, NO HUNTING, signs that have been put up over the years. Instead they have been shot full of holes and torn down. We have confronted many hunters who were actually trespassing on our land, thinking they were on BLM Land. We have been threatened, have had livestock shot, fences have been cut, gates have been broken into, and hunters have carelessly desecrated and littered our property as well as IBWC Land. This problem will only become worse and be an even bigger risk to people who live here if you open this up to hunting seasons.

Please reconsider the safety of all before you endorse this. It is reasonable and understandable to open up land along the river where there are no populated areas. But that is not the situation here. I would invite you to come to the meeting at the home of one of our neighbors, Ms. Joan Smith, on River Heights Dr. on Thursday, Aug. 1, 2013 and we would be glad to take you on a drive on the road that we have to use every day and past the IBWC Land in question that borders our property. You cannot understand the situation we are in, until you actually see it. A map is not going to show you that.

Sincerely,

Tom E. Duval
From: "David Baeza" <david@desertvalleyinc.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:12 AM
Subject: Bird Hunting along the Rio Grande

Please let us continue to hunt along the Rio Grande so our children can enjoy in the future the pleasure of being outdoors.

Thanks for your time and effort.

David Baeza
2605 Dona Ana Rd. SE
Deming New Mexico.
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please do not close any of the Rio Grand river area or flood plane for hunting. I have hunted the Rio Grand for many years and it is one of the few, close, areas left that is not private. Please don’t bow down to the anti-gun group.

Gregory Parham
6 Cielo Dorado
Anthony
N.M.
88021
GParham@nmsu.edu
From: <johtaylo@nmsu.edu>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <johtaylo@nmsu.edu>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:19 AM
Subject: Hunting in the Rio Grande floodplain

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I am a sportsmen interested in protecting our right to hunt in the Rio Grande floodplain. I request the IWBC approve the proposal to continue the bird hunting tradition and opportunity along the lower Rio Grande.

John Taylor
1900 Myrtle Ave
Las Cruces
NM
88001
johtaylo@nmsu.edu
From: "Thomas, Jack" <jthomas@ad.nmsu.edu>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:52 AM
Subject: hunting on the Rio Grande

I am in support of allowing hunting along the southern Rio Grande corridor.

Jack Thomas, Professor
Department of Animal and Range Sciences
MSC 3I
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30003
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8003
575-646-1943
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the proposal to continue bird hunting on the lower Rio Grande.

Thank you

Randol Kirk

Randol Kirk
1517 Bryn Mawr Dr NE
Albuquerque
NM
87106
Rekirk@radsourc.com
Dear Elizabeth,

I am a long time bird hunter from El Paso who has had to travel just south of Albuquerque for many years in order to do any decent duck hunting. I want to thank you and your organization for coming up with a proposal to allow us to hunt the lower Rio Grande areas. Do you have an idea of when this proposed plan will be either enacted or denied?

Thank you,

Andrew Algermissen
From:  <nmfastpitch@yahoo.com>
To:  <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>,  <nmfastpitch@yahoo.com>
Date:  8/20/2013 9:00 AM
Subject:  support of the proposal

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

We have hunted for years and all of sudden you stop it, make no sense. Let's us hunt

michael cox
1880 cochita
las cruces
nm
88007
nmfastpitch@yahoo.com
From: <dhtset@hotmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <dhtset@hotmail.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:58 AM
Subject: support continued hunting on IBWC lands

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support continued hunting opportunity on IBWC lands along the lower Rio Grande. Thank You.....tmd

TM Dolan
PO Box 653
Pie Twn
NM
87827
dhtset@hotmail.com
From: <floresindio@yahoo.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <floresindio@yahoo.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 12:55 PM
Subject: Hunting in the Rio Grande floodplain

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it my concern: Please allow huntin along the flood plain as this has been a family hunting area for many years. I wish to pass this family tradition down to my grandchildren. Thank You

Gonzalo Flores
2140 Desert Drive
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88004
floresindio@yahoo.com
I support the proposed hunting areas along the Rio Grande.
Ms. Verdecchia,
I support the IBWC Allowed Hunting Alternative along the Rio Grande. I attended NMSU in Las Cruces earning a BS degree in Wildlife Sciences in 1983. During that time my friends and I regularly hunted dove and waterfowl along the Rio Grande from Percha Dam to Sunland Park. I'm sure hunting along the river had occurred long prior to my attending NMSU therefore, setting up the precedent that hunting was a legally accepted activity along the Rio Grande.

After I became a Conservation Officer with NMDGF, many of the Las Cruces officers continued to hunt dove and waterfowl along the Rio Grande. They also checked many other hunters along the river, so that area wasn't legally recognized by the state as an area off limits to hunting small game hunting.

Once I became the Migratory Game Bird Biologist part of my job was developing and printing the hunting rules and regulations for NMDGF. In those published regulations were listed areas where hunting was not allowed, including areas closed by federal agencies. From 1994-2010 the IBWC did not contact me to include the southern portion of the Rio Grande as off limits to small game hunting. It has only recently been decided by the IBWC that hunting was not an appropriate activity on IBWC property, and this was done without any public involvement. In the draft EA I didn't notice any reference of damage to property or infrastructure caused by lawful hunters, so I'm uncertain why hunting was deemed unacceptable on IBWC property in NM.

While many parts of the lower Rio Grande have become populated there are still areas where hunting is appropriate. The proposed three hunt areas in the Allowed Hunting Alternative address that issue. Hunter's license fees and equipment purchases provided the funds allowing state conservation agencies to manage wildlife resources. Access to hunting areas is a major concern for public hunters and one of the reasons stated for why some stop hunting. Diminishing hunt areas will continue as urbanization increases. Allowing hunting on IBWC property addresses this issue by keeping an area open to the hunting public, who have long recognized the lower Rio Grande as a preferred small game hunting area. Though there has recently been little waterfowling opportunity on the lower Rio Grande due to drought, most years there is ample water in the river to support duck hunting. Due to limited water in Dona Ana County, the Rio Grande is realistically the only place a waterfowler has any opportunity to hunt ducks. Unless one has a boat and can hunt the reservoirs.

Thank you for addressing this issue. I appreciate your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Tim Mitchusson
Retired NMDGF
August 23, 2012

Via Email

International Boundary and Water Commission
Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist
4171 North Mesa Street, Suite C-100
El Paso, Texas 79902

Re: Public Comment to Proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing in response to the International Boundary and Water Commission’s (“IBWC”) request for public comment regarding proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico. I am writing in full support of the proposal. I believe the proposal strikes an appropriate balance between public and recreational use, environmental considerations, and safety considerations. I urge the IBWC to adopt the proposed policy in full.

The role of the Rio Grande River in the support of bird populations in Southern New Mexico cannot be understated. The river provides a substantial flyway for many migratory birds, including ducks, geese, cranes, teal, as well as dove. Hunting along the river in this portion of New Mexico goes back for generations, and has been passed down from generation to generation. The Rio Grande truly represents an oasis in the desert for large number of migratory birds. Among the species of duck that flourish along the river during the winter months are Mallards, American Wigeons, Mexican Mallards, Gadwalls, Buffleheads and Redheads. In addition, the river often teems with Sandhill Cranes and Green Wing Teal. However, beyond the reaches of the river and the land immediately abutting it, waterfowl are relatively few in number and are limited to small stock tanks and ponds that are mostly located on private property. The only meaningful waterfowl hunting in this area is located on the river. In other words, the river is the only game in town. In addition to waterfowl hunting, the river provides an extremely rich dove habitat. Dove hunting along the levee area of the river provides the best dove hunting grounds in Southern New Mexico. I am unaware of any other game birds that inhabit the stretch of the Rio Grande River that is the subject of the proposal.
Following IBWC’s recent levee improvement project, new signs were placed at levee road entrances that specifically prohibited hunting. Now prohibit hunting. Prior to this time, there were not publicly posted signs that specifically prohibited hunting. Nevertheless, dove and waterfowl hunting has taken place along this stretch of the Rio Grande for generations. However, the current prohibitions make illegal virtually all waterfowl and dove hunting along the river south of the Caballo Reservoir. There are simply no other public hunting grounds that attract waterfowl in the numbers, or with the regularity, that the Rio Grande river does. The current policy essentially forecloses public waterfowl hunting in Dona Ana County, New Mexico, as well as a portion of Sierra County, New Mexico.

Although the restrictions on waterfowl hunting currently imposed by IBWC are considerable, the actual impact of waterfowl hunting on the Rio Grande River and the levee area managed by the IBWC are extremely minimal. First, waterfowl hunting seasons in New Mexico run from late October until late January for ducks and for a brief early season in September for teal. No hunting can occur outside of those times. Waterfowl hunting is most productive during a short period of time in the morning. As such, it is often limited to one or two hours of the day. As a practical matter, hunting days are also limited to weekend days for many hunters. In addition, the number of waterfowl hunters that have traditionally hunted the relevant section of the Rio Grande are relatively small, and their impact on the environment is virtually unnoticeable. In other words, if the proposal is adopted, their impact will be virtually unnoticeable because most of the time there will not be any hunting occurring by virtue of hunting laws and the character of the game itself. As for any impact on the preservation of the levees, the very nature of waterfowl hunting precludes the use of ATV’s, dirtbikes, and other motorized vehicles that might damage levee construction. Such vehicles are a dead giveaway to the keen eyesight of both waterfowl and dove. In other words, if a hunter is driving around on such a vehicle, is parked on such a vehicle, or is near such a vehicle, the hunter is not going to be successful. Were IBWC to adopt the hunting proposal, it is highly unlikely that hunters would use such vehicles for hunting purposes.

In addition to waterfowl hunting, dove hunting along the IBWC managed levee areas is extremely productive. Dove hunting might be more revered than any other hunting in this area of the United States, and dove hunting along the levee areas is among the best in the country. Dove hunting, perhaps more than any other type of hunting in this region is a cultural and social tradition that is passed down from one generation to the next. Indeed, certain IBWC managed lands in the Lower Valley Region of El Paso Country were made available for dove hunting following completion of the recent levee reconstruction. The dove hunting season in New Mexico is relatively short, lasting approximately four weeks in September and one week in December. As a practical matter, dove hunting is popular during “opening weekend.” However, following the opening weekend, the number of dove hunters drops precipitously. In addition, dove hunting is only productive during a brief period of time in the morning and for a brief period of time in the evening. As with waterfowl hunting, dove hunting’s impact is minimal, both with regard to the amount of time during any given day during the season that hunting is viable, and because dove hunting is subject to a season that is fairly short
in duration. However, the negative cultural and recreational impact of a prohibition on dove hunting along the Rio Grande is significant.

Another important point with regard to the hunting proposal is that the proposal only permits game bird hunting consistent with all state and federal laws. No big game hunting, varmint hunting, or other types of hunting would be allowed. I fully support and agree with this limitation. Moreover, the hunting that would be permitted can only be carried out with a shotgun. As such, the hunting proposal would not permit the use of a rifle or pistol of any type, and I would support the prohibition of rifles and pistols on IBWC managed lands. Recently, I learned that there was a complaint reported in the Las Cruces media by an individual about the proposed policy. Apparently, the individual believed that no hunting should be permitted anywhere on IBWC land along the Rio Grande because, at some point in the past, someone shot a pistol and the bullet entered his property. The complaint by this individual evidences a fundamental lack of understanding of the differences between a pistol or rifle on one hand and a shotgun on the other hand. As noted above, the bird hunting that would be allowed by the proposal could only involve a shotgun and the use of bird shot. It is impossible to hunt any of the birds that would be authorized by the proposal with a pistol or rifle. Unlike pistols or rifles, which fire bullets, a shotgun uses shot which does not travel to distances anywhere close to that of a bullet. With shotgun shot, energy dissipates rapidly after it is fired. The game birds for which it would be permissible to hunt under the proposal would likely be out of shotgun range at forty yards. Beyond that, a shot is not worth taking. To the extent that anyone on IBWC lands is in possession of a rifle or pistol, it certainly is not for bird hunting. As noted above, I would support a prohibition on the possession of rifles or pistols on IBWC property. Finally, I note that New Mexico law provides protection to prove property that borders public hunting lands by making it a crime to discharge a firearm within one hundred-fifty yards of an inhabited structure. NMSA § 30-7-4. It is a felony to shoot at a dwelling or an occupied building. NMSA § 30-3-8. In addition, it is illegal to hunt on any posted private property. These laws and others provide game wardens and law enforcement with effective tools to safeguard those who have property near IBWC managed lands.

For all of the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request that the IBWC enact the proposed hunting policy in full so that the hunting that has taken place for generations may be allowed to continue in order that this important cultural and recreational tradition may continue to be shared among fathers and sons and daughters, grandparents and grandchildren, and friends. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or to discuss further at the above address, email address, or by telephone at (915) 875-0392, Thank you very much for your consideration of this important matter.

Very Truly Yours,

[Signature]

Chris Skilem
Liz,

This gentleman says he e-mailed his comments to you but they bounced back as undeliverable. He copied me on the message and it looks like he used your correct e-mail address. Anyway, I am forwarding them to you.

Sally

>>> James Skillern <cskil@sbcglobal.net> 8/23/2013 3:16 PM >>>
Ms. Verdecchia/Ms. Spener,
My name is Chris Skillern. I am writing to submit a public comment for the proposal to allow bird hunting in Southern New Mexico. Please find attached my comment. Thank you very much for your consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,
Chris Skillern
(214)686-4220 (mobile)
August 23, 2012

Via Email

International Boundary and Water Commission
Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist
4171 North Mesa Street, Suite C-100
El Paso, Texas 79902

Re: Public Comment to Proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing in response to the International Boundary and Water Commission’s (“IBWC”) request for public comment regarding proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico. I am writing in full support of the proposal. I believe the proposal strikes an appropriate balance between public and recreational use, environmental considerations, and safety considerations. I urge the IBWC to adopt the proposed policy in full.

The role of the Rio Grande River in the support of bird populations in Southern New Mexico cannot be understated. The river provides a substantial flyway for many migratory birds, including ducks, geese, cranes, teal, as well as dove. Hunting along the river in this portion of New Mexico goes back for generations, and has been passed down from generation to generation. The Rio Grande truly represents an oasis in the desert for a large number of migratory birds. Among the species of duck that flourish along the river during the winter months are Mallards, American Wigeons, Mexican Mallards, Gadwalls, Buffleheads and Redheads. In addition, the river often teems with Sandhill Cranes and Green Wing Teal. However, beyond the reaches of the river and the land immediately abutting it, waterfowl are relatively few in number and are limited to small stock tanks and ponds that are mostly located on private property. The only meaningful waterfowl hunting in this area is located on the river. In other words, the river is the only game in town. In addition to waterfowl hunting, the river provides an extremely rich dove habitat. Dove hunting along the levee area of the river provides the best dove hunting grounds in Southern New Mexico. I am unaware of any other game birds that inhabit the stretch of the Rio Grande River that is the subject of the proposal.
Following IBWC’s recent levee improvement project, new signs were placed at levee road entrances that specifically prohibited hunting, now prohibit hunting. Prior to this time, there were not publicly posted signs that specifically prohibited hunting. Nevertheless, dove and waterfowl hunting has taken place along this stretch of the Rio Grande for generations. However, the current prohibitions make illegal virtually all waterfowl and dove hunting along the river south of the Caballo Reservoir. There are simply no other public hunting grounds that attract waterfowl in the numbers, or with the regularity, that the Rio Grande river does. The current policy essentially forecloses public waterfowl hunting in Dona Ana County, New Mexico, as well as a portion of Sierra County, New Mexico.

Although the restrictions on waterfowl hunting currently imposed by IBWC are considerable, the actual impact of waterfowl hunting on the Rio Grande River and the levee area managed by the IBWC are extremely minimal. First, waterfowl hunting seasons in New Mexico run from late October until late January for ducks and for a brief early season in September for teal. No hunting can occur outside of those times. Waterfowl hunting is most productive during a short period of time in the morning. As such, it is often limited to one or two hours of the day. As a practical matter, hunting days are also limited to weekend days for many hunters. In addition, the number of waterfowl hunters that have traditionally hunted the relevant section of the Rio Grande are relatively small, and their impact on the environment is virtually unnoticeable. In other words, if the proposal is adopted, their impact will be virtually unnoticeable because most of the time there will not be any hunting occurring by virtue of hunting laws and the character of the game itself. As for any impact on the preservation of the levees, the very nature of waterfowl hunting precludes the use of ATV’s, dirtbikes, and other motorized vehicles that might damage levee construction. Such vehicles are a dead giveaway to the keen eyesight of both waterfowl and dove. In other words, if a hunter is driving around on such a vehicle, is parked on such a vehicle, or is near such a vehicle, the hunter is not going to be successful. Were IBWC to adopt the hunting proposal, it is highly unlikely that hunters would use such vehicles for hunting purposes.

In addition to waterfowl hunting, dove hunting along the IBWC managed levee areas is extremely productive. Dove hunting might be more revered than any other hunting in this area of the United States, and dove hunting along the levee areas is among the best in the country. Dove hunting, perhaps more than any other type of hunting in this region is a cultural and social tradition that is passed down from one generation to the next. Indeed, certain IBWC managed lands in the Lower Valley Region of El Paso Country were made available for dove hunting following completion of the recent levee reconstruction. The dove hunting season in New Mexico is relatively short, lasting approximately four weeks in September and one week in December. As a practical matter, dove hunting is popular during “opening weekend.” However, following the opening weekend, the number of dove hunters drops precipitously. In addition, dove hunting is only productive during a brief period of time in the morning and for a brief period of time in the evening. As with waterfowl hunting, dove hunting’s impact is minimal, both with regard to the amount of time during any given day during the season that hunting is viable, and because dove hunting is subject to a season that is fairly short
in duration. However, the negative cultural and recreational impact of a prohibition on dove hunting along the Rio Grande is significant.

Another important point with regard to the hunting proposal is that the proposal only permits game bird hunting consistent with all state and federal laws. No big game hunting, varmint hunting, or other types of hunting would be allowed. I fully support and agree with this limitation. Moreover, the hunting that would be permitted can only be carried out with a shotgun. As such, the hunting proposal would not permit the use of a rifle or pistol of any type, and I would support the prohibition of rifles and pistols on IBWC managed lands. Recently, I learned that there was a complaint reported in the Las Cruces media by an individual about the proposed policy. Apparently, the individual believed that no hunting should be permitted anywhere on IBWC land along the Rio Grande because, at some point in the past, someone shot a pistol and the bullet entered his property. The complaint by this individual evidences a fundamental lack of understanding of the differences between a pistol or rifle on one hand and a shotgun on the other hand. As noted above, the bird hunting that would be allowed by the proposal could only involve a shotgun and the use of bird shot. It is impossible to hunt any of the birds that would be authorized by the proposal with a pistol or rifle. Unlike pistols or rifles, which fire bullets, a shotgun uses shot which does not travel to distances anywhere close to that of a bullet. With shotgun shot, energy dissipates rapidly after it is fired. The game birds for which it would be permissible to hunt under the proposal would likely be out of shotgun range at forty yards. Beyond that, a shot is not worth taking. To the extent that anyone on IBWC lands is in possession of a rifle or pistol, it certainly is not for bird hunting. As noted above, I would support a prohibition on the possession of rifles or pistols on IBWC property. Finally, I note that New Mexico law provides protection to prove property that borders public hunting lands by making it a crime to discharge a firearm within one hundred-fifty yards of an inhabited structure. NMSA § 30-7-4. It is a felony to shoot at a dwelling or an occupied building. NMSA § 30-3-8. In addition, it is illegal to hunt on any posted private property. These laws and others provide game wardens and law enforcement with effective tools to safeguard those who have property near IBWC managed lands.

For all of the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request that the IBWC enact the proposed hunting policy in full so that the hunting that has taken place for generations may be allowed to continue in order that this important cultural and recreational tradition may continue to be shared among fathers and sons and daughters, grandparents and grandchildren, and friends. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or to discuss further at the above address, email address, or by telephone at (915) 875-0392, Thank you very much for your consideration of this important matter.

Very Truly Yours,

Chris Skillem
Ms. Verdecchia/Ms. Spener,
My name is Chris Skillern. I am writing to submit a public comment for the proposal to allow bird hunting in Southern New Mexico. Please find attached my comment. Thank you very much for your consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,

Chris Skillern
(214)686-4220 (mobile)
August 23, 2012

Via Email

International Boundary and Water Commission
Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist
4171 North Mesa Street, Suite C-100
El Paso, Texas 79902

Re: Public Comment to Proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing in response to the International Boundary and Water Commission’s ("IBWC") request for public comment regarding proposed Rio Grande Hunting in Southern New Mexico. I am writing in full support of the proposal. I believe the proposal strikes an appropriate balance between public and recreational use, environmental considerations, and safety considerations. I urge the IBWC to adopt the proposed policy in full so that the hunting that has taken place for generations may continue. People have hunted the Rio Grande in Southern New Mexico for decades and it my sincere hope this important cultural and recreational tradition continue.

Very Truly Yours,

Steven R. Spitzer
From: Steve Spitzer <stevespitzer1234@hotmail.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 3:36 PM
Subject: FW: Hunting Proposal Comment
Attachments: 001.jpg
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I personally don’t live in the area but on occasion my son and I like to explore new places to hunt and fish. So my comment is biased in that hunting be allowed. The reasons to allow hunting are many, but mostly because it gives our residents and nonresidents another place to hunt and maybe give some of the other overhunted areas in the area some relief from over hunting. It brings money into the area as well. I know when my son and I go out to different places we spend the night in local motels buy food and fuel at the local merchants in that town. It would make good economic sense to let us hunt there. Thank you.

Armando Lucero
3304 Old Dexter Hwy
Roswell
NM
88203
fire_eater221@yahoo.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the proposal for bird hunting along the lower rio grande. This is a great opportunity for hunters, and I will respect the flood plain as I respect all land that I am allowed to hunt on.

George Perry
3513 N. Dona Ana Rd.
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88007
perrycon@msn.com
From: <Smarasovich@msn.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <Smarasovich@msn.com>
Date: 8/22/2013 9:23 AM
Subject: Please continue to allow hunting on the river

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I would ask the commission to please proceed with the Hunting option along the Rio Grande. Wildlife funding relies upon hunting opportunity and hunting license sales. People and wildlife suffer with the elimination of hunting.

Steve Marasovich
398 emerald mist
El Paso
Texas
79928
Smarasovich@msn.com
Ms. Verdecchia;

I am writing in support of the proposed action in the EA which allows hunting along certain stretches of the Rio Grande but precludes hunting in other sections. Generally I believe hunting should be allowed along the Rio Grande as long as it is done in a safe manner and consistent with Game and Fish regulations. Having worked for a Federal land managing agency (BLM) for over 30 years I understand the challenges your agency faces in making land use decisions such as those addressed in the EA.

The first premise in making such decisions must be that individuals will act in a responsible manner and will operate within existing laws and regulations such as those that guide hunting and fishing in New Mexico. Those regulations require individuals to be some distance from residences when hunting and to operate fire arms in a safe and responsible manner. None of the alternatives addressed in your EA will change irresponsible behavior or illegal use of fire arms by irresponsible individuals.

I support the proposed action which would allow bird hunting on USIBWC lands in three areas along the Rio Grande – a 30-mile segment downstream from Percha Dam State Park, a 10-mile segment downstream from Leasburg Dam, and a 15-mile segment downstream from Mesilla Dam. Bird hunting is generally done with a shotgun which generally have a range of less than 60 yards. I believe bird hunting in the areas identified in the proposed action can be easily done in a safe manner if NM Game and Fish rules are followed.

I realize some residents are concerned about the IBWC proposal but my experience is their concern is more about noise, dust and other issues associated with living near an area open to the public than it is responsible hunters enjoying their sport.

Thank you.

Tim Sanders
From: Justin Stroud <stroud.justin@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 11:23 AM
Subject: Hunting the Rio Grande

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

I am writing to you in support of the proposed preferred alternative along the Rio Grande River.

Please allow us to utilize our property, public lands, and waterways in our pursuit of game.

With kind regards,

Justin E. Stroud
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

IBWC,
As a bird hunter in Otero County I have little opportunity to hunt river environments and bottomlands. I urge you to reopen the proposed areas to bird hunting again. Thank You,
Ken Henderson

Ken Henderson
1816 Alaska Ave.
Alamogordo
NM
88310-5676
kenhenderson@q.com
I am a hunter who has carried on the family tradition of hunting, and I am for the alternative plan that will allow hunting in the designated areas of this corridor. The other hunters that I have been with are ethical and law abiding citizens, that will obey any postings of restricted or no access areas, but we would very much appreciate the opportunity to be able to bird hunt lawfully in this region. Our vote is for the alternative plan allowing hunting in these designated areas.

Thank you.

Roger Comstock
22 Oso Dr.
Tijeras, NM 87059
505-238-2295
I'm sorry that this is coming in so late on Friday, but I did want my voice to be heard before the deadline. I am a hunter here in New Mexico that attends NMSU, I agree with the proposed hunting boundaries along the Rio Grande as I use these areas frequently to supply food during the school year and to take a break from school work. I do hope that you allow there to be hunting along the Rio grande and will take my voice into consideration. I am aware that there was some concern as to an environmental impact statement, but I feel that hunting is actually bettering the wildlife habitats instead of destroying them. The current construction and equipment that have been along the river I feel are more of a threat than the hunting, plus a majority of hunters are also conservationists and are concerned for the well being of the wildlife for futures to come just as you guys are. Please move to allow for further hunting along the Rio grande so that we can protect the wildlife, and promote conservation awareness for future generations to come by teaching others through hunting and being in the great outdoors. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jacob Townsend
Elizabeth Verdecchia - FW: Comments re: Draft EA Allowing hunting in designated areas along the RG Canalization Project in Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, NM

From: Steve Henry <stephenrynm@yahoo.com>
To: elizabeth.verdecchio@ibwc.gov <elizabeth.verdecchio@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/16/2013 2:57 PM
Subject: FW: Comments re: Draft EA Allowing hunting in designated areas along the RG Canalization Project in Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, NM

Sent from Yahoo! Mail for iPad

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:
Thank you and the IBWC for the opportunity to comment on the proposed official change to allow for game bird hunting along portions of the Rio Grande Canalization Project. Please know for the record that I strongly support this. As an affiliate of some wildlife conservation and hunting organizations in Las Cruces, be assured this is much appreciated.

I have a couple of short comments that you may want to consider as changes to wording in the EA. Although sandhill crane and quail are not specifically mentioned in the list of species mentioned to be open for hunting, the wording does not preclude them. Nonetheless, they are the only two significant remaining species that were not mentioned and you may want to include them.

In more than one location of the EA, 'bullets' are mentioned appropriately as something of a potential hazard resulting from hunting. For years, the Dept. of Game and Fish has regulated that all the birds to be included for hunting here are only to be hunted with shotguns shooting shot. The ballistic differences between 'bullets' and 'shot' are significant. While shot fired from shotguns is only dangerous for less than 100 yards, a bullet is a single projectile generally of significantly more mass and may travel significantly further, a mile or more, while retaining significant energy to be dangerous.

Lastly, in the section concerning noise pollution, it should be noted that all hunting of the bird species being considered in the EA are again regulated by the Dept. of Game and Fish by legal hunting hours each day. All these species are only to be hunted from one half hour before defined local sunrise to one half hour after defined local sunset. All shooting that occurs outside those hours is not legal game bird hunting.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Stephen Henry
5062 Heno Mine Rd.
Las Cruces, NM

Sent from Yahoo! Mail for iPad
Re: Opening the Rio Grande to hunters

Dear Elizabeth Verdecchia:

I would like to explain my opposition to the Boundary Commission's opening the Rio Grande to hunters between Leesburg Dam and Shalem Colony Road. I live in an area about a mile north of Shalem Colony Road and there are many residences here. We have just had the river roads posted closed to hunting by what I assume was the combined efforts of the Game and Fish Department and EBID, and have not yet enjoyed a season of peace.

Because we are so close to town the people who come out to the river to hunt birds in this are in most cases not real hunters...they are only people with guns who have little respect for the community and either don't know the rules for hunting or don't respect them. It is clear that they don't respect us:

...They shoot our direction and hit our houses and make holes in our barns and cars
...They shoot where there are cattle grazing on leased BLM land in this area.
...They've shot holes in the sand filtering tank on my well making it non-functional.
...Shot falls down on my head from the trees behind my house. We have to stay inside our houses in the morning and evening...although one cloudy day last year they shot all day long.
...Although the Sheriff and the fish and Game man were very helpful last year...the "hunters" merely wait until they go away and continue their activities. The area was not posted so the sheriff couldn't give citation or tickets or whatever is appropriate.
...My neighbor went so far as to go out and take license plate numbers...but to no avail since last year the area was not posted and hunters can do as they please.
...The noise is horrendous and echoes up and down the river.
...We have children, horses, goats, cattle and dogs in our fields close to this indiscriminate shooting

In the past I've thought I should vacate my home during September in order to escape this chaos...But I need to stay here in case they shoot holes in something that might cause fire or flood.

Please PLEASE do not open this area to hunting again. We want to live like normal people.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Ashley Turner
6280 Pony Express Court
575-527-2345

about:blank 7/29/2013
8-14-13

Dear Ms Verdecchia,

I am happy to contact you on allowing hunting along parts of the Rio Grande. I have lived at 2885 Willow creek Lane for 21 years. My lot backs on to The River and the Del Rio Drain. Every year we get bulls coming down on our barn and Dressage arena were my grand children like to ride the 2 horses we own. When the bulls hit the horses they buck. I have called the police and the bureau of Fish and Game and they tell me there is nothing is nothing they can do. Our house is too far from the river. They say the barn does not count.

Thank you for taking the time to look into this problem. Hunters shoot some really nice birds that are not doves or quail.

Valerie Gibbs

2885 Willow Creek Lane
Las Cruces, NM 88007
From: Kyle Mulder <kdmulder@protechservicesllc.net>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 5:40 PM
Subject: Hunting

I just wanted to be another person to say I enjoy the ability to bird hunt along the rio grande.

Thanks,

Kyle Mulder
Sr. Technician
ProTech Services LLC
505-249-9958 cell
505-821-5130 office
866-463-7746 toll free
Dear Sir/Madam,

I think that I speak for thousands of hunters from New Mexico and neighboring areas in voicing my opposition to IBWC’s proposed closure of Federal Lands along the lower Rio Grande corridor to public hunting.

Sportsmen have been enjoying the hunting opportunities for waterfowl, dove, and other game along the lower Rio Grande for generations. In doing so, the sportsmen have provided the additional benefit revenue to businesses in small towns and local communities along the river where they have hunted and stayed, as well as much-needed revenue to NM state conservation programs through the purchase of tags and licenses. They have also served as stewards with a vested interest in safeguarding the river and its natural resources through organizations like Ducks Unlimited and other private initiatives. Without the incentive of hunting opportunities, these individuals will have few reasons to invest the time or money in stewardship and conservation.

With ever more restrictive land access laws and either prohibition of trespass or outrageous access fees being charged by private landowners, our dwindling public hunting lands are among the few remaining places where people can readily partake in their time-honored traditions and introduce the next generation of outdoorsmen to hunting and to the natural world. Please do not take these opportunities away from the current and future generations of sportsmen.

Thank you for considering my request and petition.

Sincerely, Max Shpak
From: "Steve Holden" <jholden@elp.rr.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/20/2013 6:34 PM
Subject: Bird Hunting

Hello Ma'am,

I am a concerned hunter and I speak for a lot of Soldiers that are stationed at Ft. Bliss, that will probably never get this message. Since we are stationed at Ft. Bliss, TX, we are very limited to the areas that we can hunt. New Mexico has a lot of places and makes up about 85% of the areas that we normally hunt. There are about 30,000 Soldiers on Ft. Bliss. I know not every one of them hunt, but I do know that dove and quail hunting is becoming more popular in New Mexico and this area that is going to be banned is an extreme opportunity for us to have a great time and enjoy the outdoors. I know I personally have about 15 -20 buddies that love to hunt dove in the Lower Rio area because of the pecan trees and water that it produces. This area is a huge moral builder for our group and I've noticed that other hunters and Soldiers use this area as well. I can honestly say that I'm speaking for a lot of people that will never get to email you. Please let our voice be heard. We don't want to lose our one and only great dove hunting area.

Thank you very much!!  Hooah!! Army Strong!!

Sergeant Major Steven R. Holden
Thanks for accepting my comments concerning the hunting proposal. I am both a big game hunter and a river levee resident. I understand the access concern people have. I have experienced it personally in areas I used to hunt. I don't hunt where people live. We have had numerous encounters with both bird hunters and rifle and handgun shooters. We have had a window in our home shot and my wife was peppered in our back yard, both from the levee. The same has happened to our neighbors. Although the Sherriff's Dept. has been fairly responsive, they can't be there all the time. I seldom see the Game and Fish officers. Bird hunting runs concurrently with Elk and Deer hunts, the priority hunts. Enforcement would be spotty at best. The biggest concerns I would identify would be the added traffic and dust, which is dawn - dusk, the litter, and the shooting of non-bird firearms. Another concern is the motion to extend the hunting seasons. I also thought you could not hunt within a mile of NM State Park property. Our property used to be farm land. It is now zoned residential. The area is growing and people are moving to the country. What used to be traditional hunting and shooting areas can no longer be safely used in that capacity. Thanks for your hard work and time.

John Diehl
Dear Ms. Verdecchia

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the International Boundary and Water Commission’s proposal to allow—and importantly, bring order to—bird hunting along the Rio Grande below Percha Dam. The old, long-standing ‘system’ was unenforceable and invited conflicts between bird hunters and valley residents. The correction, to forbid all hunting, went too far the other direction, depriving sportsmen of a legitimate recreation even in areas where conflicts would be minimal or nonexistent. The IBWC proposal is a fine compromise that allows the hunters access to rural areas along the river while clearly delineating residential and commercially developed areas and putting them off limits to hunting.

Rio Grande Valley residents and recreationists alike are fortunate that significant stretches of the southern New Mexico Rio Grande remain undeveloped and available to bird hunters, among others. As a career employee of the NM Game and Fish Department (now retired), I watched with dismay as development continually eroded hunting opportunity along the Middle Rio Grande, to the point that it is barely a shadow of what it once was. Having seen that opportunity disappear, I strongly urge that the IBWC pursue the controlled hunting scenario as proposed for the Low Rio Grande.

Again, my appreciation to you and the IBWC for taking the initiative to work with NM Game and Fish, sportsmen and other entities to find a workable solution, which I believe this is.

Sincerely,
John Crenshaw
Santa Fe NM

Member, NM Wildlife Federation Board of Directors

505 988-5948
Hello Mrs. Verdecchia. I would just like to take a minute of your time to express my support for the proposed hunting corridors along the Rio Grande. I feel that the proposed safety corridors are very sensible since the areas that would be off-limits to hunting are technically in town anyway. For the other areas where residences may be a concern, please know that responsible hunters already know that hunting is not allowed within certain distances of residences, as stated by law in the NMDGF guidelines. The areas that are proposed to be hunting areas give us sportsmen ample room to enjoy our river and the hunting that takes place along it. Thank you for hearing our concerns and supporting our hunting heritage in New Mexico.
Sincerely,
Jamie White
Hello Mrs. Verdecchia. I would just like to take a minute to voice my support for the proposed hunting areas along the Rio Grande. Hunting is a very strong, deep-rooted tradition in New Mexico and denying access to public lands is certainly denying a lifestyle to future generations of hunters. I feel that the proposed hunting areas are acceptable and we hunters definitely appreciate the IBWC listening to us. Again, thanks for listening to my voice of support and I look forward to spending many more mornings along the Rio Grande.

Sincerely

Jamie White
Ft. Bliss AOAP Lab
Assistant Lab Chief/ Engineering Tech II
(915)568-1612 - Tel
(312)978-1612 - DSN
james.i.white.ctr@mail.mil
Hello Mrs. Verdecchia. I would just like to take a minute of your time to express my support for the proposed hunting corridors along the Rio Grande. I feel that the proposed safety corridors are very sensible since the areas that would be off-limits to hunting are technically in town anyway. For the other areas where residences may be a concern, please know that responsible hunters already know that hunting is not allowed within certain distances of residences, as stated by law in the NMDGF guidelines. The areas that are proposed to be hunting areas give us sportsmen ample room to enjoy our river and the hunting that takes place along it. Thank you for hearing our concerns and supporting our hunting heritage in New Mexico.

Sincerely,

Jamie White
Ft. Bliss AOAP Lab
Assistant Lab Chief/ Engineering Tech II
(915)568-1612 - Tel
(312)978-1612 - DSN
james.i.white.ctr@mail.mil

Let us know how we are doing! Please take advantage of our Interactive Customer Evaluation (ICE) at:
http://ice.disa.mil/index.cfm?fa=card&sp=128966&s=435&dep=*DoD&sc=32
<http://ice.disa.mil/index.cfm?fa=card&sp=128966&s=435&dep=*DoD&sc=32>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Dear Ms. Verdecchia - I would like to register my support for hunting in the proposed corridors along the Rio Grande under NM Game and Fish Department guidelines. I understand that some folks are against hunting in one of the proposed sections, but responsible bird hunters, hunting with shotguns and following the Game and Fish Department regulation will pose no threat to any person, livestock, or property. -

Thanks, Joe Fries

Joe Fries
5361 Superstition Dr.
Las Cruces, NM 88011
575-642-2072
Hi Elizabeth

I just wanted to drop you a note saying that I support the proposed hunting corridors on the Rio Grande in New Mexico.

I have many friends that enjoy New Mexico hunting and want to assure you that we are responsible in our activities. Safety is always paramount and we take very seriously any regulations that prohibit shooting within nearby residences.

Thank for considering my input.

Ben Manzano
Solution Center Manager
Enterprise eTime
1851 N Resler
El Paso, Tx 79912
Office: 915.832.3653
Mobile: 432.978.3092
[ cid:image001.gif@01CE9FF1.A267A800]
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This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, notify the sender immediately by return email and delete the message and any attachments from your system.
Hi Elizabeth,

I would like to state for the record that I am in favor of the proposal to allow hunting in the proposed zones as outlined by the IBWC. Although it has not be "officially" authorized in the past, we are all well aware that hunting has been an acceptable practice along the river system for decades without causing significant problems. Recognizing that some landowners and residents that live along the river have expressed concerns regarding hunting, it should be noted that laws and regulations already are in place that address the safety issues raised. Game and Fish Department regulations already prohibit shooting with residences nearby.

I understand there are specific areas within the proposed corridors where hunting will be allowed within which some residents have been exceptionally vocal about safety concerns. If there are truly legitimate concerns being expressed, IBWC should consider closing these isolated areas rather than not allowing hunting in entire sections of the river. Perhaps some additional signage in these areas would prevent negative interactions between residents and hunters. If manpower or funding to install this kind of signage was an issue for the IBWC, I am certain that the local sportsmen's groups in the Las Cruces area would be willing to assist with this endeavour in order to help resolve some of these issues.

Responsible recreational hunting is enjoyed by hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals along the river system,..and that has been taking place for many years. Further, the river and the land between the levees presents the only opportunity for many people to enjoy this pursuit in the valley. It is of the utmost importance to those of us that participate in this pastime for the river and levee system to remain open to hunting.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the matter.

Sincerely,

Jim Bates, Las Cruces
From: Craig in New Mexico <craiglascruces@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 11:24 AM
Subject: proposed hunting along the Rio Grande

Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist
USIBWC
4171 N. Mesa, C-100
El Paso, TX 79902

Madam;

I support the proposed corridors for hunting in and under NM Game and Fish Department guidelines.

I also feel that responsible hunters know, recognize and follow the safety concerns expressed by residents along the Rio Grande and that NM Game and Fish Department regulations prohibit shooting near occupied buildings.

I request that you strongly consider adopting these guidelines.

Thanks, Craig

Jonathan Wright
937 Calle Calmado
Las Cruces, NM 88007
575-526-7796
>craiglascruces@comcast.net<
To whom it may concern,

I would be in favor of the hunting alternative being proposed by the IBWC.

Regards,
Pat Archer

Pat Archer
Principal Technologist
uFab, Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications
Sandia National Laboratories
Department 1746-1
Phone (505) 284-4137, Fax (505) 844-8985
To IBWC, I think that the proposed hunting areas are well thought out boundaries, that will serve the hunting and non-hunting public equally. As long as the hunting regulations are followed, there shouldn't be any problems, as is with hunting and fishing anywhere for that matter. I am in favor of the current boundaries. Thank you for all your work on this.
Below I add comment for the EA.

I concur with the preferred alternative. The vast majority of hunters are responsible and follow rules.

One possible mitigation could be to not allow use or hunting with rifles of any kind, at least in stretch 2, and possibly 3.

I support shotgun only hunting use in all three stretches.

From a NEPA standpoint it would be stronger to modify the hunt alternative on page 7 to not allow the use of rifles in the areas where hunting is being allowed, since big game hunting in not allowed. Disallowing the use of rifles should be added to the signs.

I feel the majority of careless incidents are not from hunters but shooters.

Same can be said for impacts of off-road vehicles in these areas.

thank you for the chance to comment.

Brian Locke
Las Cruces, NM
From: Robert Tafanelli <btafanel@zianet.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 5:01 PM
Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Elizabeth Verdecchia
Natural Resources Specialist, IBWC
4071 N. Mesa C100
El Paso, TX 79902

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

I would like to comment on the Draft Environmental Assessment referenced above.

I live about a half mile from the river near the area that would be closed to hunting. I use the La Llorona trail for biking and bird watching quite a lot. I have no opposition to hunting in the designated areas outlined in your EA, however, enforcement in the hunting and no hunting areas of what is proposed does concern me.

First of all, the Game and Fish Dept can only enforce their regulations. Game and Fish have no legal jurisdiction in the no hunting areas because that is a federal regulation. The EA says you will sign an agreement with sheriff's office for enforcement. However, especially during hunting season this area will take a lot of patrolling to be effective and it is unreasonable to expect an already over worked sheriff's dept to do that without additional funds to hire extra officers. I did not see any provision for that in the EA and would strongly suggest it be done.

Secondly, the EA indicates that hunting would only be allowed during appropriate small game season. Does this mean that at all other times shooting would not be allow in those hunting areas? I would strongly advocate no shooting outside of the hunting season. Also, is shooting allowed in the no hunting areas? I would hope not. This will require year around enforcement---more officers. I think these issues need to be clarified in the final EA.

Thirdly, I think there may be times when hunting the open areas should be curtailed or closed (for example when the river is reduced to isolated pools, the only water birds and wildlife have for long distances). In other areas the Game and Fish Dept would do that, however, they do not have the jurisdiction to do that in this case since allow hunting is a federal regulation---only IBWC can do that. Such emergency situations should be provided for.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on this important regulation.

Sincerely,

Bob Tafanelli
3881 Westview Ave.
Las Cruces, NM 88007
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

As a resident of 8406 Rocky Acres Trail, Las Cruces, NM 88007 from 1969-1983, avid hunter and career law enforcement officer in the state of New Mexico it is my opinion that allowing hunters access to IBWC land along the Rio Grande that borders private property is a bad idea. I am asking that you prohibit hunters from accessing IBWC Land in this populated area. The dangers to both hunters and property owners who reside in this area are many. There have been many incidents over the years involving hunters trespassing on private land that have escalated to arguments and armed confrontations. There have been instances over the years where I have had to produce a badge and firearm to make an argumentative armed hunter leave my families private land while visiting.

Hunters do not heed the no trespassing no hunting, private property signs that have been posted repeatedly over the years. Instead they have been shot full of holes and torn down. The amount of land available for hunting in this area is a narrow strip covered in thick vegetation bordering right of way and private deeded land. Furthermore the hunting is not that good in the area. I grew up there and hunted elsewhere.

It is reasonable to open up land along the river where there are no populated areas. That is not the situation here. Please reconsider your decision, this is a safety issue for all concerned, including the hunters.

James A. McCormick
Carlsbad, NM
Elizabeth Verdecchia - Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along Rio Grande Canalization Project

From: Will Kessler <willkess@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/23/2013 4:04 PM
Subject: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along Rio Grande Canalization Project

Dear Elizabeth,

I am writing you in support of the Allowed Hunting Alternative on the Rio Grande Canalization Project. New Mexico public land hunting is one of the greatest things about our state. In addition to being a long tradition, it is also a means to get young people in our state out into nature. It helps people appreciate the importance of our scarce waterways.

As a waterfowl hunter in New Mexico there are many limitations to river access and very few rivers. If there continues to be less and less space available to hunt, duck hunting will become clustered into fewer overcrowded areas. Overcrowding impacts the affected areas much more than having a system where hunters can spread out to a greater extent. It also becomes a safety concern at a certain point.

As duck hunters we must have respect for the land and through organizations like Ducks Unlimited and by being good stewards of the land we can have a positive impact on areas where we are granted public access. Through reporting illegal hunting and cleaning up both our trash and the trash of others that washes down the river we have a positive impact.

So, I kindly ask that you support greater access for Hunters along the Rio Grande Canalization Project and in return we will be good stewards to the land.

Sincerely,
Will Kessler

--
Will Kessler
1023 Girard Blvd NE
Albuquerque, NM 87106
505.577.1320
willkess@gmail.com
Dear Miss Verdecchia,

I am writing in response to the Allowed Hunting Alternative along the Rio Grande. I'm a hunter who wants to continue the bird hunting tradition and opportunity along the lower Rio Grande. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Susan Utegg
From: <doublecircleranch@yahoo.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <doublecircleranch@yahoo.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 10:01 AM
Subject: Lower Rio Grande Hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support hunting along the Lower Rio Grande.

Elias Estrada
1417 Sue Ct.
Las Cruces
nm
88007
doublecircleranch@yahoo.com
From: <rjohnson@fisherind.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <rjohnson@fisherind.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 9:34 AM
Subject: rjohnson@fisherind.com

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

my family and i support all bird and deer hunting along the river and in those areas its being a tradition for many generations we support the proposal thanks

russell johnson
5627 cletsoway dr
albuquerque
NM
87105
rjohnson@fisherind.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Hello I am a bird hunter that would like to keep hunting the southern area. As a kid my uncle always took me out to those places which you have closed and he’s dead now and I have showed my honor to him by hunting the areas he took me to. please reopen the areas you have closed.

Sincerely

Curtis Davidson
1410 Jeanie ct
Radium springs
Nm
88054
Sbk18@nmsu.edu
From: NobleD <nobledl1@peoplepc.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/19/2013 9:12 AM
Subject: Rio Grande bird hunting

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am very much in favor of opening areas along the Rio Grande to bird hunting. Opening these public areas to thoughtful bird hunting will utilize a resource that should be made available to New Mexico hunters. Your consideration in this matter is greatly appreciated.

David Noble
I believe this is from the couple that stopped by to visit with you yesterday. They also sent it to Elizabeth

Ray Aaltonen  
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish  
Captain - Southwest Area Operations  
2715 Northrise Dr.  
Las Cruces, N.M. 88011  
575-532-2100  

Support New Mexico’s Wildlife…Buy a Hunting, Fishing, or Trapping License and give to the Share with Wildlife Program.

---

As a family of hunters, we have hunted in NM since 1971 and support hunting in the proposed corridors, (specifically from Mesilla Dam to Hwy 226/Berino Rd. Bridge), under NM Game and Fish Dept. guidelines. We are responsible hunters who know the concerns and rules of safety, and laws prohibiting shooting near residential areas. The picking up of spent shells and trash is also a known requirement. Thank you for myself and family members who hunt in NM.

Respectfully,

Albert and Colleen Goodloe  
Rhonda Bartlema  
Douglas Wohleking  
Rashelle Wohleking  
Clayton Bartlema  
Dr. James R. Tigner, retired wildlife biologist  
Vernon Lovejoy
just making sure this got to you.

Sheryl
From: "R. Colleen Goodloe" <rcgoodloe1@att.net>
To: "Elizabeth.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov" <Elizabeth.Verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
CC: "raymond.aaltonen@state.nm.us" <raymond.aaltonen@state.nm.us>
Date: 8/15/2013 12:17 PM
Subject: Support Hunting

As a family of hunters, we have hunted in NM since 1971 and support hunting in the proposed corridors, (specifically from Mesilla Dam to Hwy 226/Berino Rd. Bridge), under NM Game and Fish Dept. guidelines. We are responsible hunters who know the concerns and rules of safety, and laws prohibiting shooting near residential areas. The picking up of spent shells and trash is also a known requirement. Thank you for myself and family members who hunt in NM.

Respectfully,

Albert and Colleen Goodloe
Rhonda Bartlema
Douglas Wohleking
Rashelle Wohleking
Clayton Bartlema
Dr. James R. Tigner, retired wildlife biologist
Vernon Lovejoy
From: "Acosta, Chon [CRDMX]" <CAcosta9@ITS.JNJ.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/13/2013 8:44 AM
Subject: Allowing Avian Hunting along Rio Grande canalization project.

To Whom it might concern,

My parents and I live close to the river levee near Hwy 226/Berino Road bridge. Since I was a child we have hunted on the river levee along with many other hunters that do it with respect to the farmers along the river.

In years that we were unable to hunt we could hear the sounds of shotguns from our house and for us it signaled the beginning of fall. While technically I understand that we were hunting illegally it was never enforced and have many times been checked by Game Wardens and have been in full compliance. Many things have changed in my 40 years of hunting. Road access is now very limited (I understand the reasons), many houses have been built around the area.

Having said that... Hunting can still be accomplished following the already existing hunting guidelines provided by the Game and Fish.

Please keep hunting within our reach in order that I can pass on a tradition of respect for others, the environment and Wildlife.

Video games have desensitized our youth about what it is to pull the trigger, hunting allows us to teach our next generation to respect firearms and the consequences of them.

You will hear from others of those hunters that are unethical and don't follow rules, but those exist in every area I have hunted and are a minority. Please don't let the majority of us pay for the those few.

PLEASE ALLOW LEGAL HUNTING ON THE DESIGNATED AREAS ALONG THE RIVER!

The Acosta family!
From: "Michael D. Clelland" <mdclelland@netscape.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/12/2013 7:17 PM
Subject: Proposed Rio Grande Hunting Policy in Southern New Mexico

I am in favor of this proposal.
I think it is a good idea.

Michael D. Clelland
Electrical Engineer, retired

_____________________________________________________________
Netscape. Just the Net You Need.
From: <mrive01@hotmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <mrive01@hotmail.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 8:24 PM
Subject: Rio Grande Hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please support continued hunting opportunity on IBWC land along the lower Rio Grande.

Michael Rivera
1022 E Center
Tucumcari
NM
88401
mrive01@hotmail.com
Ms. Verdecchia,

I would ask that hunting be allowed and controlled under existing regulation & laws that are enforced by the Sheriffs and New Mexico Game and Fish. It is my opinion that those are sufficient and adding to the complexity is not warranted.

Thank you for considering my point of view.

Tom Lightfoot
Las Cruces, NM 88011
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing in support of the proposed corridors for hunting along the Rio Grande. As an avid hunter, I am in support of hunting along these proposed corridors and under NM Game and Fish Department guidelines. Responsible hunters recognize the safety concerns expressed, and that G&F regulations already prohibit shooting with residences nearby. I feel that good sportsman should not be punished for the actions of a few unethical hunters.

Thank you for your work on this issue.
Sincerely, Kent A. Salazar

Kent Salazar
1621 Vassar Dr SE
Albuquerque, NM 87106
kentsala@aol.com
505-220-7083
From: <pennvejo@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <pennvejo@aol.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 7:24 PM
Subject: Rio Grand bird hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

If there is no damage from the hunters why not let them hunt. It is not like the property belongs to you, I was under the impression that it belongs to the TAX payers.

Tommy Pennington
Po box 1124
LA LUZ
New Mexico
88337
pennvejo@aol.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I see nothing wrong with hunting along the river as long as it is done responsibly. I grew up hunting dove and quail along varios stretches of the river. Bird hunters have been hunting the river way before people started deciding to build their homes there. They should have taken that into account before they decided to own a home there.

ROY HUFFMYER
500 FOSSIL VIEW ROAD
LAS CRUCES
NM
88007
whiteoaks@q.com
From: <bhill123@windstream.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <bhill123@windstream.net>
Date: 8/20/2013 3:12 PM
Subject: Hunting along the Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please support continued hunting along the Rio Grande flood plain.

Larry Hill
108 Schooley
Jal
NM
88252
bhill123@windstream.net
From: <quintinpruitt@yahoo.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <quintinpruitt@yahoo.com>
Date: 8/20/2013 3:02 PM
Subject: quintinpruitt@yahoo.com

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Dear IBWC,
Please do not remove anymore hunting privileges, as we tend to lose more & more; more, slowly as time goes by. I would greatly appreciate the preservation to be passed down to my son & further generations to continue to have the opportunity for bird hunting in these areas.
From a hunter: Thank You for your kind consideration.

Sincerely,
Quintin Pruitt

Quintin Pruitt
12 Isla Court
Roswell
New Mexico
88201
quintinpruitt@yahoo.com
From: <kwilliam@psl.nmsu.edu>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/21/2013 6:36 AM
Subject: Rio Grande Hunting proposal

Elizabeth.
I prefer the "preferred alternative" plan for the rio grande between percha and anthony. In southern NM this is the only viable flyway for those hunting ducks and geese. Restrictions of our hunting rights/privilege will only affect the law-abiding sportsmen and will destroy a traditional sport practiced by New Mexicans for many generations.

thank you.
Kerry Williamson

This email, including any attachments, may contain confidential and/or proprietary information and is intended for the person/entity to which it was originally addressed. Any use by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and destroying the original and all copies.
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it may concern,

I strongly support the USIBWC Allowed Hunting Alternative. I have enjoyed hunting in the proposed areas in the past and would appreciate the opportunities to do so in the future.

John Greathouse
612 Vermilion Ct NW
Albuquerque
New Mexico
87120
greathousej@conveyequip.com
From: <gwhmsh@q.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <gwhmsh@q.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 7:21 AM
Subject: Bird hunting along lower Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Requesting that bird hunting be allowed along the lower Rio Grande. Hunting brings no environment impact to the river! We should not be denied access to this area. More could and should be done to promote avian hunting in this state. Possibly thousands to millions of dollars of revenue are lost to the state and local communities due to this oversite.

George Harper
1529 22nd Ave. SE
Rio Rancho
New Mexico
87124
gwhmsh@q.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To: Whom It May Concern,
As a hunter who respects the land and wildlife as well as our precious water. I would plead with you to continue to allow the privilege to legally hunt the wildlife which flourishes along the Rio Grande.
As an average citizen, who does not own large tracts of private land the only viable way to experience the outdoors is to use Public land.
The proposed alternatives of forcing the general public onto small, select parcels of land diminishes the experience, opportunities for success and may possibly endanger the land users by over-crowding the area.
Please keep our Public Land open to those who will respect the land.
Thank You,
Daniel Owsley

Daniel Owsley
2204 Stagecoach St. SW
Los Lunas
New Mexico
87031
owsleydm@aol.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

As you know hunting on the El Paso section of the Rio Grande is over. And all we have left is the New Mexico side. Please don’t take that away from us. It’s all we have.

Aaron Rubio
11516 crazyhorse
el paso
Texas
79936
aaron@mtireadymix.com
From: <jcjnwood@msn.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <jcjnwood@msn.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 6:21 AM
Subject: IBWC preferred alternative

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the IBWC preferred alternative. It's getting harder and harder to find good hunting places, we need to keep those that were historically.

John Wood
11640 Nambe Ave. NE
Albuquerque
NM
87123-1337
jcjnwood@msn.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I strongly support maintaining as much bird hunting opportunities as possible on ibwc and all government lands in southern N.M. This important part of our heritage is quickly being diminished by the closing of most private lands with fee hunting rapidly becoming the only source left to experience this wonderful sport. This puts this wonderful heritage sport beyond the economic reach of most N.M. families.

Raymond Seagers
p.o. box 567
Edgewood
NM
87015
rhselk@aol.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please maintain and protect this bird hunting area for licensed NM hunters.

Martha Eden
P.o. Box 1381
Edgewood
NM
87015
eden@abq.com
I would like the area open to hunting. It is government land that is owned by the tax payers, which government agency manages the land is not important to me. "It's the peoples land". I have been a bird hunter for more than 30 years. My father introduced it to me as a teenager and now my kids are teenagers and would like to bird hunt with me. I pay 135 dollars a year for my hunting and fishing license in New Mexico. We can understand the low level of water in Elephant Butte from the drought, fishing was poor this year. I bought the license from New Mexico this year in hopes of bird hunting on the levee with my kids. If the land is not opened this year, I will be done spending any fishing or hunting money in New Mexico. I spend over 1,000 dollars a year on hunting/fishing in New Mexico,(gas, ammunition, camping/supplies). That money will be lost to New Mexico along with thousands of others who feel like me.

Dove and duck hunting is part of the heritage of the united stated, all the way back to early times. It can either be an event to spend time with your children and educate them on firearms and ethical hunting (best learned from parents) or just to enjoy an early morning or evening with friends. There is nothing illegal about bird hunting, just the land in which you hunt on.

Thank You for hearing my request.
Scott Sakells
From: <Hueyco1@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/22/2013 2:55 PM
Subject: Proposed hunting restrictions

Hello: I have recently moved back to Las Cruces after 20 years away. One of the reasons I moved back is the abundance of outdoor activities all over Southern New Mexico, including hunting along the Rio Grande Flood Plain. I want it known that there are many of us that oppose any type of restrictions that would be outside of standard hunting/fishing regulations.

Respectfully,

Craig Huey
From:  <garyjamieson@verizon.net>
To:    <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <garyjamieson@verizon.net>
Date:  8/22/2013 1:33 PM
Subject: Southern New Mexico hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I fully support bird hunting in the Rio Grande floodplain.

Gary Jamieson
1742 Hancock Hwy,
Honesdale
PA
18431
garyjamieson@verizon.net
From: Immo Hansen <immoh@nmsu.edu>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/22/2013 11:42 AM
Subject: Regarding: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I would like to express my support of the proposal. As a responsible hunter I would also be happy if Fish & Game could show a bigger presence in this area during hunting season.

Immo A. Hansen
Assistant Professor
Department of Biology &
Institute of Applied Biosciences
New Mexico State University
PO Box 30001 Dept. 3AF
Las Cruces NM 88003-8001
Office: Foster Hall #263
Phone: 575-646-7719
Fax: 575-646-5665
http://www.calliphora.de
Hello Elizabeth,

I would like to take just a moment of your time to advocate for the right to safely, ethically and legally hunt along the Rio Grande. Having attended New Mexico State I have years of fond memories hunting waterfowl and doves along the river. Over the years my buddies and I have picked up countless bags of litter, reported illegal dumping, assisted with two auto accidents (one severe enough to require helicopter transport of the victim) and assisted countless stuck vehicles. All this while safely creating memories and having fun.

Having responsible citizens hunting along the river not only allows us to enjoy our right to participate in the scientific management of our wildlife but also provides more vigilant, caring individuals in the field to help protect the sensitive and wonderful resource that is the Rio Grande.

Thank you so much for allowing us to have a voice in this important discussion!

Sincerely
Davis Davidson

Sent from my iPhone
Just wanted to drop a quick email stating that I am in support of the new hunting areas that the IBWC is proposing for this season. thank you for your time and consideration.
Hello,

I strongly support the IBWC preferred alternative allowing bird hunting along sections of the Rio Grande in southern NM.

Thank you,
Randy Creighton
4005 Embudito Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111
505-292-6805
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the proposal for continued hunting on IBWC lands along the lower Rio Grande.

Charlie Valdez  
612 King Edward Ave  
Las Cruces  
New Mexico  
88007  
charlie13valdez@yahoo.com
From: CLIFFORD VANCE <cliffvance@sbcglobal.net>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/22/2013 10:42 PM
Subject: Proposed hunting area

To whom it may concern:

This letter is to request the approval of the proposed hunting zones along the Rio Grande in New Mexico.

Dove and waterfowl hunting has been a tradition in the border region for decades. The zones in the proposal are very generous and are in areas where non-hunters and the general public would not be affected.

There is also a financial and environmental benefit that hunters provide from the purchase of licenses, habitat improvement stamps and federal duck stamps. Local vendors also benefit from the sales of food, ammunition, fuel, ect.

It is my opinion that if this area is denied, illegal hunting would continue and lawful hunters, like my friends and family, would not have an affordable alternative in New Mexico due to extended travel time and distance.

Thank you,

Clifford Vance and family.

ps. I would also like to include some numbers that I compiled:

Cost of 1 hunting license: NM resident-30.00 per yr  Non-resident-65.00 per yr
Req’d habitat stamp  4.00 per hunter
Req’d habitat improvement stamp 5.00 per hunter
Req’d federal duck stamp 15.00 per hunter.
Ttl for NM resident: 55.00
Ttl for non-res: 90.00

Daily sales impact:
dove hunting-Approx 40.00 for ammunition
duck-15.00 to 35.00 per box
goose-15.00 to 35.00 per box (usually 3-4 boxes used for geese per day)
misc. for food, clothing, decoys, hunting gear, shotguns.

Now some bigger numbers:
In Texas, Ducks Unlimited and its members raised $5,913,574 in 2012 alone.
Ducks Unlimited has helped conserve more than 1,394,686 acres in the Central flyway (which includes NM)
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I'm a hunter who wants to continue the bird hunting tradition and opportunity along the lower Rio Grande.

Leroy Apodaca
5501 Las Palmas St
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88001
leroyapodaca@yahoo.com
From: <huntrolo@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <huntrolo@comcast.net>
Date: 8/22/2013 9:11 PM
Subject: Hunting on IBWC Lands Along the Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I for one would appreciate the continuation of being able to hunt along the Rio Grande. As a parent with three children who love the opportunity to hunt doves along the river, I don’t have the money or time to take guided hunts elsewhere. I do believe that most who hunt along the river are responsible hunters. I also believe that local sporting goods vendors would suffer an economic loss without these hunting areas. Thanhs, John F. Hunter

John Hunter
5061 Apache Trail
Las Cruces
NM
88012
huntrolo@comcast.net
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.
	here is no reason to limit hunting on the lower rio grande floodplain. do the right thing and vote to support the proposal

gilbert saloga
616 east corbett
hobbs
nm
88240
gsaloga@valornet.com
.
From: <Tootalk1@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/22/2013 7:21 PM
Subject: comment on proposed avian hunting proposal

Dear Elizabeth Verdecchia,

Please include these comments with others regarding the EIS associated with the proposed Hunting 07232013 on IBWC lands along the Rio Grande in southern NEW Mexico.

What game birds are hunters targeting in these proposed areas? The ones spilling over from refuges, the ones that still follow the Rio Grande on their migratory routes? We are in a severe drought and the river and its environs are not healthy. As a long term resident in Radium Springs below the Leasburg Dam, I am seeing fewer and fewer of these birds as irrigation canals are covered and the Rio Grande is dry most of the year.

If this addresses a culling or limitation of bird populations normally sheltering in the Bosque del Apache and formerly marshy areas along the Rio Grande, it flies in the face of projects like the El Camino Real walkway from El Paso to Belen, efforts to bring back natural riparian areas, not to mention that all along this route, development has sprouted new clusters of residences and commercial orchards that severely change the open character of land adjacent to the canalization of the Rio Grande.

This proposed avian hunting would bring gun-shot reports to areas where horses, cattle and other animals are being raised on pocket farms as well as older established farms where they are part of owners's livelihood. Hunters would be looking for places to park along narrow agricultural roads and the two lane HWY 185. If you drive this area from Percha down to Mesilla, you can see how mixed the settlement is and how huge the pecan orchards are, making for a confusion about exactly where a hunter could safely shoot a game bird.

I return to my first question: what game birds? I have only spotted one Great Blue, five mallards and one Common Egret this summer. In this time of habitat crisis, I think the expansion of hunting would precipitate problems that we would all regret, both for the people and for the wildlife in this narrow band adjacent to the Rio Grande.

Sincerely,

Sandra Tatum
resident, Radium Springs, NM 88054
From: Derrick <datobeedog51@gmail.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/22/2013 7:02 PM
Subject: Prefered Alternative

Please allow game-bird hunting on the southern Rio Grande.
Thank You,
Derrick A.
Albq, NM

Sent from my iPhone
From: <eeworthen@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <eeworthen@comcast.net>
Date: 8/22/2013 6:36 PM
Subject: Hunting on Lower Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support hunting on the lower Rio Grande river. I have hunted there when I was going to NMSU in the 60s. Finding places for the public is becoming more and more difficult.

Ellery Worthen
504 eastview St. SW
Albuquerque
NM
87105
eeworthen@comcast.net
From: <tonys67vw@yahoo.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <tonys67vw@yahoo.com>
Date: 8/23/2013 10:18 AM
Subject: Support Bird Hunting along Lower Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please Support Bird Hunting along Lower Rio Grande! As of right now we have no opportunity to hunt any waterfowl along the Lower Rio Grande. Please Support for all New Mexican Sportsmens and outdoorsmen.

Thank you,

Tony Aguirre
645 Windmill Court
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88011
tonys67vw@yahoo.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I am a tax payer, hunter, land owner and a registered voter. The people have the right to access government lands for the peoples use. I see no valid reason to keep hunters or sportsman or any responsible persons from recreational use of the land in question. I am against keeping people out of that area for any non legitimate reason. There should be at least seasonal use of those lands. I am in support of the use of that land for hunting or any other legal or nondistructive reason. Thank you for listening to my opinion. Gary Lemmel

Gary Lemmel
2608 Garner r sw
Albuquerque
NM
87105
glemmel@comcast.net
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I am a hunter who wants to continue the bird hunting tradition and opportunity along the lower Rio Grande.

James Herrera
8904 James Ave NE
Albuquerque
New Mexico
87111
jkherrera@gmail.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I support the IBWC proposed alternative for continued bird hunting in the Rio Grande corridor.

Craig Runyan
280 Salopek Rd.
Las Cruces
NM
88005
crunyan@nmsu.edu
From: "Danny Hayes" <dhayes300@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
CC: "Danny Hayes" <dhayes300@gmail.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 9:41 AM
Subject: bird hunting the bosque

Elizabeth,

Thought I would send a quick note about hopefully opening up access for bird hunting along the river. As you know in New Mexico we do not have a lot of water and the Rio Grande is the common flyway of this state for waterfowl and dove. I have had the opportunity to hunt both as a youth and now as an adult now it's my turn to take out my kids for their first dove hunts and waterfowl hunts. There really is not a lot of places down along the river to hunt other than state wildlife areas which some people have to drive a long ways to do it. Lot of it is private land. In this day and age I think it's in our best interest to get the youth involved with the great outdoors, otherwise city life will consume them and our way of life will die off. By opening up access to the river for hunting and other usage. You can have a after school bonding experience with your family, getting friends involved with a new sport etc. Now I know a big concern is the trash dumping and other stuff. Most bird hunters I know are good people that care about the environment, we pack it in we pack it out. Including trash than maybe left by others. There are a few bad apples in every tree, but don't let that persuade your thoughts to all the great things this can open up for the public. Thank you for your time, Danny
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

If the IBWC Environmental Assessment (EA) indicates that hunting along the Rio Grande corridor will have little-to-no environmental impact may we respectfully request that the IBWC move forward with the “preferred alternative”?

We are responsible members of the North American Versatile Hunting Dog Association (NAVHDA) and accordingly like to occasionally get out with our trained German Wirehaired Pointers.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

F. Kip Paskewich

Barbara Bradley
From: <esodemann@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/21/2013 9:28 AM
Subject: Rio Grande hunting

Hello my name is Eric Sodemann and I am an El Paso native and have been hunting along the Rio Grande in New Mexico for many years. I purchase a non-resident Fishing and hunting license every year from New Mexico because that's where I do all my fishing and hunting. I have many friends that do the same and we enjoy spending our time along the area mentioned in the IBWC article. We have never run into any opposition and always clean up after ourselves and additionally when we find other peoples messes. The alternative would be awesome and I would really like to see it happen so that myself and my friends can continue to hunt along this corridor.

Respectfully Avid outdoorsman
Eric A. Sodemann.
From: "Liz Rose." <lizbetr@q.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
Date: 7/25/2013 8:00 PM
Subject: Hunting along the Rio near Shalom Colony Tr.

Dear Elizabeth,

I have been a hunter for many years in the U.S. and overseas and have taught safety classes for hunters. However encouraging hunters to shoot toward occupied homes and persons outside their homes could cause an unexpected tragedy. Does it seem prudent to take such a risk?

Charles Rose.
Elizabeth,

I am Maretha Branson and live at 4401 Rocky Acres Trail... near the Rio Grande River. When Dove season opens.. I have to be careful when I go out side as the Bird hunters do not care where they are shooting..private property or BLM. I cannot walk my dog during the season because of fear of being hit with bird shot.

It would be a travesty to allow Bird Huntng in this area and the river because everyone and the domestic animals are in jeopardy of being shot by hunters who don’t care where thy are shooting as long as they get birds..This must be stope for the safty of those of us and our pets that live in this area.

Sincerely,

Maretha Branson
4401 Rocky Acrea Train
Las Cruces, NM 88033
575-526-9032
From: "Carole Mathis" <cmathis@fastwave.biz>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@IBWC.gov>
Date: 7/31/2013 5:03 PM
Subject: Hunting Along the Rio Grande

I live near the River Bridge on Shalem Colohy Trail. Our house is on River Heights Drive just off Rocky Acres Trail. As our street name suggests, it borders the Rio Grande, and we live close enough to be in danger of being hit by a stray bullet if hunters are allowed to shoot in that vicinity. PLEASE consider the lives of humans who live near the river before you allow hunting around here. We have rights, too.

Carole Mathis
4855 River Heights Drive
Las Cruces, NM 88007
From: Charlene Salopek <mcsalopek@hotmail.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/1/2013 9:13 AM

July 31, 2013

To Whom It May Concern:

We are property owners on Rocky Acres Trail and wish to express our concerns about allowing access to bird hunters on this side of the Rio Grande River. We have private property and a home at 5403 Rocky Acres Trail. We drive back and forth to work and to town every day. Our children and grandchildren walk and drive along our property and we have already had problems being peppered by shotgun bb's from hunters across the river. We have animals that would be in danger also.

Allowing access on this side would even be of greater concern for our safety and the protection of our homes and vehicles. We have had a 4 wheeler stolen, a farm tractor vandalized to great expense and several other incidents of trespassing.

Our neighbor, Gene Cassidy, private property owner, has asked us to include him also in requesting you prohibit access to hunters on this side of the Rio Grande.

Our addresses are as follows:

Michael & Mary C Salopek
5403 Rocky Acres Trail
Las Cruces, NM  88007

Gene Cassidy
PO Box 91
Radium Springs, NM  88054

For the safety of all concerned, we ask that hunters be prohibited access on this side.

Sincerely,

Michael & Mary C Salopek
Gene Cassidy
From: John Criss <johncriss.4@gmail.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>  
Date: 8/6/2013 9:43 AM  
Subject: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas

Elizabeth,

I have lived next to the IWBC levy for the past 22 years. Dove season has been an ongoing nuisance for the month of September each and every one of those years. My house is subjected to a continuous barrage of shot and noise as in a battle field. One season I personally called the sheriffs department 34 times. Records were kept and turned over to the sheriff before the on slot of the next season. The sheriff obtained a letter from you folks with intent to prosecute people hunting on The Boundary Commission property. Nothing came of that agreement, although the sheriffs department was called a number of times that season.

Bottom line: Why would The Boundary Commission condone hunting in a housing area?

John Criss  
2268 Alta Mira Ct.  

575 202-8714
I am opposed to opening hunting along the Rio Grande River from Shalem Colony Bridge to Leasburg. I am a property owner and resident of the area close to the Shalem Bridge. This area is much more densely populated than the IBWC Draft EA indicates. There are many children in the area. Pets, other domestic animals and livestock are in abundance. The EA frequently has statements that the effects of opening up hunting “are not known.” YET THERE IS NO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT!!! Please consider this an OFFICIAL REQUEST for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the following reasons:

1) IBWC’s own words in the EA…the effects are not known or lack of adverse results are ASSUMED.
2) Sport Shooting by IBWC’s own words will be increased and IBWC admits to problems from that dangerous change.
3) The human population of RESIDENTS in the area is much much greater than described by IBWC. There is only one reference in the whole EA to “nearby residents” The EA shows very little concern for HUMANS.
4) Noise pollution… page 20 (3,6,2) page21

From:
Jeff Smith
Box 788
Dona Ana, NM88032
August 7, 2013
Ms. Verdecchia:

I have reviewed the documents presented on the IBWC website concerning hunting along the Rio Grande. Being a responsible New Mexico Sportsman from Dona Ana County and wanted to specify that I'm in favor of the Alternative Hunting sites and of course the proposed hunting responsibilities to clean up trash, shell casings, etc. Based upon my experience, this area is mainly utilized during the September Dove season and during this timeframe the area has a significant dove issue that hunting assists in population control and potential diseases from over population. I believe that this proposal is the best solution to promote the Dona Ana communities economics and protect the limited hunting areas offered for Southern New Mexico residents.

Thanks Randy

P.S. If you would like to conduct a survey or ask additional questions, please contact me at (575) 649-8586.

Thanks Randy
I have a major concern with hunting on the Southern Rio Grande in NM since much of the area is used for other forms of Recreation. From Las Cruces to Sunland Park there are a lot of trails used by runners, walkers, bikers and dogs. So if hunting is allowed in Southern NM it should be allowed in very limited areas. Basically only in areas north of Leasburg.

JT O'Brien, PE
Elizabeth Verdecchia - Fwd:

From: Sally Spener
To: Verdecchia, Elizabeth
Date: 8/13/2013 8:29 AM
Subject: Fwd:

Liz,

I am forwarding this message for your consideration.

Sally

>>> Mel Acosta <melecio2000@yahoo.com> 8/12/2013 9:42 PM >>>

Dear Sally
I would not like to see bird hunting in the Rio Grande, because as I remember from my younger years as my grandfather and I would ride horses with a wagon through the bosques, it appears that the birds and wild animals of the area would be greatly disturbed. I sure would like to see the real bosques to return to their natural state as I remember them. Mel.
Elizabeth  
I fully support hunting in the proposed corridors along the Rio Grande under the guidelines of the NM Dept of Game and Fish. I recognize the safety concerns expressed by some but believe the Game and Fish current regulations regarding shooting with residences nearby is all that is needed.

Thanks for your consideration.  
Joe Herman
Hope this finds you doing well,
I am sending this in support of the proposed corridors for hunting on the Rio Grande. There are some folks who are expressing opposition to allowing hunting in some of the included areas, specifically, the stretch between Leasburg and Shalem Colony bridge that is proposed to be included. I complete support hunting in these areas under NM Game and Fish Department guidelines, but I want to point out that responsible hunters recognize the safety concerns expressed, and that G&F regulations already prohibit shooting with residences nearby.

Thank you for your time!

Jerry Phillips
[cid:image004.jpg@01CE980B.72518390]
"Great minds discuss ideals;
Average minds discuss events;
Small minds discuss people."

Eleanor Roosevelt
Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

We have for two generations enjoyed the privilege of hunting on the jurisdictional boundaries along the Rio Grande, specifically for migratory birds, such as Morning Doves and waterfowl. We are therefore extremely supportive of allowing hunting recreation to occur within the jurisdiction of IBWC and EBID.

Sincerely,

Howard and Virginia Ness
From: <mdavis521@elp.rr.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>  
Date: 8/13/2013 6:14 PM  
Subject: Avian Hunting

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

I received a draft environmental assessment allowing avian hunting in designated areas along the Rio Grande River north of Shalem Colony Trail. We are opposed to this idea because our property and our neighbors' property in our subdivision buts up to the levy. Our home is less than 150 feet from the levy. This will create a dangerous situation for ourselves, pets and property. Please Google my address and observe the close proximity of my home to your purposed hunting area. Just imagine the liability if something happens to include loss of life or damaged property.

Myron Davis  
1535 Apache Canyon Ct.  
Las Cruces NM 88007
From: "Miller, Carol" <cmiller@utep.edu>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/13/2013 11:04 PM
Subject: they can shoot targets, not birds

no hunting along the Rio Grande-- we have a shortage of birds already

Carol Miller

Wildlife Rehabilitator
Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

This letter is written in response to the Draft Environmental Assessment to allow hunting on IBWC designed areas in Dona Ana County, NM. Specific comments I have regarding this document include the following:

1. **Section 1.2, Page 4:** The document states that “Shells on the ground and bullet holes on federal signs indicate that people are already using IBWC lands to hunt or shoot firearms, regardless of current prohibitions…” This is evidence that hunters along the Rio Grande are there illegally and therefore by definition are law breakers. Granting law breakers enhanced status over the objections of law abiding citizens is simply unfair and inappropriate.

2. **Section 2.2, Page 8:** Under the second bullet of the USIBWC Enforcement Action Plan; It is recommended that those annual meetings be opened to public comment. Property owners should be given the opportunity to provide specific documentation regarding the conduct and appropriateness of the hunters to help determine if the policy should be rescinded for future years.

3. **Section 2.5, Page 9:** The sub-title under Land Use; A. USIBWC Floodplain is not consistent with the title listed under Section 3.4.1.

4. **Section 3.3.1, Page 16:** Under “Allowed Hunting Alternative” I take exception that this will not impact the ability to control floods and impair water deliveries. Specifically, my concerns are as follows:
   a. Increased use of levee roads for hunting will result in surface rutting, washboarding, and an overall degradation of the driving surface over time.
   b. As the levee road degrades over time, it requires increased maintenance that IBWC has neglected to do unless specific high profile emergency funding is provided such as what has occurred under the ARRA program.
   c. With a lack of road maintenance, the levee becomes exposed to increased erosion, settlement, deterioration, and overall become compromised.
   d. A compromised levee is prone to rupture and could impact the ability of IBWC to control floods and deliver water.

In addition, the levee roads are not designed or constructed in accordance with local, state, or federal **roadway** design standards, but levee standards. The levee roads are insufficient in width to allow for two-way traffic, do not meet minimum design speeds for horizontal curvature, super-elevation, sight distances, roadside design (slopes, guardrail,
clear zone….), nor do they have adequate signage for safety. This lack of roadway design consideration will result in increased traffic accidents by the hunters that file in along the river during hunting season in large numbers. I have personally pulled out drivers who slid off the side slopes of the levee behind my house. Vehicles that damage the levee, or potentially block the flow of water, impair the ability of IBWC to carry out its mission of flood control and water delivery. Increasing the use of the levees by hunter traffic increases the risk to IBWC.

5. **Section 3.4.1, Page 17:** Under “Allowed Hunting Alternative,” second paragraph states
Allowing hunting will increase the trash left behind, principally shell casings. Keep in mind that shotgun shot routinely (at least once a week, every year, during hunting seasons) hits the roof of my house. I have a metal roof, and hear it rain down on my home. This is also a known impairment to the surrounding properties.

6. **Section 3.4.2, Page 18:** Under “Allowed Hunting Alternative,” this proposal puts the rights of hunters above hikers, joggers, bicycle riders, horseback riders, fishermen, pick-nickers, rafters, canoes, and swimmers. When bullets fly, people scramble away and avoid the river. It is sad that IBWC feels the need to chase away peaceful folks enjoying the beauty of the river for the noisy hunters, particularly in areas with adjoining homes.

7. **Section 3.5.1, Page 19:** I categorically take exception that this proposed action will not have any Environmental Justice impairments as documented below:

   a. Dona Ana County, largely within the reach under this proposed action, has a 70.6% minority population (US Census Estimates). The unincorporated minority populations that have NOT received accommodation under this policy (outside the cities of Las Cruces, Sunland Park, Anthony, and Mesilla) are 73.1%. This shows that those incorporated communities who have been accommodated with red-no hunting zones, is lower than those that have not been accommodated.

   b. Dona Ana County as a whole has a 25.6% population below the national poverty level (US Census Estimates). The unincorporated poverty level that have NOT received accommodation under this policy (outside the incorporated cities of Las Cruces, Sunland Park, Anthony, and Mesilla), is 25.2%. This shows that high levels of low income residents have still not been accommodated.

   c. Low income residents, with less disposable income, disproportionately seek out recreation areas that are free, or low cost.

   d. Recreational activities on the river include walkers, joggers, bicycle riders, fishermen, pick-nickers, rafters, canoes, and swimmers are enjoyed disproportionately by Hispanic and low income residents of the region. I witness this all the time behind my house, people of low income and minorities enjoying the benefits the river offers for recreation.

   e. It will be the low income and Hispanic population that are disproportionately affected when hunters begin shooting, thereby chasing them away for fear of getting accidentally shot. This action definitely has EJ consequences which should be further investigated and included in the final EA. It is simply not sufficient to state “No decision was made based on race or income.” That does not matter. What matters is who suffers the consequences from the decision and in this case it is low income and minority populations that will suffer.
8. Section 3.6.2, Page 21: Under “Allowed Hunting Alternative,” in the first sentence, the word general should be replaced with generate.

9. Section 3.6.2, Page 21: Under “Allowed Hunting Alternative,” the noise level is not “minimal” if it happens in your back yard. A friend of mine commented that it sounded like he was in Viet Nam when he heard all of the shooting going on. It is not pleasant and the noise is not “minimal” if it happens behind your house.

10. Section 5, Page 22: An additional mitigation measure should include additional “No Hunting” signage within the approved hunting areas where homes completely occupy the river floodplain (up to the edge of the primary channel flow). This will also allow law enforcement the ability to quickly identify those zones without having to guess.

**Personal Concerns about this proposal include the following:**

1. Distance from my house to edge of the permanent river flow is within 150 yards. See Attachment 1.

2. Hunters have not shown courtesy to local residents:
   a. They shoot over our house and shot hits our roof;
   b. They shoot birds that fly east and the birds fall and die in our yard;
   c. They shoot from bed of pick-up;
   d. Small children are shooting shot guns from the back of pick-up trucks;
   e. They are not capable of judging 150 yards from house as shown on the photograph in Attachment 2. They do it every year, every season, early morning and late in the evening when the birds are active.

**Recommended Amendments to Draft Document:**

1. Consider the No Action Alternative for the following reasons:
   a. This proposal is a threat to the health, safety and welfare of the residents along the Rio Grande;
   b. This proposal may impair the ability of IBWC to carry out its mission of flood control and water deliveries within the Rio Grande from the degradation of the levee by continuous use of the levee roads by increased hunter traffic;
   c. This proposal violates the Environmental Justice of the minority and low income residents of Dona Ana County.

2. As an Alternative, please consider amending the No Hunting zone maps Figure 2 and Map 3 of 6 (see Attachment 3), beyond the residential homes north of the Shalem bridge. The advantages of this proposal include:
   a. Avoids confrontation with adjoining densely populated homes along river basin.
   b. Reduces the need for continuous law enforcement between Shalem Bridge and Lujan-Hill Road.

3. If the maps shown on Figure 2 and Map 3 of 6 remain approved by IBWC, please consider another mitigation measures as follows:
a. Post additional signs where homes are within the 150 yard limit. The advantages of this mitigation measure is:
   i. Hunters can’t seem to judge 150 yards, nor are they willing to exercise prudent judgment on their own. It needs to be made simple for them. Something visual where they have to be willfully negligent to shoot in those zones.
   ii. Signs will help Law Enforcement to clearly issue citations when the distance boundary is violated because of the extra signage.
   iii. It would be similar to a 15 mph School Zone on a 40 mph roadway. The overall area would be open to hunting, but very specific and identifiable areas would be off limits due to the 150-yard limit and signage posted to identify as such.
   iv. At a public meeting on August 13, 2013 with the Dona Ana County Sherriff and the NM Game and Fish, when asked, they both stated they would support this type of proposal if it was agreeable to IBWC. It would require additional work on the part of IBWC (to identify those properties, but with the help of Google Earth, not to difficult as demonstrated on Attachment 1), but is manageable.

b. Install additional gates along the levee at the Shalem Bridge and at Lujan-Hill Road (See Attachment 4). This would require the hunters to walk along the river to those areas where there is a higher density of hornes. Hunters, who are willing to walk, are generally the ones who obey the 150-yard distance requirement. They also are more likely to see the homes as they walk along the river. The gate could be locked only during the hunting season, and opened the rest of the year for everyone else to enjoy. Nobody in their right mind would fish or ride their bicycle out there during hunting season. So by locking the gates only during hunting season (two months out of the year), it forces the hunters to respect the adjacent property owners more. By limiting the hunter traffic, IBWC also minimizes the degradation of the levee road and minimizes the risk of potential levee breach.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposal and hope our recommendations are considered.

Thank You,

Jerry B. Paz
Length of Yellow Line is 150 Yards from House Corners

Area bounded by circles represent No Hunting Zones (From only Paz Home)

Trucks & Hunters in Photo

Small Boy in bed of truck shooting
Extend No Hunting Zone to Lujan-Hill Road (Paz Proposal)

Figure 2 Proposed Designated Hunting Areas for the Allowed Hunting Alternative
Extend No Hunting Zone to Lujan-Hill Road (Paz Proposal)
Elizabeth:

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to comment on this Draft Environmental Document. Pleased find our comments on the attached document. We hope our comments and concerns are adequately addressed. I am forwarding a copy of this to my County Commissioner for her records.

Sincerely,

Jerry & Ellen Paz
August 13, 2013

Ms. Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist
USIBWC
4171 N. Mesa, C-100
El Paso, TX 79902

Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

The Doña Ana County Associated Sportsmen organization (DACAS) would like to offer the following comments regarding the Draft Environmental Assessment: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

DACAS strongly endorses the Allowed Hunting Alternative. For many years, local residents have utilized IBWC lands near the river for countless types of outdoor recreation, including hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing (notably birding), hiking, pet recreation and dog training, photography, rafting and canoeing, etc. The list goes on and on. Pursuit of these activities is part of a healthy lifestyle, and is also of economic value to our community. The IBWC is to be commended for their responsible approach to authorizing these pursuits. DACAS also commends the IBWC for working with the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF) in a cooperative manner to establish the preferred alternative, which we support.

Rather than identify each particular species and season for which hunting is authorized, DACAS would suggest leaving the determination of seasons and species entirely to NMDGF regulations. This will avoid confusion of possible conflicts between long term authorization to hunt within IBWC boundaries and current and/or evolving NMDGF statewide regulations. For example, Sandhill Cranes are hunted annually along the Rio Grande, but they are not identified in your draft EIS. In addition, proper management of all game species requires the NMDGF to set seasons and bag limits. If NMDGF regulations change in the future, we can imagine great confusion and enforcement complications if the IBWC regulations are different from those of the NMDGF. We would recommend a statement along the lines of “Determination of seasons, regulations, and species to hunt will conform entirely to state and federal wildlife management agencies.”

Your draft EIS states: “Big game and turkey are not expected to be present in the river corridor under existing habitat conditions and limited range,” then later states, “Typical wildlife that could inhabit the project area include blacktailed jackrabbit, desert cottontail, cotton rat, ground squirrels, mourning dove, meadowlark, kestrel, redtail
hawk, mule deer, skunks, burrowing owls, several species of waterfowl, and other nongame animals (USIBWC 2007).” Those statements appear to be in conflict. The fact is that big game (specifically mule deer) inhabit the river corridor to a large extent today. As with all hunting, big game hunting needs to be conducted in a responsible manner, but as an example, DACAS can readily imaging allowing bow hunting for mule deer within the river corridor, and we would encourage that option to be considered. There are in fact times when farmers along the river corridor complain about mule deer depredation on their crops, and hunting can be an effective management technique to address those concerns.

DACAS would also like to point out that several years ago a wild turkey transplant effort was conducted in the river corridor addressed by your draft EIS. While it is believed that wild turkey do not presently occupy this corridor in sufficient numbers to hunt, it is not inconceivable that with ongoing habitat restoration efforts or other unforeseen circumstances wild turkeys could be reestablished in this corridor of the river. DACAS would therefore recommend that hunting turkeys not be explicitly precluded in the final EIS. This could be addressed by simply stating that: “Hunting for avian species as allowed under NMDGF and USFWS regulations is authorized.”

The current preferred alternative calls for the authorization to hunt to end at the Berino Bridge. We recommend the authorized hunting corridor be extended further south, to the Washington Street Bridge in Anthony. There is little difference in the habitat and development between this area and that immediately north of the Berino Bridge. It is very common for small game hunters, particularly bird hunters, to hold a license to hunt in only one state, in this case in either New Mexico or Texas, but not both. It therefore makes sense to make the end of the authorized hunting corridor close to the state line. (Few New Mexico hunters will be interested in hunting further south along the river than this, as they probably will not purchase a Texas small game license.)

Again, DACAS strongly endorses the preferred alternative, including allowing hunting between Leasburg and the Shalem Colony Bridge, and we thank you for your efforts to address hunting along the Rio Grande corridor.

Best Regards,

John Cornell,
President – Dona Ana County Associated Sportsmen
From: "J, Anna Underwood" <janna@tiaspeed.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/21/2013 4:31 PM
Subject: Fwd: No more hunting on the Rio Grande

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: No more hunting on the Rio Grande
Date: Tue, 20 Aug 2013 23:07:37 -0600
From: J, Anna Underwood <janna@tiaspeed.com>
To: Elizabethverdecchia@IBWC.gov

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

    We would like to express our opposition to legalized hunting on "our" stretch of the Rio Grande. My husband and I have lived about a third of a mile from the river itself on Trail's End Rd. for sixteen years. Trails End Rd. is a river's edge development of sixty sites and currently at least 125 people.

    We have a trail to the river itself along the EBID easement south of the drainage ditch that abuts our back field. I see other seniors in the neighborhood walking for their health to the river along the easement. When my grown children and grandchildren come to visit, they also like to walk to the river. Trails End Road has also functioned as an equine trail to the river because one or two of the "horsey" families that live on the edge of the river allow horse riders to cross their properties at a designated place. Naturally when we get to the Rio Grande, we like to sit or walk or ride near the riverbank and delight in its riparian natural beauty, whether it is dry or flowing with water.

    These are only a few of the recreational uses of the riverbanks that take place. Recreational use and legalized hunting could not happen together. It is too dangerous to be near the river when even illegal hunting occurs. High powered rifle shots, not just bird shot, sound with revealing explosive sounds clear to where we live. Many who come to shoot birds (or anything that moves) are not licensed, responsible hunters.

    Friends who live along the river have told shocking stories of bullet holes on front porches, and bullets or birdshot whizzing past them when they are outdoors. Many of us shudder when bird hunting season starts, although (illegal) hunting occurs at other times too. I have often warned casual hunters about their illegality when they park their cars and shoot into the drainage ditch itself, where coyotes, foxes, quail, rabbits and birds like red-winged blackbirds and orioles live, as well as the white-winged dove. We enjoy living alongside these wild species. Twice a bullet has narrowly missed our home. When we hear rifle shots we feel insecure and tend to stay indoors. The situation is the same for my neighbors a short distance away on the river itself. If hunting is legalized, the conflict between river residents and recreational users on the one hand, and hunters on the other, will certainly increase. The local sheriff's department and fish and game agency, their funds cut back, have fewer and fewer people to oversee...
hunting violations.

Since time before time, rivers in the arid Southwest have been the waterholes of life. All species have drunk from, enjoyed and used the water in various ways. Surely we don't want a bully to change the nature of our life-sustaining waterholes. Legalized hunting along the designated areas of the Rio Grande is a bad idea.

Yours very truly,

Anna and Larry Underwood
1925 Trails End Rd.
Las Cruces, NM 88007

janna@tiaspeed.com
larry@underwoodengineering.com
From: <groverjayson@hotmail.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <groverjayson@hotmail.com>  
Date: 8/21/2013 10:22 AM  
Subject: Hunting along the Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it may concern,

I just received an email notification from the New Mexico Wildlife Federation regarding hunting along the Rio Grande below Percha Dam. I am surprised to learn that areas along the Rio Grande that I grew up hunting for doves, quail, and especially waterfowl were posted last year with "No hunting Signs." I moved away a year ago, but plan to return to Las Cruces each fall for dove and waterfowl hunting trips with my family.

I had always considered the river as public property. To hear that it is not regarded as such is a surprise, but more especially to now hear that I am not allow to hunt those areas that I have hunted for the past 15 years... I didn't know that such a restriction was possible. My family and I have always hunted these areas responsibly and ethically, and have always picked up the trash of others in an effort to leave things better than we found them. I would plead with you to allow hunting to continue along those portions of the river that are being proposed, and have honestly been open to hunting for as far back as I can remember. Again, before this I had no idea that the river wasn't public property and therefore open to public hunting.

I strongly urge you to support hunting along the proposed portions of the river. I want my kids to grow up having the same opportunities to hunt down there that I did. I do intend to travel down to Las Cruces each fall to hunt doves, and each winter to hunt waterfowl with my kids, as I have always done.

Jayson Grover  
p.o. box 496  
Bluewater,  
nm  
87005  
groverjayson@hotmail.com
From: <r.zerr@psl.nmsu.edu>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <r.zerr@psl.nmsu.edu>
Date: 8/21/2013 10:19 AM
Subject: Intl Boundary Water Hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I am a regular hunter and user of the areas that are controlled along the Rio Grande river by the International Boundary and Water Commission. The proposals that they have would severely limit our ability to hunt many of the migratory birds. This, in part, is because of the year to year changes in the river which effects where the birds can be found. There is no reason to limit either migratory game hunting, or other bird hunting in these areas, for any reason.

Ronald Zerr
11225 Red Hawk Ln.
El Paso
Texas
79936
r.zerr@psl.nmsu.edu
Good afternoon.

I am an avid hunter in these areas. I believe they should stay open to hunting as long as the fish and game service controls the limits and keeps the populations sustainable.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Darren jaramillo
505-228-0501
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

PLEASE support the opening of this IBWC land for the public use of bird hunting. Our future generations need assurance that they have a place to enjoy the great outdoors. THANK YOU for your time and consideration of this.

Tom Philley
6320 Loma de Cristo
El Paso
Tx
79912
tom.philley@huntcompanies.com
From: <mark.kelly@huntcompanies.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <mark.kelly@huntcompanies.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 1:26 PM
Subject: Hunting Alternative

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

Please do allow the Proposed Hunting Alternative. We need these lands to be able to pursue our outdoor hunting adventures with our family.

Mark Kelly
1131 Eagle Ridge
El Paso
Texas
79912
mark.kelly@huntcompanies.com
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

While the City of Las Cruces does not extend into the area about which you are requesting comment, I do feel compelled to offer some points in support of leaving hunting and shooting of any kind illegal along the Rio Grande between the Shalem Colony Trail bridge and Leasburg Dam:

1. General respect for the law is compromised when the main reason for changing any law is that "people are breaking it anyway." It is almost an invitation to break laws we find inconvenient because the pervasive attitude becomes "by breaking them we make them go away."

2. Respect for human life and safety in our area would also seem to be compromised by giving the impression that the right to hunt outweighs the right to feel safe in one's home. The sound of gunshots nearby does not help most people feel safe. Any kind of shot whistling past one's head or lodging into one's property is far from comforting, and I have heard reports of both in that area.

3. A number of people use the areas along both sides of the Rio Grande just north of the Shalem Colony Trail bridge for recreation. People picnic along the sides of the river, go swimming in the river (when there is water), and play in the river bed (when there is little or none). To legalize shooting in the area is to invite a greater likelihood that someone will be shot there, even if only by accident.

4. Several roads run close to the river in the proposed area. Most obviously, the Shalem Colony Trail bridge, by becoming the southern boundary, also becomes an inadvertent recipient of gunfire as hunters intent on following the flight of their targets lose sight of things like bridges, and vehicles and people on those bridges. North of Shalem Colony, Rocky Acres Trail runs close to the river on the west, and farther north, Valley Drive and other neighborhood roads on the east are also too close to be entirely safe from gunfire near the river.

5. Those who have homes near the river are already there, and they built or purchased their homes when hunting has been illegal. It would be one thing to say they chose to live there knowing that hunting would be occurring; quite another to say the rules have now changed.

I realize that this question stems largely from the fact that hunting illegally has already been occurring along the river and signs saying it is illegal get shot up to the point that they cannot be read. So, let me offer two suggestions:

* Enlist the help of other agencies in the area (such as the City of Las Cruces and Doña Ana County) to produce public service announcements encouraging hunters to hunt only in designated areas so as not to endanger others or their property.

* Participate in more vigorous communication with the Sheriff's Office and other appropriate law enforcement agencies when signs are found shot up and shells or other indications of illegal hunting are found.

Thank you for the invitation to comment. I have copied Mayor Miyagishima and City Manager Garza in this response.

I hope you can find a solution to this question that allows hunting where it is appropriate and otherwise allows those living near the river to feel safe.

Regards,

Greg Smith

Gregory Z. Smith
Councillor for District 2
The Gateway District
From: <adrianb66@msn.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <adrianb66@msn.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 1:21 PM
Subject: Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I am a hunter that wants to continue the bird hunting tradition and opportunity along the lower Rio Grande.

Adrian Briseno
3823 Imperial Dr
Las Cruces
New Mexico
88012
adrianb66@msn.com
Dear Ms. Franklin:

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the proposal to allow hunting on the upper Rio Grande. My name is Scott Stevenson and I have lived at 225 Hwy. 189, Vado NM for more than thirty years. I have always been a good steward of the levee area and always helped out the local maintenance crews by re-painting the gate, repairing the signs and picking up trash weekly. I have always had serious trouble with hunters who have littered excessively, shot up the signs, destroyed the levee slopes and river banks by driving all manner of vehicles everywhere including in the river bed itself. This year the river bed is dry due to the drought and we are experiencing reckless people (and hunters) on ATVs and motorcycles racing up and down the levee road and in the river bed.

Every year that I have lived here, in the opening days of dove season we get about twenty to thirty hunting parties who absolutely slaughter the birds with no respect for bag limits or daylight hours. There will be literally thousands of spent shells just laying on the levee road. Many many birds are not found and many more are just left in piles, not cleaned and taken for food but just slaughtered for sport. Alcohol is almost always involved.

My specific area of concern is from the Vado bridge on Hwy. 189 south to the Berino bridge. Please, please include this area in the exclusionary (no hunting) zone. My house has been shot repeatedly as have those of my neighbors. There are five families on the area from the Vado bridge south one mile to the gate.

Hunters are organized and supported by the Game and Fish dept. because they represent a monetary income to the state. Nobody represents the local landowner and wildlife except possibly you. PLEASE HELP

Scott Stevenson
225 Hwy. 189, Vado NM 88072
575 233 4572
doubledrum@Q.com
please add this to your public comments on the EA.

Sheryl
Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the proposal to allow hunting on the upper Rio Grande. My name is Scott Stevenson and I have lived at 225 Hwy. 189, Vado NM for more than thirty years. I have always been a good steward of the levee area and always helped out the local maintenance crews by repainting the gate, repairing the signs and picking up trash weekly. I have always had serious trouble with hunters who have littered excessively, shot up the signs, destroyed the levee slopes and river banks by driving all manner of vehicles everywhere including in the river bed itself. This year the river bed is dry due to the drought and we are experiencing reckless people (and hunters) on ATVs and motorcycles racing up and down the levee road and in the river bed.

Every year that I have lived here, in the opening days of dove season we get about twenty to thirty hunting parties who absolutely slaughter the birds with no respect for bag limits or daylight hours. There will be literally thousands of spent shells just laying on the levee road. Many many birds are not found and many more are just left in piles, not cleaned and taken for food but just slaughtered for sport. Alcohol is almost always involved.

My specific area of concern is from the Vado bridge on Hwy. 189 south to the Berino bridge. Please, please include this area in the exclusionary (no hunting) zone. My house has been shot repeatedly as have those of my neighbors. There are five families on the area from the Vado bridge south one mile to the gate.

Hunters are organized and supported by the Game and Fish dept. because they represent a monetary income to the state. Nobody represents the local landowner and wildlife except possibly you. PLEASE HELP

Scott Stevenson
225 Hwy. 189, Vado NM 88072

575 233 4572
doubledrum@Q.com
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,
I am writing in reference to the proposed hunting along the Rio Grande. This will be in close proximity to my home. My husband and I just relocated to this area from El Paso. We built our dream home to retire in and now after living here for a month we find out that hunting may be approved. Our home is close to the levee as well as many other homes and we are opposed to this proposal. Please consider the safety of others. If the proposed hunting was in an area that was secluded it would make sense, but too many people reside close to the river. I fear for our safety, the safety of my pets and my property. Please decline this request allowing avian hunting in our area.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joni Davis

Sent from my iPad
From: Livvy Solomon <wildyoga@yahoo.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/14/2013 12:07 PM
Subject: RE: Hunting along Rio Grande

Hi Elizabeth,

Please do not allow any kind of bird hunting (or other hunting), along parts of the Rio Grande. There are so many houses & businesses that are in the area you proposed, that it would be insane to allow hunting to happen. Eventually, someone would be shot. It's a crazy idea. There is enough hunting in Las Cruces & the surrounding areas anyway.

Olivia Solomon
Las Cruces, NM
575-522-5350
Ms. Verdecchia:

I just wanted to send a short note in support of the hunting corridors proposed by the IBWC along the Rio Grande. I have noted some opposition to an area from Leasburg Dam to Shalem Colony Trail. While I am sympathetic to the home owners in the area, I believe there are not enough home sites to exclude this area from bird hunting. The illegal shooting that already takes place will continue no matter what regulations are put in place. This should not penalize responsible hunters who will abide by all regulations already in place.

Again, count me in as one of those who support the proposed hunting corridors.

Respectfully,

Anthony V. Popp
Professor Emeritus
Department of Economics
College of Business
NMSU
apopp@nmsu.edu
office: 575-646-5198
From: alan solomon <solomonpix@aol.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/14/2013 3:05 PM
Subject: PROPOSED HUNTING IN DONA ANA COUNTY from Shalem Colony to Leasburg Dam

elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov

PROPOSED HUNTING IN DONA ANA COUNTY from Shalem Colony to Leasburg Dam

This is NOT a good idea to allow hunting of birds, etc. in that corridor along the dried up Rio Grande River.
There are way two many houses in the vicinity and the danger posed to residences in the area is far too
great to allow hunting there!

Please make certain this does not happen.
Alan Solomon
Las Cruces, NM 88011
solomonpix@aol.com
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

To whom it may concern,

I am surprised to learn that areas along the Rio Grande that I grew up hunting for doves, quail, and especially waterfowl were posted last year with "No hunting Signs". I moved away a year ago, but plan to return to Las Cruces each fall for dove and waterfowl hunting trips with my family.

I had always considered the river as public property. To hear that it is not regarded as such is a surprise, but more especially to now hear that I am not allowed to hunt those areas that I have hunted for the past 5 years...? I didn't know that such a restriction was possible. My family and I have always hunted these areas responsibly and ethically, and have always picked up the trash of others in an effort to leave things better than we found them. I would plead with you to allow hunting to continue along those portions of the river that are being proposed, and have honestly been open to hunting for centuries.

I do intend to travel down to Las Cruces each fall to hunt doves, and each winter to hunt waterfowl with my kids, as I have always done.

Aislinn Grover
p.o. box 496
Bluewater,
nm
87005
girlsridehorses2@hotmail.com
Dear Elizabeth,

My name is Grace Goeglein and I live along North Valley, between Shalem Colony and the Leasburg dam. I am extremely concerned about the hunting that is being proposed in this area because I run along the river several times a week with my dogs. I also live on the west side of Valley Road making my house an easy target for shooting. I have two horses as well as my three dogs that also spend most of their time outside. I don’t want to feel unsafe in my own front yard, or even house. I feel strongly about this matter and am hoping others can see it the way I do.

Please consider my viewpoint and alert me if there is anything I can do to keep this from being passed.

Thank you for your time,

Grace Kendra Goeglein
520-220-6744
Dear Ms. Verdecchia:

In reading our Sun News this morning our family was appalled at the possibility of legal hunting along the Rio Grande, especially on North Valley where there are so many residents and community activities taking place. My daughter and her friends like to raft the Rio and have taken "schrapnal" from people shooting guns during dove season. The fact that children cannot play in their own yards and that folks need to be afraid to sleep in their own homes is ludicrous. Please do not allow this to happen. Thank you for taking your time to read this and we sincerely believe you will do what is right for the people who do not want hunters along our river.

Karl Kinstle
Linda Kinstle
Toni Kinstle
From: <MERCRP@aol.com>  
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>  
Date: 8/14/2013 10:00 PM  
Subject: Shalem Colony Hunting

I am in favor of continuing to allow hunting along the river near Shalem Colony. The people who live there choose to build there, knowing that people hunted in the area. These are newcomers who try to influence the way of life for us just because they do not like something. I have been hunting there since I was a kid, and I am 65 years old...Please do not listen to a couple of mal-contents...vote yes to allow hunting.

thanks

pete
From: "rodsx5@netzero.net" <rodsx5@netzero.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/21/2013 10:25 AM
Subject: Hunting along Rio Grande

Not sure how or why you feel this is a good idea. I have lived in Las Cruces for more than fifty years, and for you to propose hunting in these areas at this time is ridiculous, there are too many houses that have been built in these areas and to allow hunting along the Rio Grande in these areas is not safe. I do not live in the area but I have family that does. If someone is hurt I would hold the agency that allowed the hunting responsible. Where is the common sense?
From: Chuck Biller <cbiller@NACR.com>
To: "elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov" <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/15/2013 9:36 AM
Subject: Rio grande river access for hunting

Elizabeth,

I find it refreshing that an agency is willing to collaborate with the local sportsman/ agencies and come up with a plan that allows access.

Thanks for your support. Go IBWC!

I for one leave an area better than I found it!

Take care,

Chuck

Chuck Biller
Director of Sales
651 796 6782
505 797 5119
Mobile: 505.301.1032
www.nacr.com<http://www.nacr.com>

For more information on ConvergeOne and its family of companies, visit http://www.converge-one.com/contact.aspx.
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Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposal to open up the Rio Grande corridor from Shalem Colony to the Highway 185 Bridge to avian hunting. While I am somewhat familiar with the other two sections being proposed, I live adjacent to the Shalem Colony-Highway 185 section and it is this middle section about which I am chiefly concerned.

I first learned of the proposal from an acquaintance of an acquaintance, which in itself is problematic as we did not have the full month to consider the many ramifications before our comments are due. While I appreciated the opportunity to meet with Sheryl Franklin at Joan Smith’s home to discuss some of the issues involved, why weren’t all residents living adjacent to the levee or floodplain formally notified about this proposal, which could impact our lives substantially?

Right away I was taken aback that IBWC would even contemplate officially opening up this stretch of river to hunting because of the large number of houses very close to the river or levee, with more being built literally as I write this (opposite Hill-Lujan Road). A quick check of Google Earth revealed at least 44 homes or major structures within 450’ of the river’s edge and 76 within 450’ of the levee along this stretch. That’s a huge number of people residing within the proposed firing range, and that doesn’t even account for the people, pets, and livestock that may be present in their yards that are within those ranges or closer!

Compounding the proximity problem is lack of visibility in some places, such as in the dry riverbed, where vegetation along the banks obscures the view of whomever else might be walking along the river or recreating in the floodplain. Even from the floodplain, the tall height of the new levee makes it difficult to see people on the other side and trees often obscure structures. Even careful, responsible sportsmen would have difficulty both concentrating on their quarry and paying attention to what residents might be doing in their yards or where other recreating visitors might be in the floodplain (bird watching, dog walking, jogging, horseback riding, and picnicking). Less careful or responsible hunters would present a significant potential danger. Both my husband and I have spoken to parties on occasion to ask them to move to a less populated area, and once were challenged by a pair that insisted they had the right to shoot wherever they pleased, that the 150-yard buffer “only applied in the city.” Promoting legal hunting here will only increase this type of unwelcome confrontation.

In addition to the danger from stray shot or bullets, we residents would be subjected to several important nuisance issues. Where I live, on Ironshoe Road, is usually a relatively quiet part of the valley, but any loud sounds are amplified by echoing off the cliffs of the Robledo Mountains so noise from gunshots – including target shooting – would be considerable. Because of the newly surfaced levee vehicles now speed by at 40-60 miles per hour, so increased traffic would result in that much more vehicle noise and dust being raised and coating our properties. There would also be increased noise from ATVs driving up and down the riverbed, as some are already doing now that the water is low again. More trash – including spent shells, field dressing remains, carcasses, and beer cans – will be a given; we already encounter these regularly now. Trash cans at either end might help mitigate the garbage left in the immediate vicinity but would not adequately accommodate the vast middle.
On a personal level, opening up this stretch of the river to hunting would fundamentally and negatively impact my quality of life. During the several months of open season I would no longer feel comfortable walking along the river almost daily as I do now, because of the issues of visibility I described above – and blaze orange wouldn’t make a bit of difference in many locations along this stretch. Most importantly, I wouldn’t feel very safe working in my yard either, and since we own and operate a plant nursery it’s next to impossible not to be working in the yard at any given time.

I am also afraid for the wildlife (both target and non-target species), which has been acutely stressed by the continuing drought and low river levels the past few years. My husband and I moved here 11½ years ago primarily because of the rich bird diversity along this stretch of river, and in that time have seen nearly 250 different species just from our yard. I have also performed both point and traveling bird surveys for Mesilla Valley Audubon Society at Broad Canyon Ranch (Selden Canyon) for several years, in addition to the informal surveys that I do on my regular walks along the river here. This past year, when the Rio Grande was largely dry from Hatch southward, the occasional pools south of Leasburg Dam that were fed by the springs there supplied critical habitat for ducks, herons, egrets, rails, shorebirds, and kingfishers. These pools continued to occur southward to just north of Hope Road, persisted through winter, and because they were more substantial supported a wider array of wildlife than did the few lingering Selden Canyon pools. By early spring Snowy Egrets and Belted Kingfishers could be seen fighting over the remaining fish in the pools opposite our place, indicating dire circumstances indeed, and sometimes I felt guilty even walking along the river, knowing that I would likely flush a desperate bird. In such extreme drought conditions alternative water sources are slim to none – it’s not like the birds can simply relocate to another pond or playa or stretch of river because most or all are bone dry. Putting additional pressure on these birds, whether target species or not, seems unconscionable. On multiple occasions in the past we have observed unsportsmanlike “hunters” driving along the river and jumping ducks, but with little water in the river such folks will likely now be on ATVs in the riverbed doing the same thing; more of such behavior will amount to harassment very quickly. Even the most careful of sportsmen will not be able to avoid spooking the non-target wildlife and that could have a lasting and detrimental impact to the resident bird life long after the hunting season has ended.

I urge you to drop this section of river corridor from consideration, at least for now during what appears to be our new normal of severe drought, and focus instead on one or both of the other sections where many fewer people reside and the habitat is less unique and critical.

I thank you for the opportunity to comment and hope that you will give my thoughts your careful consideration.

Sincerely,

Marcy Scott
994 Ironshoe Road, Las Cruces, NM 88007 (~2 miles south of the Highway 185 Bridge)
575-541-8083
Miscott44@aol.com
Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

Please see my attached comments about the proposal to allow hunting along the Rio Grande, specifically the middle section from Shalem Colony to the Highway 185 Bridge. I hope you will give serious consideration to my thoughts, and I thank you for the opportunity to provide input.

Sincerely,

Marcy Scott
994 Ironshoe Rd, Las Cruces, NM 88007
575-541-8083
mtscott44@aol.com
From: <bdiaz16@comcast.net>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <bdiaz16@comcast.net>
Date: 8/21/2013 11:31 AM
Subject: In Favor of Hunting Along Rio Grande

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

I’ve read the proposal and I agree with it. You should allow hunting down there. I’ve been looking for someplace near Las Cruces to dove hunt but didn’t think hunting was allowed around the Rio Grande. I find your proposal reasonable and I hope you have time next year to propose opening up that canyon you said you couldn’t do an assessment on this year.

Robert Diaz
8409 Ashton PL NE
Albuquerque
NM
87122
bdiaz16@comcast.net
From: <webedux@gmail.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>, <webedux@gmail.com>
Date: 8/21/2013 9:26 PM
Subject: Southern Rio Grande hunting

International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

As an avid waterfowl and game bird hunter I would very much like to see hunting allowed on the river. There is no place else nearby to hunt waterfowl and I personally cannot afford to travel very far to hunt. I am sure I am not alone in that respect! I have already been locked out of my favorite elk area by a rancher and have seen the rest of my bird areas swaowed up by development. If my waterfowl hunting goes too I am seriously considering moving to another state. Please consider the many people like myself in making your decision. Thank you

Michael Meredith
P.O. Box 111
WSMR
NM
88002
webedux@gmail.com
From: "Rob Hoffman" <mrhoffman@cybermesa.com>
To: <elizabeth.verdecchia@ibwc.gov>
Date: 8/16/2013 12:46 AM
Subject: Hunting along the Rio Grande

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

I would like to support the proposal to allow hunting on IBWC property along the Rio Grande. I also support, in general, the hunting areas developed by the New Mexico Fish and Game Department. That includes allowing hunting between Leasburg Dam and Shalem Colony Road. I am a property owner in this stretch of the river. I have a farm on the west side of the river about a mile below the Rio Grande Bridge at Radium Springs. This stretch (from Leasburg Dam to Shalem Colony bridge) is lightly populated and legal bird hunting should pose no threat to anyone living there. As stated many times, there is a distinct difference between legal hunting of gamebirds (mostly doves) in season and the use of IBWC property for target practice and other shooting activities. The former is a regulated and defined activity using only shotguns and occurring during specified times and hours. The latter is an unregulated and illegal activity often carried out by irresponsible shooters. To lump these activities together and call them hunting by opponents of hunting is simply not correct. I also believe there should be better response by law enforcement to reports of illegal gunfire.

I would also recommend extending the northern boundary. I think that legal hunting should be permitted from the southern boundary of Percha Dam State Park on south. Again this stretch has very few houses anywhere near the river and legal hunting should pose no threat to anyone or their property. I would also recommend that the southern boundary be set at the boundary of the Anthony Country Club. Remembering that it is illegal to discharge any firearm while hunting within 150 yds of a dwelling, I see no reason to exclude the stretch from the bridge at Berino to the Country Club.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely

Robert V. Hoffman
605 College Pl.
Las Cruces, NM 88005
I say NO! to bird hunting along the Rio Grande. Why? Birds must be unsafe in their habitat just because humans love the thrill of killing, love talking about killing, and bonding in the wild with their friends! I say NO! to bird hunting, making it unsafe for those who enjoy being out in the wild risk the threat of being shot at by the hunter. I say NO! Hunters will trample down vegetation, litter, and frighten other wildlife in the process of hunting.

I say NO! and ask my friends to do the same.

Much happiness to you,

Waynette S. Bridges
International Boundary and Water Commission, thank you for proposing to allow hunting on IBWC lands in the lower Rio Grande flood plain.

hunting on the levee is one of the best hunting there is for people who can't afford a hunting lease or have no idea where to find water on public land so it's great to know that you can always hunt on the levee please open it up it will create more hunting opportunity for me and other hunters thank you Marco Arzola

Marco Arzola
413 hardy cir
el paso
tx
79905
Ottofuch135@aol.com
Dear Elizabeth,

I am thrilled to know that you are considering opening up three areas to bird hunting along the Rio Grande. I am particularly interested in the 15 mile segment below the Mesilla Dam. Please do so.

Thank you.

David Carlson

Sent from my iPad
Dear Ms. Verdecchia

I am writing to you concerning the proposal to allow hunting on IBWC land from the Shalem Colony bridge north to the Leasburg Dam. I grew up at 8406 Rocky Acres Trail, Tom and Linda Duval are my parents. My family has owned that property since 1936 when my great grandfather purchased it. We have always had a problem with hunters in that area. Most of the time they would hunt on the east side of the river and shoot west toward our property. The danger in that situation is obvious. Often hunters would come across the river when it was low enough and we would encounter them on the road that parallels the Robledo mountains and leads to our property. The meetings were usually not cordial and we were often threatened. Now that the river is dry for most of the year the problems have only intensified. Locks to gates are frequently cut or the gates are damaged when drivers push them open with their vehicles. I'm sure the incidents are too numerous to count when added together with our neighbors on both sides of the river. No Trespassing and No Hunting signs have never been very effective on either side of the river, they usually are shot full of holes or just torn down. This proposal presents a serious safety concern for the residents of this area that far outweighs the wants of a small group of hunters. There is plenty of land in New Mexico that is available to hunt on. I ask that this proposal be amended and that this area will remain off limits. Thank you for your time.

Respectfully, Todd Duval
August 23, 2013

Elizabeth Verdecchia  
Natural Resources Specialist  
International Boundaries and Water Commission  
The commons, Building C, Suite 100  
4171 N. Mesa Street  
El Paso Texas 79902-1441

Dear Ms. Verdecchia,

On behalf of the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) I want to thank you for providing notice of the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) : Allowing Avian Hunting in designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico (HPD log 97350). I have completed a review of the draft EA and have a comment about agency coordination between the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA).

As you probably know, the lead federal agency for a project can coordinate compliance of Section 106 of the NHPA with NEPA compliance by following the process as defined in 36 CFR 800.8.

As currently written, however, it is the SHPO’s opinion that the draft EA does not meet the standards for developing environmental documents to complying with Section 106 of the NHPA, per 36 CFR 800.8.c.1.

The SHPO appreciates the IBWC’s commitment to historic preservation as demonstrated by recent consultations. I am looking forward to consulting with you on this undertaking. If you have any question or comments please feel free to call me directly at (505) 827-4225 or email me at bob.estes@state.unm.us.

Sincerely,

Bob Estes
Please refer to the attached tribal consultation letter in regards to the above proposed project.

Thank you,

Mark T. Altaha
White Mountain Apache Tribe
Historic Preservation Office
Fort Apache, Arizona
November 7, 2013

Gilbert G. Anaya, Division Chief
Environmental Management Division
International Boundary and Water Commission
United States and Mexico
4171 N. Mesa Street
El Paso, TX 79902-1441

Dear Mr. Anaya:

The Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department-Traditional Culture Program (NNHPD-TCP) is in receipt of the proposed project regarding an Environmental Assessment allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, New Mexico.

After reviewing your consultation documents, NNHPD-TCP has concluded the proposed undertaking/project area will not impact Navajo traditional cultural resources. The NNHPD-TCP, on behalf of the Navajo Nation has no concerns at this time.

However, the determination made by the NNHPD-TCP does not necessarily mean that the Navajo Nation has no interest or concerns with the proposed project. The Rio Grande River is considered a Traditional Culture Property (TCP) to the Navajo Nation. Navajo Chanters utilize the river for ceremonial chants of offerings, set songs, and set prayers. If proposed project inadvertently discovers habitation sites, plant gathering areas, human remains and objects of cultural patrimony, the NNHPD-TCP request that we be notified respectively in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA). The Navajo Nation claims cultural affiliation to all Anasazi people (periods from Archaic to Pueblo IV) of the southwest. The Navajo Nation makes this claim through Navajo oral history and ceremonial history, which has been documented as early as 1880 and taught from generation to generations.

The NNHPD-TCP appreciates the International Boundary and Water Commission’s consultation efforts, pursuant to 36 CFR Pt. 800.1 (c)(2)(iii). Should you have any additional concerns and/or questions do not hesitate to contact me electronically at tony@navajohistoricpreservation.org or telephone at 928-871-7750.

Sincerely,

Tony H. Joe, Jr., Supervisory Anthropologist (Section 106 Consultation) Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department-Traditional Culture Program
Frank Paiz, Governor
Ysleta del Sur Pueblo
117 S. Old Pueblo Road
P.O. Box 17579 – Ysleta Station
El Paso, TX 79907

Reference: Section 106 Consultation on USIBWC Draft Environmental Assessment: Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Dear Tribe:

The United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) would like to initiate the consultation process with the Tribe under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act regarding a proposed USIBWC policy change to allow avian hunting in certain areas along the Rio Grande corridor in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico. Attached is a hardcopy of the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

The USIBWC has reviewed our no hunting policy along the Rio Grande in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico, and changes are being considered. We have been coordinating with state and federal agencies to identify areas that hunting would be appropriate and not conflict with other public uses of the floodplain. The Draft EA identifies possible areas and conditions under which the USIBWC might allow hunting on its property later this year.

If the Tribe has concerns or questions about the proposed policy change, please contact me or Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist, at Elizabeth.Verdecchia@ibwc.gov. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Gilbert G. Anaya
Division Chief
Environmental Management Division

Enclosure: Draft EA
Same letter mailed to:

Frank Paiz, Governor
Ysleta del Sur Pueblo
117 S. Old Pueblo Road
P.O. Box 17579 – Ysleta Station
El Paso, TX 79907

Ronnie Lupe, Chairman
White Mountain Apache Tribal Council
P.O. Box 700
Whiteriver, AZ 85941

President Frederick Chino, Sr.
Mescalero Apache Tribe
P.O. Box 227
Mescalero, NM 88340

Wallace Coffey, Chairman
Comanche Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 908
Lawton, OK 73502

President Ben Shelly
Navajo Nation
P.O. Box 9000
Window Rock, AZ 86515

Jeff Houser, Chairman
Fort Sill Apache Tribe
43187 US Highway 281
Apache, OK 73006

Pueblo of Tesuque
Governor Mark Mitchell
Route 42, Box 360-T
Santa Fe, NM 87506

Ronald Twohatchet, Chairman
Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma
P.O. Box 369
Carnegie, OK 73015

Governor E. Paul Torres
Pueblo of Isleta
P.O. Box 1270
Isleta Pueblo, NM 87022

LeRoy Ned Shingoitewa
Hopi Tribal Council
PO Box 123
Kykotsmovi, AZ 86039

The Commons, Building C, Suite 100 • 4171 N. Mesa Street • El Paso, Texas 79902-1441
(915) 832-4100 • Fax: (915) 832-4190 • http://www.ibwc.gov
Dear Tribe:

The United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) would like to initiate the consultation process with the Tribe under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act regarding a proposed USIBWC policy change to allow avian hunting in certain areas along the Rio Grande corridor in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico. Attached is a hardcopy of the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

The USIBWC has reviewed our no hunting policy along the Rio Grande in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico, and changes are being considered. We have been coordinating with state and federal agencies to identify areas that hunting would be appropriate and not conflict with other public uses of the floodplain. The Draft EA identifies possible areas and conditions under which the USIBWC might allow hunting on its property later this year.

If the Tribe has concerns or questions about the proposed policy change, please contact me or Elizabeth Verdeccchia, Natural Resources Specialist, at Elizabeth.Verdeccchia@ibwc.gov. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Gilbert G. Anaya
Division Chief
Environmental Management Division

Enclosure: Draft EA
OFFICIAL RESPONSE OF THE NEW MEXICO HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION

Dear Mr. Howe,

Thank you providing additional information concerning the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Avian Hunting in Designated Areas Along the Rio Grande (HPD log 97775). I am please to see that the documentation includes maps showing the archaeological sites located in the areas where hunting is proposed. I reviewed our records to determine which sites are listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, but was unable to locate the information in our electronic files. In order to advance this consultation, I will need to obtain copies of the previous consultations and eligibility recommendations directly from the Archaeological Records Management Section (ARMS), which will take additional time to review.

Consequently, the SHPO is not able concur with the assessment that the project will have no adverse effect to historic properties at this time. If you have any questions or comments feel free to call me directly or e mail me.

Sincerely,

Bob Estes
December 30, 2013

Mr. Jeff Pappas, State Historic Preservation Officer
New Mexico Historic Preservation Division
Attn: Bob Estes
407 Galisteo Street, Suite 236
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Subject: Response to Consultation Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for Draft EA Proposed Avian Hunting in Designated Areas Along the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties

Dear Mr. Pappas:

The United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) received the October 25, 2013 follow-up email from Mr. Bob Estes regarding the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA): Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico (HPD log 97775). Mr. Estes stated that he needed additional time to obtain records from Archaeological Records Management Section and that SHPO is not able to concur with the assessment that the project has no adverse effect to historic properties.

In September, USIBWC consulted with tribes, and USIBWC has received responses from three tribes (Hopi, Navajo Nation, and White Mountain Apache Tribe) indicating there is no impact to their cultural interests from the hunting designations.

In addition, USIBWC would like to emphasize that the actions proposed in the EA will not change the current access to pedestrian traffic along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, nor is the EA authorizing ground disturbing activities. USIBWC requests a response from SHPO by the end of January 2014 in order to be able to finalize the EA. If we do not hear back by then, the USIBWC will take this issue up with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

I would also like to reiterate that USIBWC is currently drafting a Programmatic Agreement with New Mexico SHPO which will facilitate future consultations on USIBWC actions in New Mexico. If you have questions, please contact Mr. Mark Howe of my staff at (915) 832-4767.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Amaya
Division Chief
Environmental Management Division
March 19, 2014

Mr. Gilbert Anaya  
Division Chief  
Environmental Management Division  
International Boundary and Water Commission  
The Commons, Building C, Suite 310  
4171 N. Mesa Street  
El Paso, TX 79902

Ref: Proposed Avian Hunting in Designated Areas Along the Rio Grande Canalization Project  
Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Anaya:

On February 19, 2014, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) received your notification for the proposed undertaking. It is our understanding from the material provided that in 2012, local hunting groups approached the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF) to request permission to hunt game birds along the Rio Grande corridor upstream of the United States International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) Rio Grande Rectification Project. Because USIBWC allows hunting on other portions of the Rio Grande in the region, and because USIBWC has received the request to permit hunting in the Rio Grande Canalization Project (RGCP), the USIBWC is evaluating impacts of allowing hunting in the designated areas.

USIBWC made the determination that this undertaking will have no adverse effect to identified historic properties. However, USIBWC has yet to receive concurrence from the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) which is a requirement of the Section 106 regulations (36 CFR Part 800). It is our understanding that the SHPO has requested additional information from USIBWC regarding consultation between USIBWC and adjacent land managing agencies (either state of federal) to the RGCP regarding identified archaeological sites within the identified hunting area and potential effects to those identified historic properties with increased foot traffic and exposure to individuals using the area for hunting. We recommend that USIBWC reengage the SHPO to address their request for additional information and conclude the Section 106 process accordingly.

If you have any questions or require our further assistance, please contact Ms. Katharine R. Kerr, Program Analyst, at (202) 606-8534, or via e-mail at kkerr@achp.gov.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Caroline D. Hall  
Assistant Director  
Office of Federal Agency Programs  
Federal Property Management Section
Mr. Jeff Pappas, State Historic Preservation Officer  
New Mexico Historic Preservation Division  
Attn: Bob Estes  
407 Galisteo Street, Suite 236  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Subject: Response to Consultation Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for Draft EA Proposed Avian Hunting in Designated Areas Along the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties (HPD log 97775)

Dear Mr. Pappas:

The United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC), received the March 19, 2014 letter from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP). ACHP advised to reengage the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to address their request for additional information and conclude the Section 106 process accordingly.

The USIBWC has responded to the requests of SHPO for documentation pertaining to USIBWC lands to be affected by this undertaking.

In an email (10/25/2013) from Mr. Bob Estes regarding the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA): Allowing Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico (HPD log 97775), Mr. Estes stated that he needed additional time to obtain records from Archaeological Records Management Section and that SHPO is not able to concur with the assessment that the project has no adverse effect to historic properties.

In September 2013, USIBWC documentation was sent to SHPO, including maps and documents that provide the information needed by SHPO to make a determination on USIBWC lands. This additional time has been more than 30 days. Additional information called for by Mr. Estes is outside the boundaries of USIBWC jurisdiction or scope and have no effect on this project nor will be affected by this EA.

In September, USIBWC consulted with tribes, and USIBWC has received responses from three tribes (Hopi, Navajo Nation, and White Mountain Apache Tribe) indicating there is no impact to their cultural interests from the hunting designations.

In addition, USIBWC would like to emphasize that the actions proposed in the EA will not change the current access to pedestrian traffic along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, nor is
the EA authorizing ground disturbing activities. USIBWC requests a response from SHPO within 30 days of receipt of this letter in order to be able to finalize the EA. If we do not receive a formal letter from SHPO by the end of thirty days (30), the USIBWC will take this as SHPO concurrence of the EA and the project to proceed with no historic properties affected.

I would also like to reiterate that USIBWC is currently drafting a Programmatic Agreement with New Mexico SHPO which will facilitate future consultations on USIBWC actions in New Mexico. If you have questions, please contact Mr. Mark Howe of my staff at (915) 832-4767.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Anaya
Division Chief
Environmental Management Division

bcc: EMD E. Verdecchia, G.Anaya & M. Howe; EMD files, DMS
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April 8, 2014
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Mark Howe  
International Boundaries and Water Commission  
The commons, Building C, Suite 100  
4171 N. Mesa Street  
El Paso Texas 79902-1441  

Dear Mr. Howe,  

On behalf of the New Mexico State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) I have completed a review of the International Waters and Boundary Commissions’ (IBWC) Response to Consultation Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for Proposed Draft EA Proposed Avian Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico (HPD log 99027).  

I appreciate IBWC giving me more time to review the information provided on September 24, 2013. I also regret placing a low priority on the review. I needed additional time because the information does not meet the documentation standards for identification and evaluation, which are followed by almost all federal agencies with undertakings in New Mexico. In retrospect, I should have specified exactly the sort of information I needed for my review, and leave the task of providing the information to IBWC cultural resource specialists.  

Despite the IBWC’s history of exceptional efforts to identify historic structures in the project Area of Potential Effect (APE), our records show that only a very small percentage of the has been surveyed to identify and evaluate historic properties, especially prehistoric archaeological sites. Previous research in the area also strongly suggests that there is high potential for unidentified historic properties near the project APE. This is the information we need to determine the level of effort to identify previously unidentified historic properties, and/or to assess potential effects to identified historic properties. Little or none of this information is available in the either the EA or the additional information we received on September 24.  

I would like to invite IBWC cultural resources staff to visit our office. We would like to offer your staff some training on the New Mexico Cultural Resources Inventory System (NMCRIS). We believe that this training will be helpful when your staff scopes future projects and will help expedite Section 106 consultation.
At this point, I would like to address the IBWC’s Finding Of No Significant Impact for the undertaking as described in the Draft EA. Although our office appreciates the opportunity to comment on environmental documents, we may or may not elect to comment on an EA, with the understanding that the development or notification of an EA does not constitute consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Having said that, the IBWC’s letter of September 24, 2013 asserts that”... the undertaking is in compliance with 36 CFR 800.3(1) because the project has no potential to cause effects, as no known archaeological sites will be impacted and that no ground disturbing activity will occur due to pedestrian hunting”. In general, our office agrees that hunting is unlikely to affect archaeological sites, and we are not concerned about effects to historic irrigation structures in the APE. The EA, however, does not take into account the potential and cumulative effects to historic properties resulting from increased public access.

Vandalism on prehistoric archaeological sites is a pervasive problem in the southwest. The NM SHPO is concerned that allowing more public access, which was previously denied, will increase the likelihood of vandalism on archaeological sites near the APE. We recognize that IBWC has no authority to control these activities outside of the IBWC property, but we think it should be considered in the EA.

In conclusion, our office concurs with the finding of no effect. If in the future, IBWC learns that increased traffic in the project APE is impacting historic properties, please contact our office to discuss the issue.

The SHPO appreciates the IBWC’s commitment to historic preservation as demonstrated by recent consultations. We are looking forward to working with you on future projects. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to call me directly at (505) 827-4225 or email me at bob.estes@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

Bob Estes
Appendix F: Comments from collaborating entities on the preliminary draft prior to July 23, 2013, addressed in the Draft EA, and on the preliminary Final EA version
July 7, 2014

Elizabeth Verdeccia
IBWC, U.S. Section
The Commons, Building C, Suite 100
4171 N. Mesa St.
El Paso, TX 79902-1441

Re: Final environmental assessment: permitting hunting in designated hunting areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Verdeccia:

The New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF) would like to comment on the draft environmental assessment (EA): permitting hunting in designated hunting areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

Agreements with local enforcement agencies are noted on pages 3, 4, 5, 14 and 29. NMDGF conservation officers can only enforce state statute and State Game Commission Rule as allowed by law. They have no authority to enforce federal code or law.

Selected Alternative: Modified Allowed Hunting Alternative, page 3: Approximately 25 miles of opportunity have been removed in areas where hunting could occur with little interference to the International Boundary and Water Commission operations and to the public. We would encourage you to reconsider this change in areas where safe and responsible hunting can occur. State statute and State Game Commission Rule will continue to be enforced in and around occupied dwellings.

Environmental Health Issues, page 4 (also pages 18 and 23) – Lead shot is prohibited statewide in New Mexico for waterfowl. This prohibition also applies to dove and small game on state wildlife management areas.

Section 2.2, Modified Hunting Alternative (Proposed Action), page 16: modified designated area #2 needs the word “in” removed. It states “2) Highway 154 south of Hatch to the end of levees north of in Seldon Canyon”.

Section 2.2, Modified Hunting Alternative (Proposed Action), page 17 and Table 2, page 18: NMDGF is proposing to remove Eurasian collared-dove from the migratory game bird rule and add it to the upland game rule. A decision on this proposal is expected in August 2014.

Section 2.6, Alternatives Considered but Not Evaluated (Proposed Action), page 22: The Seldon Canyon area is not considered for analysis as part of this EA because U.S. Section, International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) does not own or have Right-of-Way on contiguous land within Seldon Canyon.
The NMDGF would encourage the USIBWC to allow hunting in the USIBWC channel for individuals that are able to obtain access through private lands or private land owners with lands adjacent to USIBWC property.

Section 3.1.1, Biological Resources: Wildlife, Modified Hunting Alternative, page 24: During drought years, waterfowl may be limited to short reaches of the river. Waterfowl may continue to use additional sections of the channel or bank for food and cover.

We also believe it is worth noting that 117 public comments were received in favor of allowing hunting, as opposed to only 60 opposed.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this environmental assessment.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Cal Baca
Chief, Wildlife Management Division
Greetings Ms. Franklin,

I have attached our comments on the Draft environmental assessment: permitting hunting in designated hunting areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this EA. Please feel free to contact Ray Aaltonen, Kevin Rodden, me if you have any questions.

Kristin Madden
Bird Program Manager
Wildlife Management Division
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish
One Wildlife Way
Santa Fe, NM 87507
505-476-8161
kristin.madden@state.nm.us

Support New Mexico’s Wildlife...Buy a Hunting, Fishing, or Trapping License and give to the Share with Wildlife Program.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

Attachments: Header.txt, TEXT.htm, IBWC EA response from NMDGF 7.10.2013.pdf, Mime.822
July 10, 2013

Sheryl L. Franklin, P.E.
International Boundary and Water Commission
The Commons, Building C, Suite 100
4171 N. Mesa St.
El Paso, TX 79902-1441

Re: Draft environmental assessment: permitting hunting in designated hunting areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Franklin:

The New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF) would like to comment on the draft environmental assessment (EA): permitting hunting in designated hunting areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico.

Section 2.2, Permitted Hunting Alternative (Proposed Action), pages 6-7, states that the Seldon Canyon area is not considered for analysis as part of this EA because U.S. Section, International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) does not own or have Right-of-Way on contiguous land within Seldon Canyon. The NMDGF would encourage the USIBWC to allow hunting in the USIBWC channel for individuals that are able to obtain access through private lands or private land owners with lands adjacent to USIBWC property. Impacts would be minimal due to limited access as noted in the EA on pages 6-7. Please see also Figure 2 on page 9 of the EA.

Section 2.2, Permitted Hunting Alternative (Proposed Action), Table 2, page 7, indicates avian types and hunting seasons that are inconsistent with USFWS regulated migratory bird hunting seasons. The USFWS provided the Proposed Regulations Frameworks for 2013–14 Early Hunting Seasons on Certain Migratory Game Birds on June 28, 2013. The final seasons are expected to be published in the Federal Register on or about August 16, 2013 for the early seasons and on or about September 14, 2013 for the late seasons. We recommend that you delete this table and refer to NMDGF Rules and Information Booklet (http://wildlife.state.nm.us/recreation/hunting/index.htm). Hunting season for many species typically begins on September 1.

Section 2.2, Permitted Hunting Alternative (Proposed Action), page 7, paragraph 4, states that, per municipal code, there can be no hunting 150 yards from a house. We recommend changing the term “house” to reflect the NMDGF state statute 30-7-4 NMSA covering negligent use of a deadly weapon in proximity to dwellings or buildings. This statute may be found at http://public.nmcompcomm.us/nmpublic/gateway.dll/?f=templates&fn=default.htm.
Section 2.3, Summary of Environmental Resources Affected by Alternative, page 8, includes "Adversely Affected" for Wildlife. Properly managed hunting programs do not adversely affect wildlife populations. In fact, the North American Wildlife Conservation Model, which includes hunting, has proven to be a successful model for conservation of populations.

Section 3.1.1, Wildlife, page 10, states that "The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has determined that the nesting season for the region including the RGCP area is March 1 through September 15". The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service typically approximates nesting season for this area as March 15 through August 15, as noted in the EA Section 3.1.2 and Table 3 on page 11. We can only assume that this determination is based on your letter of June 28, 2013 stating that the USFWS suggested that this area could expect late nesting southwestern willow flycatcher (Empidonax traillii extimus), currently listed as endangered by both NMDGF and USFWS. There are currently active nesting territories for southwestern willow flycatcher within the Rio Grande Canalization Project. However, there is no evidence that late nesting may occur. If surveys document that southwestern willow flycatchers have dispersed from the breeding area, there is no justification for extending that date to September 15. We encourage you to consult once again with USFWS about regulations allowing hunting to begin Sept 1.

Section 3.1.1, Permitted Hunting Alternative, page 10, again states that hunted species will be adversely affected. Please see our comments regarding Section 2.3, Summary of Environmental Resources Affected by Alternative, page 8. In addition, it should be noted that water fowl are game birds and need not be separated out in the text.

Section 3.1.2, Table 3, page 11: please see our comments above regarding Section 3.1.1, Wildlife, page 10.

We would also encourage you to review the following state rules: migratory game bird (http://www.nmcp.state.nm.us/nmac/parts/title19/19.031.0006.htm) and manner and method of taking (http://www.nmcp.state.nm.us/nmac/parts/title19/19.031.0010.htm).

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this permit application.

Sincerely,

Cal Baca
Chief, Wildlife Management Division
From: Sheryl Franklin
To: Elizabeth Verdecchia
Date: 7/10/2013 7:21:26 PM
Subject: Fw: Comments for Draft EA: Permitting Hunting in Designated Hunting Areas along the Rio Grande

FYI

Sheryl

>>> "Aaltonen, Raymond, DGF" <raymond.aaltonen@state.nm.us> 7/10/2013 5:21:59 PM
>>> Here are the direct links for your staff to use if needed on last years hunting regulations and rules book – It will be easier to access them this way than from the comment letter. Thanks again and I will give you a call

Ray Aaltonen

New Mexico Department of Game and Fish

Captain - Southwest Area Operations

2715 Northrise Dr.

Las Cruces, N.M. 88011

575-532-2100

Support New Mexico's Wildlife...Buy a Hunting, Fishing, or Trapping License and give to the Share with Wildlife Program.

From: Rodden, Kevin, DGF
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 3:02 PM
To: Madden, Kristin, DGF
Subject: RE: Comments for Draft EA: Permitting Hunting in Designated Hunting Areas along the Rio Grande

A. Negligent use of a deadly weapon consists of:

(1) discharging a firearm into any building or vehicle or so as to knowingly endanger a person or his property;

(2) carrying a firearm while under the influence of an intoxicant or narcotic;

(3) endangering the safety of another by handling or using a firearm or other deadly weapon in a negligent manner; or

(4) discharging a firearm within one hundred fifty yards of a dwelling or building, not including abandoned or vacated buildings on public lands during hunting seasons, without the permission of the owner or lessees thereof.

B. The provisions of Paragraphs (1), (3) and (4) of Subsection A of this section shall not apply to a peace officer or other public employee who is required or authorized by law to carry or use a firearm in the course of his employment and who carries, handles, uses or discharges a firearm while lawfully engaged in carrying out the duties of his office or employment.

C. The exceptions from criminal liability provided for in Subsection B of this section shall not preclude or affect civil liability for the same conduct.

Whoever commits negligent use of a deadly weapon is guilty of a petty misdemeanor.

History: 1953 Comp., § 40A-7-3, enacted by Laws 1963, ch. 303, § 7-3; 1977, ch. 266, § 1; 1979, ch. 79, § 1; 1993, ch. 139, § 1.
Support New Mexico's Wildlife...Buy a Hunting, Fishing, or Trapping License and give to the Share with Wildlife Program.

Attachments: Text.htm
Ms. Franklin,

The following are comments as provided by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/Office of Law Enforcement (USFWS/OLE) in regards to the draft Environmental Assessment: Permitting Hunting in Designated Hunting Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, New Mexico:

- The title of the document should be changed to "Permitting Hunting in Designated Areas along the Rio Grande Canalization Project, Sierra and Dona Ana Counties, New Mexico", since the USIBWC has no direct authority over hunting regulations, though it does have authority to designate specific areas in which the public could access USIBWC properties for the purposes of migratory bird hunting. Also throughout the document, it should be noted that the USIBWC wishes to enforce trespassing and/or no hunting restrictions rather than "hunting regulations" (as listed on page 7).

- Section 2.2, Table 2 on page 7: The hunting seasons for the listed species needs to be corrected and/or noted that the 2013 migratory bird hunting season dates have not yet been released. The 2013 migratory bird hunting seasons will be published in the Federal Register on or about August 16, 2013 for the early seasons and on or about September 14, 2013 for the late seasons. In 2011 and 2012, the dove hunting seasons were listed as such: September 1 - October 9 and December 1 - December 31. The hunting seasons for other migratory game birds (to include teal, ducks, American coots, common moorhens, Virginia Rails, soras, common snipes, and geese) varied for each species and varied approximately two (2) days from 2011 to 2012. In 2012, the hunting seasons for migratory game birds were listed as such: Teal: September 15 -23; ducks and American coot: October 21 - January 27; common moorhen: September 29 - December 7; Virginia rail and sora: September 15 - November 23; common snipe: October 13 - January 27; dark and light goose: October 13 - January 27.

- Section 2.2, last paragraph on page 7: The sentence "In order to enforce the new hunting regulations, USIBWC will also develop strong enforcement partnerships in order to enforce the hunting regulations" again implies that the USIBWC has authority over the various federal and state hunting regulations. It is the understanding of the USFWS/OLE that the USIBWC wishes to enforce trespassing and/or no hunting regulations which may be violated during the migratory bird
hunting seasons and not the hunting regulations themselves. Also, in a letter provided to the Dona Ana County Sheriff's Office, is the USIBWC authorizing the department to enforce trespassing/no hunting regulations or actual hunting regulations?

- Section 2.2, last sentence on page 8: Change date to December 2013 since the final EA may not be complete until October 3, 2013.

- Section 3.1.1, second paragraph on page 10: It should be noted that the MBTA protects migratory birds, their parts, nests, and eggs thereof. In regards to nests, this applies to active nests being utilized during the nesting season, which the USFWS has approximated to be March 15 through August 15. For the southwestern willow flycatcher, which is an endangered/threatened species, the USFWS/Ecological Service (ES) Office has recommended that the date be extended to September 15 in known/documented territories for this specific species. It should be further noted that this date may be shortened if surveys show that southwestern willow flycatchers have already departed the area as part of the migration. This information can only be verified through surveys. If a survey is completed on August 20 and shows that there are no southwestern willow flycatchers utilizing the Rio Grande in Sierra and/or Dona Ana County, then there would be no need to implement the proposed September 15 date. This information was obtained directly by the USFWS/OLE from the USFWS/ES Office in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

- Section 3.1.1., Permitted Hunting Alternative on page 10: To put that the hunting of migratory bird species would adversely affect them during the hunting season may be misleading. The species is affected, but it is done so as a management tool to ensure populations remain at healthy levels. To state that they are adversely affected could imply that hunting is the main cause of population decreases or that it is not being properly monitored.

If there are any questions/concerns regarding these comments, please feel free to contact me at the below listed number.

Delivan J. Roper, Special Agent  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Office of Law Enforcement  
5686 Santa Gertrudis Drive  
Las Cruces, New Mexico  88012  
(575) 382-2177 - Office  
(575) 382-5454 - Fax  

Attachments: Header.txt, TEXT.htm, Mime.822
From: Sheryl Franklin
To: Janet EMNRD Kirwan
CC: Elizabeth Verdecchia
Date: 7/23/2013 8:42:38 AM
Subject: Re: EA-Hunting change proposals

Jan:

Thank you for the comments. I appreciate your attention to this issue, and your presence at the citizen’s forum meeting.

Sheryl

Sheryl L. Franklin, P.E.,
Chief, Operations and Maintenance Division
IBWC, U.S. Section
Headquarters
(915) 503-4398 (cell)
(915) 832-4741 (office)
(915) 832-4167 (fax)

"Excellence Through Teamwork"

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachment(s) to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. You are hereby notified that any unauthorized use, disclosure, and/or distribution of the information is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are prohibited from sharing, copying, or otherwise using or disclosing its contents. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and permanently destroy along with any attachments without reading, forwarding, saving, or disclosing them.

Good Afternoon Sheryl,
I just have two simple comments on the EA as it was mailed to me.

1- On page 6, the narrative at the bottom of the page does not mention or make it clear that there is not hunting on IBWC land within and/or adjacent to Mesilla Valley Bosque State Park. The restriction is alluded to in the table, not specifically mentioned elsewhere.
2- On page 7, in the lower half. Recommendations for what the new signs should say; I would highly encourage that rather than the “No hunting near homes or building” the language be modified to say something along the lines of: No hunting with 150 yards of homes or any building. Everyone has a different definition of “near” so by stating what the regulation states makes thing easier for a law enforcement officer but also for a hunter to fully understand the rules.

Thanks Sheryl, appreciate all your work on this.
Talk soon,

Jan
Janet A. Kirwan, Superintendent
Mesilla Valley Bosqu State Park
PO Box 235
Mesilla, NM 88046
575-523-4398 office
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